EXHIBIT "D"

P	age 1	Page 3
	-	-
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		1
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY		2
		3 INDEX
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		4
Disintiff		5 WITNESS PAGE
Plaintiff,		6 JESSICA L. HOLLOBAUGH
VS.		7 By Mr. Hanamirian 4, 83
		8 By Mr. Kunofsky 77
HOVNANIAN, et al.,		9
5.4		10 EXHIBITS
Defendants.		11 Hollobaugh-1 Expert Response Report
		12
Deposition of JESSICA HOLLOBAUGH, taken		13
via Zoom, wherein all parties and participants		
appeared remotely, beginning at 10:07 a.m., reported		14
stenographically by Lisa Forlano, Certified Court		15
Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public, on Wednesday,	1	16
December 1, 2021.	1	17
500011501 1, 2021.	1	18
	-	19
ZANARAS REPORTING AND VIDEO	2	20
REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTERS	2	21
1845 WALNUT STREET, SUITE 938		22
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103 2112 BAY AVENUE		23
OCEAN CITY, NJ 08226		24
(215) 790-7858 1-877-GO-DEPOS		
	4	25
P	age 2	Page 4
APPEARANCES:		1 JESSICA L. HOLLOBAUGH, having been duly
2		sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
ARI D. KUNOFSKY, ESQUIRE 3 JOSHUA D. ZIMBERG, ESQUIRE		3 BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:
US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE - TAX DIVISION		
P.O. BOX 227		4 Q Good morning, Jessica. How are you?
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20044		5 A Good morning. I'm good. How are you?
6 Ari.D.Kunofsky@usdoj.gov joshua.D.Zimberg@usdoj.gov		6 Q I'm doing well. So today's testimony
(202) 353-9187		7 is about, obviously, the United States of America v.
ATTORNEYS FOR UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		8 Hovnanian matter, and specifically the report that
, }		9 you prepared in that context and then any of the
HANAMIRIAN LAW FIRM, P.C.	-	10 facts and circumstances surrounding your engageme
BY: JOHN M. HANAMIRIAN, ESQUIRE	I	So do you have copies of your report
40 EAST MAIN STREET		12 and then also Randall's responsive report?
MOORESTOWN, NEW JERSEY 08057 1 (856) 793-9092	I	13 A I do. So I have them in two places. I
jmh@hanamirian.com		14 have one printed and then I have a PDF up on my
ATTORNEYS FOR THE PACHAVA ASSET TRUST		•
AND THE VSHPHH TRUST	I	15 screen, the ones that you e-mailed the other day.
3 4 ALSO PRESENT:	I .	16 Q Okay. So then whichever is easiest for
5 ELZA GRIGORYAN, LAW CLERK WITH HANAMIRIAN		17 you, obviously, to access. We were going to have
LAW FIRM, P.C.	I	18 somebody do it, a tech, but they are the only two
6 7 PANDALL M. BALILIKENS	'	19 documents in the case, so it seemed kind of silly.
7 RANDALL M. PAULIKENS 3	2	I guess as much as I hate to do these
9	I	21 things, let's go through some of your background.
0	I	22 What's your experience and history, or
1		23 what's your educational background?
2 3	I	,
4		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5	4	25 Richard Stockton College; graduated in 2003.
	1	

	Page 5		Page 7
1	Q And then any advanced education beyon	nđ	practice area that you're describing?
2	that, either formally or through are you a CPA?	2	A So we have you're going to test my
3	A Yes. I was just going to say, outside	3	memory now. I think we're around 40 at the current
4	other licenses and certifications, there's no	4	time.
5	college experience, but I'm a Certified Public	5	Q In that office or overall?
6	Accountant.	6	A Overall through Withum, we're kind of
7	Q Okay. Do you have any other	7	remote largely, but spaced in three offices.
8	certifications, like fraud examiner or anything like	8	Q So that's kind of a collaborative body
9	that?	9	of people for these cases?
10	A Yes, I'm a CFE, Certified Fraud	10	A Correct.
11	Examiner, and also an ABV.	11	Q And then internally, so just
12	Q And what's that one?	12	hypothetically and not necessarily in this instance,
13	A Accredited in Business Valuation.	13	but hypothetically, what's the procedure for you to
14	Q And then what's I mean, how long	14	become engaged? Of course, by counsel or by clien
15	have you been with the firm?	15	or by whomever. And then what's the next step? And
16	A I have been with them since 2003; so m		you internally? Do you form a team? How does a
17	entire career.	17	typical forensic engagement proceed, once you've
18	Q And then what's your what are your	18	engaged a client?
19	practice areas?	19	A Generally we get the referral; it comes
20	A Currently, entirely forensic and	20	in from an attorney. We have an initial call either
21	litigation support work; so white-collar criminal	21	with the client, or without, depending on how the
22	defense, shareholder disputes. Kind of anything	22	attorney wants to handle it, and we get engaged, and
23	that goes through litigation.	23	then from there, we determine, you know, who from
24	Q That's what I do. So where are	24	within our firm would be best to be on the team.
25	what's the forensic piece, from your standpoint, I	25	Q And in this case, when were you
			·
	Page 6		Page 8
1	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have	1	engaged? It's not a trick.
2	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases?	2	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand.
2	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have.	2 3	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in
2 3 4	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for	2 3 4	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion
2 3 4 5	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically	2 3 4 5	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall,
2 3 4 5 6	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do?	2 3 4 5 6	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it?
2 3 4 5 6 7	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on	2 3 4 5 6 7	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss calculations, rebuttal of Government loss	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this year and that's it, or were you in before?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss calculations, rebuttal of Government loss calculations. Those types of things.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this year and that's it, or were you in before? A I was not in before the Complaint, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss calculations, rebuttal of Government loss calculations. Those types of things. Q In the context of tax loss, for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this year and that's it, or were you in before? A I was not in before the Complaint, no. Q You were not in before. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss calculations, rebuttal of Government loss calculations. Those types of things. Q In the context of tax loss, for instance, that type of thing?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this year and that's it, or were you in before? A I was not in before the Complaint, no. Q You were not in before. Okay. Did you consult along the process for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss calculations, rebuttal of Government loss calculations. Those types of things. Q In the context of tax loss, for instance, that type of thing? A Not only tax loss, but other types of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this year and that's it, or were you in before? A I was not in before the Complaint, no. Q You were not in before. Okay. Did you consult along the process for any of the depositions or any of the events that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss calculations, rebuttal of Government loss calculations. Those types of things. Q In the context of tax loss, for instance, that type of thing? A Not only tax loss, but other types of losses as well.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this year and that's it, or were you in before? A I was not in before the Complaint, no. Q You were not in before. Okay. Did you consult along the process for any of the depositions or any of the events that took place?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss calculations, rebuttal of Government loss calculations. Those types of things. Q In the context of tax loss, for instance, that type of thing? A Not only tax loss, but other types of losses as well. Q And how did you derive that expertise?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this year and that's it, or were you in before? A I was not in before the Complaint, no. Q You were not in before. Okay. Did you consult along the process for any of the depositions or any of the events that took place? A I don't recall. I may have been around
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss calculations, rebuttal of Government loss calculations. Those types of things. Q In the context of tax loss, for instance, that type of thing? A Not only tax loss, but other types of losses as well. Q And how did you derive that expertise? Do you have any kind of unique experience or did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 iff 7	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this year and that's it, or were you in before? A I was not in before the Complaint, no. Q You were not in before. Okay. Did you consult along the process for any of the depositions or any of the events that took place? A I don't recall. I may have been around for some of the later depositions, but I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss calculations, rebuttal of Government loss calculations. Those types of things. Q In the context of tax loss, for instance, that type of thing? A Not only tax loss, but other types of losses as well. Q And how did you derive that expertise? Do you have any kind of unique experience or did just kind of evolve into it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 iff 7 18	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this year and that's it, or were you in before? A I was not in before the Complaint, no. Q You were not in before. Okay. Did you consult along the process for any of the depositions or any of the events that took place? A I don't recall. I may have been around for some of the later depositions, but I don't recall entirely.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss calculations, rebuttal of Government loss calculations. Those types of things. Q In the context of tax loss, for instance, that type of thing? A Not only tax loss, but other types of losses as well. Q And how did you derive that expertise? Do you have any kind of unique experience or did just kind of evolve into it? A I just evolved. I started with them as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 iff 7 18 19	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this year and that's it, or were you in before? A I was not in before the Complaint, no. Q You were not in before. Okay. Did you consult along the process for any of the depositions or any of the events that took place? A I don't recall. I may have been around for some of the later depositions, but I don't recall entirely. Q Did you draft any did you help in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss calculations, rebuttal of Government loss calculations. Those types of things. Q In the context of tax loss, for instance, that type of thing? A Not only tax loss, but other types of losses as well. Q And how did you derive that expertise? Do you have any kind of unique experience or did just kind of evolve into it? A I just evolved. I started with them as a general practitioner, doing, you know, financial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 11 19 20	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this year and that's it, or were you in before? A I was not in before the Complaint, no. Q You were not in before. Okay. Did you consult along the process for any of the depositions or any of the events that took place? A I don't recall. I may have been around for some of the later depositions, but I don't recall entirely. Q Did you draft any did you help in the process of preparing questioning for any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss calculations, rebuttal of Government loss calculations. Those types of things. Q In the context of tax loss, for instance, that type of thing? A Not only tax loss, but other types of losses as well. Q And how did you derive that expertise? Do you have any kind of unique experience or did just kind of evolve into it? A I just evolved. I started with them as a general practitioner, doing, you know, financial statements, tax returns, trust returns, general	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 11 18 19 20 21	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this year and that's it, or were you in before? A I was not in before the Complaint, no. Q You were not in before. Okay. Did you consult along the process for any of the depositions or any of the events that took place? A I don't recall. I may have been around for some of the later depositions, but I don't recall entirely. Q Did you draft any did you help in the process of preparing questioning for any witnesses or in written form for Interrogatories or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss calculations, rebuttal of Government loss calculations. Those types of things. Q In the context of tax loss, for instance, that type of thing? A Not only tax loss, but other types of losses as well. Q And how did you derive that expertise? Do you have any kind of unique experience or did just kind of evolve into it? A I just evolved. I started with them as a general practitioner, doing, you know, financial statements, tax returns, trust returns, general consulting. And about, I guess, halfway through m	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 11 19 20 21 12 22	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this year and that's it, or were you in before? A I was not in before the Complaint, no. Q You were not in before. Okay. Did you consult along the process for any of the depositions or any of the events that took place? A I don't recall. I may have been around for some of the later depositions, but I don't recall entirely. Q Did you draft any did you help in the process of preparing questioning for any witnesses or in written form for Interrogatories or things like that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss calculations, rebuttal of Government loss calculations. Those types of things. Q In the context of tax loss, for instance, that type of thing? A Not only tax loss, but other types of losses as well. Q And how did you derive that expertise? Do you have any kind of unique experience or did just kind of evolve into it? A I just evolved. I started with them as a general practitioner, doing, you know, financial statements, tax returns, trust returns, general consulting. And about, I guess, halfway through m career, just transferred into the litigation aspect.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 11 12 21 12 23	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this year and that's it, or were you in before? A I was not in before the Complaint, no. Q You were not in before. Okay. Did you consult along the process for any of the depositions or any of the events that took place? A I don't recall. I may have been around for some of the later depositions, but I don't recall entirely. Q Did you draft any did you help in the process of preparing questioning for any witnesses or in written form for Interrogatories or things like that? A No, I did not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	mean, starting with just in the criminal side? Have you done forensics in the criminal cases? A Yes, I have. Q And what's your engagement like for those types of cases? What are you typically engaged to do? A They're all different. It depends on the individual engagement. A lot of them are tracing analyses or determination of loss calculations, rebuttal of Government loss calculations. Those types of things. Q In the context of tax loss, for instance, that type of thing? A Not only tax loss, but other types of losses as well. Q And how did you derive that expertise? Do you have any kind of unique experience or did just kind of evolve into it? A I just evolved. I started with them as a general practitioner, doing, you know, financial statements, tax returns, trust returns, general consulting. And about, I guess, halfway through m	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 11 19 20 21 12 22	engaged? It's not a trick. A I don't know offhand. Q Like just instead of specific dates, in the process of the case, Complaint filed, motion practice, that kind of thing, do you recall, roughly, where you came into it? A I don't. I'm sorry. Q I mean, did you come in in the context of preparing the expert report in the spring of this year and that's it, or were you in before? A I was not in before the Complaint, no. Q You were not in before. Okay. Did you consult along the process for any of the depositions or any of the events that took place? A I don't recall. I may have been around for some of the later depositions, but I don't recall entirely. Q Did you draft any did you help in the process of preparing questioning for any witnesses or in written form for Interrogatories or things like that?

1	Page 9		Page 11
1	what might be easiest, rather than going back and	1	transactions associated with the trusts that are the
2	forth, is to go through Randall's report, since he	2	subject of this case in other entities' bookkeeping.
3	block quotes you, and says, okay, this is where I	3	Did you find that as well?
4	agree or this is where I might disagree. So that's	4	A I'm sorry, the question was rather long
5	obviously you have that document in front of you	5	and I kind of lost you.
6	in a few formats. Let me know if you're ready to	6	Q So if it was XYZ corporation that she
7	talk about it.	7	was maintaining books for, she also, within XYZ
8	A Yep, I got it ready.	8	corporation books or QuickBooks, maintained or
9	Q I mean, I start with what I think is	9	identified transactions relative to the trusts. Did
10	relevant, page 2, the bottom, beginning with	10	you see that overlay?
11	Background.	11	A Again, I'm not sure I'm following your
12	A Okay.	12	question. I saw the books that she maintained for
13	Q And then within this series of	13	the combined HovSat of the VSHPHH Trust, yes.
14	definitions and series of identifiers for people,	14	Q And what did you think of that process
15		15	of maintaining them together like that?
16	don't think that there are issues with that. On	16	A I didn't think it was proper to
17		17	co-mingle the accounts.
18	that is not part of a quote, so it begins with the	18	Q What would typically happen if somebody
19	words, We note that while Nina (daughter).	19	did that during the course of a year? Would you do
20	You see that paragraph?	20	adjusting entities at year end to clear them out?
21	A Yes.	21	What would happen?
22	Q Have you reviewed Randall's report?	22	A So is your question, if there is a
23	A Yes, I have.	23	co-mingled bank account, how should they be broke
24	Q Randall seems to suggest that the facts	24	out?
25	underlying Karen's tenure as a bookkeeper and Nina'		Q Or co-mingled entries for two or three
		323	
	Page 10		Page 12
1	tenure as a trustee suggests what he would identify	1	different entries in a single QuickBooks file.
2	as may create a misleading picture of the individual	2	A In this particular instance, it's
3	and the entities.	3	actually two different entities are using one bank
4	Do you agree with that	4	account. So that's abnormal to begin with. Each
5	characterization?	5	entity should have their individual bank accounts.
6	A I'm sorry, which sentence are you on?	6	So it's really not common to have a QuickBooks f
7	Q It is the bottom of page 4, beginning	7	that has two entities using one bank account.
8	with the words, "Therefore, citation of aspects of	8	Q Why do you think she did that? Do you
9	their testimony to prove a point." And then	9	know?
10	continues onto the top of page 5 with the words,	10	A I can't speculate as to why she did it,
10	"Civen their leak of total knowledge"	4.4	
11	"Given their lack of total knowledge."	11	no.
11 12	A May create a misleading picture of the	12	Q Do you think that she was capable?
11 12 13	A May create a misleading picture of the interactions of the individual.	12 13	
11 12 13 14	A May create a misleading picture of the interactions of the individual. I do not believe that we created a	12 13 14	Q Do you think that she was capable? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, vague. Yo can answer.
11 12 13 14 15	A May create a misleading picture of the interactions of the individual. I do not believe that we created a misleading picture, no.	12 13 14 15	 Q Do you think that she was capable? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, vague. Yocan answer. Go ahead.
11 12 13 14 15 16	A May create a misleading picture of the interactions of the individual. I do not believe that we created a misleading picture, no. Q So what did you think of Karen	12 13 14 15 16	Q Do you think that she was capable? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, vague. Yo can answer. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I don't know anything o
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A May create a misleading picture of the interactions of the individual. I do not believe that we created a misleading picture, no. Q So what did you think of Karen Gandolfo, professionally? What did you think of hel	12 13 14 15 16	 Q Do you think that she was capable? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, vague. Yocan answer. Go ahead.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A May create a misleading picture of the interactions of the individual. I do not believe that we created a misleading picture, no. Q So what did you think of Karen Gandolfo, professionally? What did you think of her work or her skill set?	12 13 14 15 16	Q Do you think that she was capable? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, vague. Yo can answer. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I don't know anything o
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A May create a misleading picture of the interactions of the individual. I do not believe that we created a misleading picture, no. Q So what did you think of Karen Gandolfo, professionally? What did you think of hel	12 13 14 15 16	Q Do you think that she was capable? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, vague. Yo can answer. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I don't know anything o her, other than the reports that were
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A May create a misleading picture of the interactions of the individual. I do not believe that we created a misleading picture, no. Q So what did you think of Karen Gandolfo, professionally? What did you think of her work or her skill set?	12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Do you think that she was capable? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, vague. Yo can answer. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I don't know anything o her, other than the reports that were generated and her testimony.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A May create a misleading picture of the interactions of the individual. I do not believe that we created a misleading picture, no. Q So what did you think of Karen Gandolfo, professionally? What did you think of her work or her skill set? A As far as her expertise?	12 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Do you think that she was capable? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, vague. Yo can answer. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I don't know anything o her, other than the reports that were generated and her testimony. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A May create a misleading picture of the interactions of the individual. I do not believe that we created a misleading picture, no. Q So what did you think of Karen Gandolfo, professionally? What did you think of her work or her skill set? A As far as her expertise? Q Yes.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Do you think that she was capable? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, vague. Yo can answer. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I don't know anything of her, other than the reports that were generated and her testimony. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q You read her deposition testimony?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A May create a misleading picture of the interactions of the individual. I do not believe that we created a misleading picture, no. Q So what did you think of Karen Gandolfo, professionally? What did you think of her work or her skill set? A As far as her expertise? Q Yes. A I thought she was a basic bookkeeper	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Do you think that she was capable? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, vague. Yo can answer. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I don't know anything of her, other than the reports that were generated and her testimony. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q You read her deposition testimony? A Yes, I did.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A May create a misleading picture of the interactions of the individual. I do not believe that we created a misleading picture, no. Q So what did you think of Karen Gandolfo, professionally? What did you think of her work or her skill set? A As far as her expertise? Q Yes. A I thought she was a basic bookkeeper with very limited knowledge. Q And then what about her processes? I	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Do you think that she was capable? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, vague. Yo can answer. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I don't know anything o her, other than the reports that were generated and her testimony. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q You read her deposition testimony? A Yes, I did. Q Did you have an opinion about that?

	Page 13		Page 15
1	BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:	1	
2	Q With respect to her skill set.	2	· ·
3	A I didn't necessarily draw an opinion	3	
4	with respect to her skill set, no.	4	<u> </u>
5	Q Appended to your report are a series of	5	
6	printouts from what appears to be the QuickBooks	6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
7	records for whatever company. So obviously and	7	_
8	you identified those documents as documents that you	1	
9	reviewed in order to prepare your report, correct?	9	•
10		10	
11		11	,
12	·	12	,
13		13	
14	•	14	9
15	, 0	15	•
16		16	
17		17	0 0
18		18	, , ,
19	•	19	
20		20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
21	0,0	21	
22		22	,
23		23	
24	•	24	
25	ğ .	25	, ,
20	testified that they were only an entry of the offeots	20	getting the facts outlined and then i review and
	_		
	Page 14		Page 16
1	that she needed to enter in order to generate a	1	adjust and finalize it.
2	that she needed to enter in order to generate a check. So they were incomplete.	2	adjust and finalize it. Q Did that happen here?
2 3	that she needed to enter in order to generate a check. So they were incomplete. Q Okay. And so it wasn't even a general	2 3	adjust and finalize it. Q Did that happen here? A Yes.
2 3 4	that she needed to enter in order to generate a check. So they were incomplete. Q Okay. And so it wasn't even a general ledger, then, right? It was just straight out	2 3 4	adjust and finalize it. Q Did that happen here? A Yes. Q Do you have an internal review process
2 3 4 5	that she needed to enter in order to generate a check. So they were incomplete. Q Okay. And so it wasn't even a general ledger, then, right? It was just straight out disbursements?	2 3 4 5	adjust and finalize it. Q Did that happen here? A Yes. Q Do you have an internal review process after it's prepared?
2 3 4 5 6	that she needed to enter in order to generate a check. So they were incomplete. Q Okay. And so it wasn't even a general ledger, then, right? It was just straight out disbursements? A I think she had some deposits and	2 3 4 5 6	adjust and finalize it. Q Did that happen here? A Yes. Q Do you have an internal review process after it's prepared? A Yes, we do.
2 3 4 5 6 7	that she needed to enter in order to generate a check. So they were incomplete. Q Okay. And so it wasn't even a general ledger, then, right? It was just straight out disbursements? A I think she had some deposits and transfers in there, but not all of them. She didn't	2 3 4 5 6 7	adjust and finalize it. Q Did that happen here? A Yes. Q Do you have an internal review process after it's prepared? A Yes, we do. Q What's that process?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that she needed to enter in order to generate a check. So they were incomplete. Q Okay. And so it wasn't even a general ledger, then, right? It was just straight out disbursements? A I think she had some deposits and transfers in there, but not all of them. She didn't perform bank reconciliations or reconcile them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	adjust and finalize it. Q Did that happen here? A Yes. Q Do you have an internal review process after it's prepared? A Yes, we do. Q What's that process? A One of my other partners, that don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that she needed to enter in order to generate a check. So they were incomplete. Q Okay. And so it wasn't even a general ledger, then, right? It was just straight out disbursements? A I think she had some deposits and transfers in there, but not all of them. She didn't perform bank reconciliations or reconcile them. Q She didn't reconcile at all?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	adjust and finalize it. Q Did that happen here? A Yes. Q Do you have an internal review process after it's prepared? A Yes, we do. Q What's that process? A One of my other partners, that don't really have much to do with the case, just take an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that she needed to enter in order to generate a check. So they were incomplete. Q Okay. And so it wasn't even a general ledger, then, right? It was just straight out disbursements? A I think she had some deposits and transfers in there, but not all of them. She didn't perform bank reconciliations or reconcile them. Q She didn't reconcile at all? A Not to my recollection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	adjust and finalize it. Q Did that happen here? A Yes. Q Do you have an internal review process after it's prepared? A Yes, we do. Q What's that process? A One of my other partners, that don't really have much to do with the case, just take an overall review of it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that she needed to enter in order to generate a check. So they were incomplete. Q Okay. And so it wasn't even a general ledger, then, right? It was just straight out disbursements? A I think she had some deposits and transfers in there, but not all of them. She didn't perform bank reconciliations or reconcile them. Q She didn't reconcile at all? A Not to my recollection. Q I know you're with a larger firm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	adjust and finalize it. Q Did that happen here? A Yes. Q Do you have an internal review process after it's prepared? A Yes, we do. Q What's that process? A One of my other partners, that don't really have much to do with the case, just take an overall review of it. Q So they can look at it without any kind
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that she needed to enter in order to generate a check. So they were incomplete. Q Okay. And so it wasn't even a general ledger, then, right? It was just straight out disbursements? A I think she had some deposits and transfers in there, but not all of them. She didn't perform bank reconciliations or reconcile them. Q She didn't reconcile at all? A Not to my recollection. Q I know you're with a larger firm obviously, but do you ever deal with any small	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	adjust and finalize it. Q Did that happen here? A Yes. Q Do you have an internal review process after it's prepared? A Yes, we do. Q What's that process? A One of my other partners, that don't really have much to do with the case, just take an overall review of it. Q So they can look at it without any kind of preconceived notion and say, okay, this makes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that she needed to enter in order to generate a check. So they were incomplete. Q Okay. And so it wasn't even a general ledger, then, right? It was just straight out disbursements? A I think she had some deposits and transfers in there, but not all of them. She didn't perform bank reconciliations or reconcile them. Q She didn't reconcile at all? A Not to my recollection. Q I know you're with a larger firm obviously, but do you ever deal with any small business? Small meaning, say, five or \$3 million or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	adjust and finalize it. Q Did that happen here? A Yes. Q Do you have an internal review process after it's prepared? A Yes, we do. Q What's that process? A One of my other partners, that don't really have much to do with the case, just take an overall review of it. Q So they can look at it without any kind of preconceived notion and say, okay, this makes sense or this doesn't make sense?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that she needed to enter in order to generate a check. So they were incomplete. Q Okay. And so it wasn't even a general ledger, then, right? It was just straight out disbursements? A I think she had some deposits and transfers in there, but not all of them. She didn't perform bank reconciliations or reconcile them. Q She didn't reconcile at all? A Not to my recollection. Q I know you're with a larger firm obviously, but do you ever deal with any small business? Small meaning, say, five or \$3 million or less in gross revenue?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	adjust and finalize it. Q Did that happen here? A Yes. Q Do you have an internal review process after it's prepared? A Yes, we do. Q What's that process? A One of my other partners, that don't really have much to do with the case, just take an overall review of it. Q So they can look at it without any kind of preconceived notion and say, okay, this makes sense or this doesn't make sense? A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that she needed to enter in order to generate a check. So they were incomplete. Q Okay. And so it wasn't even a general ledger, then, right? It was just straight out disbursements? A I think she had some deposits and transfers in there, but not all of them. She didn't perform bank reconciliations or reconcile them. Q She didn't reconcile at all? A Not to my recollection. Q I know you're with a larger firm obviously, but do you ever deal with any small business? Small meaning, say, five or \$3 million or less in gross revenue? A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	adjust and finalize it. Q Did that happen here? A Yes. Q Do you have an internal review process after it's prepared? A Yes, we do. Q What's that process? A One of my other partners, that don't really have much to do with the case, just take an overall review of it. Q So they can look at it without any kind of preconceived notion and say, okay, this makes sense or this doesn't make sense? A Correct. Q Does that process also exist for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that she needed to enter in order to generate a check. So they were incomplete. Q Okay. And so it wasn't even a general ledger, then, right? It was just straight out disbursements? A I think she had some deposits and transfers in there, but not all of them. She didn't perform bank reconciliations or reconcile them. Q She didn't reconcile at all? A Not to my recollection. Q I know you're with a larger firm obviously, but do you ever deal with any small business? Small meaning, say, five or \$3 million or less in gross revenue? A Yes. Q Any family-owned businesses?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	adjust and finalize it. Q Did that happen here? A Yes. Q Do you have an internal review process after it's prepared? A Yes, we do. Q What's that process? A One of my other partners, that don't really have much to do with the case, just take an overall review of it. Q So they can look at it without any kind of preconceived notion and say, okay, this makes sense or this doesn't make sense? A Correct. Q Does that process also exist for liability purposes internally that you have a review
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that she needed to enter in order to generate a check. So they were incomplete. Q Okay. And so it wasn't even a general ledger, then, right? It was just straight out disbursements? A I think she had some deposits and transfers in there, but not all of them. She didn't perform bank reconciliations or reconcile them. Q She didn't reconcile at all? A Not to my recollection. Q I know you're with a larger firm obviously, but do you ever deal with any small business? Small meaning, say, five or \$3 million or less in gross revenue? A Yes. Q Any family-owned businesses? A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	adjust and finalize it. Q Did that happen here? A Yes. Q Do you have an internal review process after it's prepared? A Yes, we do. Q What's that process? A One of my other partners, that don't really have much to do with the case, just take an overall review of it. Q So they can look at it without any kind of preconceived notion and say, okay, this makes sense or this doesn't make sense? A Correct. Q Does that process also exist for liability purposes internally that you have a review procedure for expert reports, or is it just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that she needed to enter in order to generate a check. So they were incomplete. Q Okay. And so it wasn't even a general ledger, then, right? It was just straight out disbursements? A I think she had some deposits and transfers in there, but not all of them. She didn't perform bank reconciliations or reconcile them. Q She didn't reconcile at all? A Not to my recollection. Q I know you're with a larger firm obviously, but do you ever deal with any small business? Small meaning, say, five or \$3 million or less in gross revenue? A Yes. Q Any family-owned businesses? A Yes. Q In general, do you find that they are a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	adjust and finalize it. Q Did that happen here? A Yes. Q Do you have an internal review process after it's prepared? A Yes, we do. Q What's that process? A One of my other partners, that don't really have much to do with the case, just take an overall review of it. Q So they can look at it without any kind of preconceived notion and say, okay, this makes sense or this doesn't make sense? A Correct. Q Does that process also exist for liability purposes internally that you have a review procedure for expert reports, or is it just something that you just do as good practice?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that she needed to enter in order to generate a check. So they were incomplete. Q Okay. And so it wasn't even a general ledger, then, right? It was just straight out disbursements? A I think she had some deposits and transfers in there, but not all of them. She didn't perform bank reconciliations or reconcile them. Q She didn't reconcile at all? A Not to my recollection. Q I know you're with a larger firm obviously, but do you ever deal with any small business? Small meaning, say, five or \$3 million or less in gross revenue? A Yes. Q Any family-owned businesses? A Yes. Q In general, do you find that they are a little less formal than companies of a larger size	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	adjust and finalize it. Q Did that happen here? A Yes. Q Do you have an internal review process after it's prepared? A Yes, we do. Q What's that process? A One of my other partners, that don't really have much to do with the case, just take an overall review of it. Q So they can look at it without any kind of preconceived notion and say, okay, this makes sense or this doesn't make sense? A Correct. Q Does that process also exist for liability purposes internally that you have a review procedure for expert reports, or is it just something that you just do as good practice? A Yeah, I don't think so. We just do it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that she needed to enter in order to generate a check. So they were incomplete. Q Okay. And so it wasn't even a general ledger, then, right? It was just straight out disbursements? A I think she had some deposits and transfers in there, but not all of them. She didn't perform bank reconciliations or reconcile them. Q She didn't reconcile at all? A Not to my recollection. Q I know you're with a larger firm obviously, but do you ever deal with any small business? Small meaning, say, five or \$3 million or less in gross revenue? A Yes. Q Any family-owned businesses? A Yes. Q In general, do you find that they are a little less formal than companies of a larger size or companies that are owned by strangers, so to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	adjust and finalize it. Q Did that happen here? A Yes. Q Do you have an internal review process after it's prepared? A Yes, we do. Q What's that process? A One of my other partners, that don't really have much to do with the case, just take an overall review of it. Q So they can look at it without any kind of preconceived notion and say, okay, this makes sense or this doesn't make sense? A Correct. Q Does that process also exist for liability purposes internally that you have a review procedure for expert reports, or is it just something that you just do as good practice? A Yeah, I don't think so. We just do it as good practice, to my knowledge.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that she needed to enter in order to generate a check. So they were incomplete. Q Okay. And so it wasn't even a general ledger, then, right? It was just straight out disbursements? A I think she had some deposits and transfers in there, but not all of them. She didn't perform bank reconciliations or reconcile them. Q She didn't reconcile at all? A Not to my recollection. Q I know you're with a larger firm obviously, but do you ever deal with any small business? Small meaning, say, five or \$3 million or less in gross revenue? A Yes. Q Any family-owned businesses? A Yes. Q In general, do you find that they are a little less formal than companies of a larger size or companies that are owned by strangers, so to speak, or individuals who are not related?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	adjust and finalize it. Q Did that happen here? A Yes. Q Do you have an internal review process after it's prepared? A Yes, we do. Q What's that process? A One of my other partners, that don't really have much to do with the case, just take an overall review of it. Q So they can look at it without any kind of preconceived notion and say, okay, this makes sense or this doesn't make sense? A Correct. Q Does that process also exist for liability purposes internally that you have a review procedure for expert reports, or is it just something that you just do as good practice? A Yeah, I don't think so. We just do it as good practice, to my knowledge. Q And did that partner who reviewed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that she needed to enter in order to generate a check. So they were incomplete. Q Okay. And so it wasn't even a general ledger, then, right? It was just straight out disbursements? A I think she had some deposits and transfers in there, but not all of them. She didn't perform bank reconciliations or reconcile them. Q She didn't reconcile at all? A Not to my recollection. Q I know you're with a larger firm obviously, but do you ever deal with any small business? Small meaning, say, five or \$3 million or less in gross revenue? A Yes. Q Any family-owned businesses? A Yes. Q In general, do you find that they are a little less formal than companies of a larger size or companies that are owned by strangers, so to speak, or individuals who are not related? A Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	adjust and finalize it. Q Did that happen here? A Yes. Q Do you have an internal review process after it's prepared? A Yes, we do. Q What's that process? A One of my other partners, that don't really have much to do with the case, just take an overall review of it. Q So they can look at it without any kind of preconceived notion and say, okay, this makes sense or this doesn't make sense? A Correct. Q Does that process also exist for liability purposes internally that you have a review procedure for expert reports, or is it just something that you just do as good practice? A Yeah, I don't think so. We just do it as good practice, to my knowledge. Q And did that partner who reviewed this report?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that she needed to enter in order to generate a check. So they were incomplete. Q Okay. And so it wasn't even a general ledger, then, right? It was just straight out disbursements? A I think she had some deposits and transfers in there, but not all of them. She didn't perform bank reconciliations or reconcile them. Q She didn't reconcile at all? A Not to my recollection. Q I know you're with a larger firm obviously, but do you ever deal with any small business? Small meaning, say, five or \$3 million or less in gross revenue? A Yes. Q Any family-owned businesses? A Yes. Q In general, do you find that they are a little less formal than companies of a larger size or companies that are owned by strangers, so to speak, or individuals who are not related? A Sure. Q Did you read the testimony of Hilde	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	adjust and finalize it. Q Did that happen here? A Yes. Q Do you have an internal review process after it's prepared? A Yes, we do. Q What's that process? A One of my other partners, that don't really have much to do with the case, just take an overall review of it. Q So they can look at it without any kind of preconceived notion and say, okay, this makes sense or this doesn't make sense? A Correct. Q Does that process also exist for liability purposes internally that you have a review procedure for expert reports, or is it just something that you just do as good practice? A Yeah, I don't think so. We just do it as good practice, to my knowledge. Q And did that partner who reviewed this report? A Tom Hoberman.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that she needed to enter in order to generate a check. So they were incomplete. Q Okay. And so it wasn't even a general ledger, then, right? It was just straight out disbursements? A I think she had some deposits and transfers in there, but not all of them. She didn't perform bank reconciliations or reconcile them. Q She didn't reconcile at all? A Not to my recollection. Q I know you're with a larger firm obviously, but do you ever deal with any small business? Small meaning, say, five or \$3 million or less in gross revenue? A Yes. Q Any family-owned businesses? A Yes. Q In general, do you find that they are a little less formal than companies of a larger size or companies that are owned by strangers, so to speak, or individuals who are not related? A Sure. Q Did you read the testimony of Hilde Jenssen, who was Shant Hovnanian ex-wife? Did y	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	adjust and finalize it. Q Did that happen here? A Yes. Q Do you have an internal review process after it's prepared? A Yes, we do. Q What's that process? A One of my other partners, that don't really have much to do with the case, just take an overall review of it. Q So they can look at it without any kind of preconceived notion and say, okay, this makes sense or this doesn't make sense? A Correct. Q Does that process also exist for liability purposes internally that you have a review procedure for expert reports, or is it just something that you just do as good practice? A Yeah, I don't think so. We just do it as good practice, to my knowledge. Q And did that partner who reviewed this report? A Tom Hoberman. Q And what's Tom's background?

	Page 17		Page 19
1	valuation services group.	1	A For which entity are you asking?
2	Q Did he have any changes to the report	2	Q Any.
3	from the draft?	3	A You can look for other evidence of
4	A None other than minor, you know, adjust	4	loans in documentation, outside of financial
5	this sentence, it makes it sound clearer. No	5	statements and tax returns.
6	substantive adjustments.	6	Q Okay. Did that exist here?
7	Q Was there any debate internally about	7	A It did not.
8	what should or should not be included as fact or	8	Q So what did you do?
9	conclusions in the report?	9	A I looked for the evidence of loans, but
10	A No, there was not.	10	I didn't see any that existed.
11	Q Everybody went with your draft, so to	11	Q So we weren't able to verify those
12	speak? Everybody was okay with your draft?	12	beginning balances as we would normally, right?
13	A Correct.	13	A I'm not sure what beginning balances
14	Q Did you in the context of Randall's	14	which entity you're talking about. I'd have to look
15	report, had you been engaged to respond to that a	t15	at them individually.
16	any level?	16	Q Okay. Did it matter at any entity
17	A No, I was not. I reviewed it.	17	level what the beginning balances were?
18	Q Have you requested anything additional	18	A I don't believe so, no.
19	as a result of any additional documents or any	19	Q Why not?
20	additional information as a result of what Randall's		A Because my analysis was a source and
21	report identified?	21	use of cash within the bank accounts.
22	A No, I haven't.	22	Q I'm trying to figure out in the context
23	Q Back to Randall's report itself and the	23	of this case what forensic analysis is necessary,
24		24	and so I don't want to go on a narrative, but I'm
25	On page 6 of that report, again,	25	trying to give you background so that, potentially
	Page 18		Page 20
1		1	
1 2	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this	1 2	Page 20 doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method
1 2 3	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying,		doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method
2	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed	2	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it
2	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for	2 3 4	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method
2 3 4	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed	2 3 4	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to
2 3 4 5	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of careful control of the	2 3 4 asta	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most
2 3 4 5 6	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry.	2 3 4 ssn 6	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather
2 3 4 5 6 7	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that	2 3 4 asta 6 7	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to	2 3 4 asta 6 7 8	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to determine whether the beginning assets and	2 3 4 35 6 7 8 9	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you reconstruct and create a general ledger, right? Effectively, a general ledger of your own for you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to determine whether the beginning assets and liabilities were properly carried forward from the prior period. Do you have any response to that?	2 3 4 85 6 7 8 9	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you reconstruct and create a general ledger, right? Effectively, a general ledger of your own for you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to determine whether the beginning assets and liabilities were properly carried forward from the prior period. Do you have any response to that? A I think with respect to the Pachava	2 3 4 asta 6 7 8 9 10	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you reconstruct and create a general ledger, right? Effectively, a general ledger of your own for you know what I mean, a general ledger for this purpose What did that reveal to you? A Well, I created transaction reports of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to determine whether the beginning assets and liabilities were properly carried forward from the prior period. Do you have any response to that?	2 3 4 85 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you reconstruct and create a general ledger, right? Effectively, a general ledger of your own for you know what I mean, a general ledger for this purpose What did that reveal to you? A Well, I created transaction reports of the sources and uses of cash. They're a little
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to determine whether the beginning assets and liabilities were properly carried forward from the prior period. Do you have any response to that? A I think with respect to the Pachava Trust, we did indicate that we looked at the tax returns. With respect to the VSHPHH Trust, there	2 3 4 4 855 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you reconstruct and create a general ledger, right? Effectively, a general ledger of your own for you know what I mean, a general ledger for this purpose What did that reveal to you? A Well, I created transaction reports of the sources and uses of cash. They're a little different than what you would call a general ledger.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to determine whether the beginning assets and liabilities were properly carried forward from the prior period. Do you have any response to that? A I think with respect to the Pachava Trust, we did indicate that we looked at the tax returns. With respect to the VSHPHH Trust, there were no tax returns or financial statements, other	2 3 4 4 855 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you reconstruct and create a general ledger, right? Effectively, a general ledger of your own for you know what I mean, a general ledger for this purpose What did that reveal to you? A Well, I created transaction reports of the sources and uses of cash. They're a little different than what you would call a general ledger. Q So in the context of that same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to determine whether the beginning assets and liabilities were properly carried forward from the prior period. Do you have any response to that? A I think with respect to the Pachava Trust, we did indicate that we looked at the tax returns. With respect to the VSHPHH Trust, there were no tax returns or financial statements, other than the ones that we pointed to with Karen Gando	2 3 4 4 855 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you reconstruct and create a general ledger, right? Effectively, a general ledger of your own for you know what I mean, a general ledger for this purpose What did that reveal to you? A Well, I created transaction reports of the sources and uses of cash. They're a little different than what you would call a general ledger. Q So in the context of that same question, what did that reveal to you, the finished
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to determine whether the beginning assets and liabilities were properly carried forward from the prior period. Do you have any response to that? A I think with respect to the Pachava Trust, we did indicate that we looked at the tax returns. With respect to the VSHPHH Trust, there were no tax returns or financial statements, other than the ones that we pointed to with Karen Gando earlier.	2 3 4 4 855 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 18	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you reconstruct and create a general ledger, right? Effectively, a general ledger of your own for you know what I mean, a general ledger for this purpose What did that reveal to you? A Well, I created transaction reports of the sources and uses of cash. They're a little different than what you would call a general ledger. Q So in the context of that same question, what did that reveal to you, the finished product?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to determine whether the beginning assets and liabilities were properly carried forward from the prior period. Do you have any response to that? A I think with respect to the Pachava Trust, we did indicate that we looked at the tax returns. With respect to the VSHPHH Trust, there were no tax returns or financial statements, other than the ones that we pointed to with Karen Gando earlier. Q Okay. So in that instance, you didn't	2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you reconstruct and create a general ledger, right? Effectively, a general ledger of your own for you know what I mean, a general ledger for this purpose What did that reveal to you? A Well, I created transaction reports of the sources and uses of cash. They're a little different than what you would call a general ledger. Q So in the context of that same question, what did that reveal to you, the finished product? A With respect to so what are my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to determine whether the beginning assets and liabilities were properly carried forward from the prior period. Do you have any response to that? A I think with respect to the Pachava Trust, we did indicate that we looked at the tax returns. With respect to the VSHPHH Trust, there were no tax returns or financial statements, other than the ones that we pointed to with Karen Gando earlier. Q Okay. So in that instance, you didn't have the ability to tie it back?	2 3 4 ss 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 20	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you reconstruct and create a general ledger, right? Effectively, a general ledger of your own for you know what I mean, a general ledger for this purpose What did that reveal to you? A Well, I created transaction reports of the sources and uses of cash. They're a little different than what you would call a general ledger. Q So in the context of that same question, what did that reveal to you, the finished product? A With respect to so what are my opinions; is that what you're asking me?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to determine whether the beginning assets and liabilities were properly carried forward from the prior period. Do you have any response to that? A I think with respect to the Pachava Trust, we did indicate that we looked at the tax returns. With respect to the VSHPHH Trust, there were no tax returns or financial statements, other than the ones that we pointed to with Karen Gando earlier. Q Okay. So in that instance, you didn't have the ability to tie it back? A Correct, there was no records.	2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you reconstruct and create a general ledger, right? Effectively, a general ledger of your own for you know what I mean, a general ledger for this purpose What did that reveal to you? A Well, I created transaction reports of the sources and uses of cash. They're a little different than what you would call a general ledger. Q So in the context of that same question, what did that reveal to you, the finished product? A With respect to so what are my opinions; is that what you're asking me? Q Yes. About the in and out.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to determine whether the beginning assets and liabilities were properly carried forward from the prior period. Do you have any response to that? A I think with respect to the Pachava Trust, we did indicate that we looked at the tax returns. With respect to the VSHPHH Trust, there were no tax returns or financial statements, other than the ones that we pointed to with Karen Gando earlier. Q Okay. So in that instance, you didn't have the ability to tie it back? A Correct, there was no records. Q So what do you do?	2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 18 20 21 22	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you reconstruct and create a general ledger, right? Effectively, a general ledger of your own for you know what I mean, a general ledger for this purpose What did that reveal to you? A Well, I created transaction reports of the sources and uses of cash. They're a little different than what you would call a general ledger. Q So in the context of that same question, what did that reveal to you, the finished product? A With respect to so what are my opinions; is that what you're asking me? Q Yes. About the in and out. A So with respect to Speedus and Zargis,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to determine whether the beginning assets and liabilities were properly carried forward from the prior period. Do you have any response to that? A I think with respect to the Pachava Trust, we did indicate that we looked at the tax returns. With respect to the VSHPHH Trust, there were no tax returns or financial statements, other than the ones that we pointed to with Karen Gando earlier. Q Okay. So in that instance, you didn't have the ability to tie it back? A Correct, there was no records. Q So what do you do? A For what?	2 3 4 ssh 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 18 22 22 23	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you reconstruct and create a general ledger, right? Effectively, a general ledger of your own for you know what I mean, a general ledger for this purpose What did that reveal to you? A Well, I created transaction reports of the sources and uses of cash. They're a little different than what you would call a general ledger. Q So in the context of that same question, what did that reveal to you, the finished product? A With respect to so what are my opinions; is that what you're asking me? Q Yes. About the in and out. A So with respect to Speedus and Zargis, it revealed that those accounts, regardless of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Randall's report, under the bolded heading with this forensic analysis, Randall begins by saying, beginning on page 13, This provides a detailed discussion of her actions and steps taken for purposes of determining the sources and uses of cawithin each entry. But then Randall says suggests that you don't discuss the steps that you performed to determine whether the beginning assets and liabilities were properly carried forward from the prior period. Do you have any response to that? A I think with respect to the Pachava Trust, we did indicate that we looked at the tax returns. With respect to the VSHPHH Trust, there were no tax returns or financial statements, other than the ones that we pointed to with Karen Gando earlier. Q Okay. So in that instance, you didn't have the ability to tie it back? A Correct, there was no records. Q So what do you do? A For what? Q What do you do when you don't have	2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 18 20 21 22	doing it this way, Jessica, we can cut the time of this. So bear with me. And if it's not a method that you're comfortable with, I'll do it traditionally and ask questions and not listen to answers and just ask the next question, like most people do, but I don't want to do that. I'd rather get to it. So in the context of this you reconstruct and create a general ledger, right? Effectively, a general ledger of your own for you know what I mean, a general ledger for this purpose What did that reveal to you? A Well, I created transaction reports of the sources and uses of cash. They're a little different than what you would call a general ledger. Q So in the context of that same question, what did that reveal to you, the finished product? A With respect to so what are my opinions; is that what you're asking me? Q Yes. About the in and out. A So with respect to Speedus and Zargis,

1			
	Page 21		Page 23
1	Q And then what was wrong with that?	1	legal conclusion, but you can answer.
2	A In what regard?	2	BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:
3	Q Any regard. Was there anything wron	Γ.	Q Well, it's bad accounting stuff, so
4	with that?	4	that's why I asked you. Are there indicators that
5	A I can't draw a legal conclusion as to	5	there was fraud?
6	whether something is wrong with that, no.	6	A Well, again, I just mentioned that
7	Q Was there an accounting wrong with	7	there is no there was no tax returns filed. So
8	that?	8	if these bank accounts are legitimate bank accounts are legitimate bank accounts are legitimate bank accounts.
9	A Well, generally, if you have a bank	9	then they should have had tax returns filed.
10 11	account in an entity's name, that entity is filing tax returns. And the entities in this case weren		Q The transactions themselves again,
12	filing tax returns. That's where you would	12	there are hundreds of pages of transactions. Is there fraud in there?
13	determine if there's anything wrong with it.	13	MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection. That calls
14	Q Okay. But if they were, does it	14	for a legal conclusion.
15	matter? Did it matter to your analysis?	15	THE WITNESS: As a forensic account
16	A My analysis was to determine what th		I can't opine whether there's fraud or not
17	sources and uses of funds within that bank acc		
18	were.	18	BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:
19	Q And there are literally hundreds of	19	Q No, but you can opine upon whether
20	pages of sources and uses of funds in the	20	there are indicias of fraud, yeah?
21	transaction report?	21	A I can, correct.
22	A Correct.	22	Q Did you identify indicias of fraud?
23	Q Does that reveal anything? Other that	r23	A I think with respect to totality of our
24	Shant potentially having personal expenses	24	analysis, we identified that there were payments
25	identified as going through the business, is the	r @ 5	relative to the trusts that were being paid through
	Page 77		Page 2/1
	Page 22		Page 24
1	anything to that?	1	these two bank accounts. That plays into our
2	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction.	2	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report.
2	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to	2	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in?
2 3 4	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal	2 3 4	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay
2 3 4 5	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer.	2 3 4 5	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses.
2 3 4 5 6	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari.	2 3 4 5 6	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And?
2 3 4 5 6 7	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin	2 3 4 5 6 g7	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in	2 3 4 5 6 97 8	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens	2 3 4 5 6 g7 8 es	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens related to 529 Navesink Road and the River	2 3 4 5 6 97 8 es	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens	2 3 4 5 6 g7 8 es	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens related to 529 Navesink Road and the River Road properties, which were transferred to a	2 3 4 5 6 97 8 es 10	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens related to 529 Navesink Road and the River Road properties, which were transferred to a trust.	2 3 4 5 6 g7 8 es 10 11	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens related to 529 Navesink Road and the River Road properties, which were transferred to a trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 es 10 11 12 13	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't understand, from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens related to 529 Navesink Road and the River Road properties, which were transferred to a trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q And so it identifies that Shant or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 es 10 11 12 13	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't understand, from an accounting perspective, what you're asking.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens related to 529 Navesink Road and the River Road properties, which were transferred to a trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q And so it identifies that Shant or somebody is paying expenses on behalf of the trust.	2 3 4 5 6 9 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 15	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't understand, from an accounting perspective, what you're asking. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens related to 529 Navesink Road and the River Road properties, which were transferred to a trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q And so it identifies that Shant or somebody is paying expenses on behalf of the truand they're reflected in the books of a company.	2 3 4 5 6 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 15	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't understand, from an accounting perspective, what you're asking. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Well, is there a consequence associated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens related to 529 Navesink Road and the River Road properties, which were transferred to a trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q And so it identifies that Shant or somebody is paying expenses on behalf of the truand they're reflected in the books of a company. Does that matter?	2 3 4 5 6 9 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't understand, from an accounting perspective, what you're asking. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Well, is there a consequence associated with somebody paying, personally, expenses on behalof the trust? A It was an analysis that we were asked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens related to 529 Navesink Road and the River Road properties, which were transferred to a trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q And so it identifies that Shant or somebody is paying expenses on behalf of the truand they're reflected in the books of a company. Does that matter? A I guess I don't understand what you're	2 3 4 5 6 97 8 es 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't understand, from an accounting perspective, what you're asking. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Well, is there a consequence associated with somebody paying, personally, expenses on behalof the trust?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens related to 529 Navesink Road and the River Road properties, which were transferred to a trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q And so it identifies that Shant or somebody is paying expenses on behalf of the tru and they're reflected in the books of a company. Does that matter? A I guess I don't understand what you're asking. Does it matter in what regard?	2 3 4 5 6 97 8 8 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't understand, from an accounting perspective, what you're asking. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Well, is there a consequence associated with somebody paying, personally, expenses on behalof the trust? A It was an analysis that we were asked to perform. Whether there are consequences seems be the legal issue that's at issue in this case.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens related to 529 Navesink Road and the River Road properties, which were transferred to a trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q And so it identifies that Shant or somebody is paying expenses on behalf of the tru and they're reflected in the books of a company. Does that matter? A I guess I don't understand what you're asking. Does it matter in what regard? Q In what I understand as a typical or	2 3 4 5 6 9 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't understand, from an accounting perspective, what you're asking. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Well, is there a consequence associated with somebody paying, personally, expenses on behalof the trust? A It was an analysis that we were asked to perform. Whether there are consequences seems be the legal issue that's at issue in this case. Q From an accounting standpoint, does it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens related to 529 Navesink Road and the River Road properties, which were transferred to a trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q And so it identifies that Shant or somebody is paying expenses on behalf of the truand they're reflected in the books of a company. Does that matter? A I guess I don't understand what you're asking. Does it matter in what regard? Q In what I understand as a typical or what can be a forensic analysis, as you're looking for what we would identify legally as the the legal term as bad stuff, right? Is there any bad	2 3 4 5 6 97 8 8 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't understand, from an accounting perspective, what you're asking. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Well, is there a consequence associated with somebody paying, personally, expenses on behalf the trust? A It was an analysis that we were asked to perform. Whether there are consequences seems be the legal issue that's at issue in this case. Q From an accounting standpoint, does it matter? I mean, it's an expert report in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens related to 529 Navesink Road and the River Road properties, which were transferred to a trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q And so it identifies that Shant or somebody is paying expenses on behalf of the truand they're reflected in the books of a company. Does that matter? A I guess I don't understand what you're asking. Does it matter in what regard? Q In what I understand as a typical or what can be a forensic analysis, as you're looking for what we would identify legally as the the legal term as bad stuff, right? Is there any bad stuff in there?	2 3 4 5 6 g7 8 e9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't understand, from an accounting perspective, what you're asking. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Well, is there a consequence associated with somebody paying, personally, expenses on behalf the trust? A It was an analysis that we were asked to perform. Whether there are consequences seems be the legal issue that's at issue in this case. Q From an accounting standpoint, does it matter? I mean, it's an expert report in the context of that, and there's a tremendous amount of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 6 17 18 19 20 12 22 23	anything to that? A It revealed the transaction. MR. KUNOFSKY: I was just going to object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thanks, Ari. THE WITNESS: The transactions lowerin through those accounts were personal in nature, and they included payments of expens related to 529 Navesink Road and the River Road properties, which were transferred to a trust. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q And so it identifies that Shant or somebody is paying expenses on behalf of the truand they're reflected in the books of a company. Does that matter? A I guess I don't understand what you're asking. Does it matter in what regard? Q In what I understand as a typical or what can be a forensic analysis, as you're looking for what we would identify legally as the the legal term as bad stuff, right? Is there any bad	2 3 4 5 6 g7 8 e9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	these two bank accounts. That plays into our analysis of the trusts that are later in the report. Q And how does it play in? A It shows that the trust did not pay certain expenses. Q And? A And that they were instead paid personally. Q And the consequence of that is? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't understand, from an accounting perspective, what you're asking. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Well, is there a consequence associated with somebody paying, personally, expenses on behalf the trust? A It was an analysis that we were asked to perform. Whether there are consequences seems be the legal issue that's at issue in this case. Q From an accounting standpoint, does it matter? I mean, it's an expert report in the

	Page 25		Page 27
1	documentation supporting that these transactions	1	opine upon.
2	were paid personally on behalf of the trust. Why?		Q Okay. But in the context of performing
3	Why does that matter?	3	the analysis you had to, did you break it down and
4	MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a	4	say, okay, this is what we need to look at in order
5	legal conclusion and, potentially, my work	5	to do X or Y or Z?
6	product, but you can answer.	6	A No, I was asked to analyze the sources
7	THE WITNESS: It's my understanding	7	and uses of funds within each account exactly as
8	legally that there is a potential issue of	8	set forth in the report.
9	alter ego, and I was asked to review these	9	Q Do you think that the report goes
10	accounts to determine where the funding for	10	, , ,
11	certain expenses was coming from. So that's		A I don't understand your question.
12	the analysis that I performed.	12	
13	BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:	13	
			1 ,
14	Q What's your understanding of what alte		
15	ego means? I mean, you identified it. That's wh	Г	· ·
16	I'm asking.	16	
17	A I mean it's a legal term. I've had	17	A I don't ever opine upon alter ego.
18	several cases that have involved it.	18	Q Just in general, I'm just saying that
19	Q Go ahead.	19	there are findings of fact and legal conclusions
20	A In general terms, it's when an entity	20	within the report. I'm saying you weren't engaged
21	or an individual is one and the same with anothe		for that. Why are they there?
22	entity or individual.	22	MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection. The quest
23	Q And does that appear here?	23	U 1
24	A Again, I didn't opine upon alter ego.	24	3
25	I performed a forensic accounting analysis to ass	i 2 5	as a technical term. And to some extent
	Page 26		Page 28
1			
	counsel in their legal arguments.	1	vague. But you can answer
	counsel in their legal arguments. O Do you think that your analysis	1 2	vague. But you can answer. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the
2	Q Do you think that your analysis	2	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the
2 3	Q Do you think that your analysis supports an alter ego theory?	2	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please?
2 3 4	Q Do you think that your analysis supports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal	2 3 4	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:
2 3 4 5	Q Do you think that your analysis supports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal conclusion.	2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and
2 3 4 5 6	Q Do you think that your analysis supports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal conclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it,	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q Do you think that your analysis supports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal conclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, and you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Do you think that your analysis supports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal conclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, and you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel confers with you and say it's an alter ego thing,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Do you think that your analysis supports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal conclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, and you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel confers with you and say it's an alter ego thing, aren't you then looking for specific items to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Do you think that your analysis supports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal conclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, and you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel confers with you and say it's an alter ego thing, aren't you then looking for specific items to demonstrate alter ego?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Do you think that your analysis supports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal conclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, and you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel confers with you and say it's an alter ego thing, aren't you then looking for specific items to demonstrate alter ego? A No, I wasn't asked to demonstrate alter	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful. Q Okay. Staying on the same page, 7 of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Do you think that your analysis supports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal conclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, and you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel confers with you and say it's an alter ego thing, aren't you then looking for specific items to demonstrate alter ego? A No, I wasn't asked to demonstrate alter ego. That's just my understanding of what the issue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful. Q Okay. Staying on the same page, 7 of Randall's report, under the bolded heading,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Do you think that your analysis supports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal conclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, and you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel confers with you and say it's an alter ego thing, aren't you then looking for specific items to demonstrate alter ego? A No, I wasn't asked to demonstrate alter ego. That's just my understanding of what the issue in the case is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful. Q Okay. Staying on the same page, 7 of Randall's report, under the bolded heading, Inconsistencies between Complaint and Withum's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Do you think that your analysis supports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal conclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, and you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel confers with you and say it's an alter ego thing, aren't you then looking for specific items to demonstrate alter ego? A No, I wasn't asked to demonstrate alter ego. That's just my understanding of what the issue in the case is. Q Do you think that there's anything	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful. Q Okay. Staying on the same page, 7 of Randall's report, under the bolded heading, Inconsistencies between Complaint and Withum's findings. The paragraph begins, In the Complaint,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Do you think that your analysis supports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal conclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, and you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel confers with you and say it's an alter ego thing, aren't you then looking for specific items to demonstrate alter ego? A No, I wasn't asked to demonstrate alter ego. That's just my understanding of what the issue in the case is. Q Do you think that there's anything wrong with an alter ego entity?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful. Q Okay. Staying on the same page, 7 of Randall's report, under the bolded heading, Inconsistencies between Complaint and Withum's findings. The paragraph begins, In the Complaint, paragraph 38.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Do you think that your analysis supports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal conclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, and you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel confers with you and say it's an alter ego thing, aren't you then looking for specific items to demonstrate alter ego? A No, I wasn't asked to demonstrate alter ego. That's just my understanding of what the issue in the case is. Q Do you think that there's anything wrong with an alter ego entity? A In what regard?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful. Q Okay. Staying on the same page, 7 of Randall's report, under the bolded heading, Inconsistencies between Complaint and Withum's findings. The paragraph begins, In the Complaint, paragraph 38. Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Do you think that your analysis supports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal conclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, and you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel confers with you and say it's an alter ego thing, aren't you then looking for specific items to demonstrate alter ego? A No, I wasn't asked to demonstrate alter ego. That's just my understanding of what the issue in the case is. Q Do you think that there's anything wrong with an alter ego entity? A In what regard? Q Well, the claims underlying the case,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful. Q Okay. Staying on the same page, 7 of Randall's report, under the bolded heading, Inconsistencies between Complaint and Withum's findings. The paragraph begins, In the Complaint, paragraph 38. Do you see that? A Yes, I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Do you think that your analysis supports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal conclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, and you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel confers with you and say it's an alter ego thing, aren't you then looking for specific items to demonstrate alter ego? A No, I wasn't asked to demonstrate alter ego. That's just my understanding of what the issue in the case is. Q Do you think that there's anything wrong with an alter ego entity? A In what regard? Q Well, the claims underlying the case, and the characterization I know it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful. Q Okay. Staying on the same page, 7 of Randall's report, under the bolded heading, Inconsistencies between Complaint and Withum's findings. The paragraph begins, In the Complaint, paragraph 38. Do you see that? A Yes, I do. Q And then about the third sentence in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	Q Do you think that your analysis supports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal conclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, and you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel confers with you and say it's an alter ego thing, aren't you then looking for specific items to demonstrate alter ego? A No, I wasn't asked to demonstrate alter ego. That's just my understanding of what the issue in the case is. Q Do you think that there's anything wrong with an alter ego entity? A In what regard? Q Well, the claims underlying the case, and the characterization I know it's objectionable, but the claims, yes, it's alter ego,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful. Q Okay. Staying on the same page, 7 of Randall's report, under the bolded heading, Inconsistencies between Complaint and Withum's findings. The paragraph begins, In the Complaint, paragraph 38. Do you see that? A Yes, I do. Q And then about the third sentence in that same paragraph, beginning with the words, Late
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	Q Do you think that your analysis supports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal conclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, and you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel confers with you and say it's an alter ego thing, aren't you then looking for specific items to demonstrate alter ego? A No, I wasn't asked to demonstrate alter ego. That's just my understanding of what the issue in the case is. Q Do you think that there's anything wrong with an alter ego entity? A In what regard? Q Well, the claims underlying the case, and the characterization I know it's objectionable, but the claims, yes, it's alter ego, but do you know from whom to whom alter ego runs in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful. Q Okay. Staying on the same page, 7 of Randall's report, under the bolded heading, Inconsistencies between Complaint and Withum's findings. The paragraph begins, In the Complaint, paragraph 38. Do you see that? A Yes, I do. Q And then about the third sentence in that same paragraph, beginning with the words, Late in paragraph 40, the United States claims that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Do you think that your analysis supports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal conclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, and you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel confers with you and say it's an alter ego thing, aren't you then looking for specific items to demonstrate alter ego? A No, I wasn't asked to demonstrate alter ego. That's just my understanding of what the issue in the case is. Q Do you think that there's anything wrong with an alter ego entity? A In what regard? Q Well, the claims underlying the case, and the characterization I know it's objectionable, but the claims, yes, it's alter ego, but do you know from whom to whom alter ego runs it this case? Is it Speedus to Shant, is it Shant to a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful. Q Okay. Staying on the same page, 7 of Randall's report, under the bolded heading, Inconsistencies between Complaint and Withum's findings. The paragraph begins, In the Complaint, paragraph 38. Do you see that? A Yes, I do. Q And then about the third sentence in that same paragraph, beginning with the words, Late
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Do you think that your analysis supports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal conclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, and you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel confers with you and say it's an alter ego thing, aren't you then looking for specific items to demonstrate alter ego? A No, I wasn't asked to demonstrate alter ego. That's just my understanding of what the issue in the case is. Q Do you think that there's anything wrong with an alter ego entity? A In what regard? Q Well, the claims underlying the case, and the characterization I know it's objectionable, but the claims, yes, it's alter ego, but do you know from whom to whom alter ego runs in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 12 12 13	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful. Q Okay. Staying on the same page, 7 of Randall's report, under the bolded heading, Inconsistencies between Complaint and Withum's findings. The paragraph begins, In the Complaint, paragraph 38. Do you see that? A Yes, I do. Q And then about the third sentence in that same paragraph, beginning with the words, Late in paragraph 40, the United States claims that because Adelphia Water are now the owners of the corporate assets, including the 572 Wyckoff Mills
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Do you think that your analysis supports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal conclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, and you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel confers with you and say it's an alter ego thing, aren't you then looking for specific items to demonstrate alter ego? A No, I wasn't asked to demonstrate alter ego. That's just my understanding of what the issue in the case is. Q Do you think that there's anything wrong with an alter ego entity? A In what regard? Q Well, the claims underlying the case, and the characterization I know it's objectionable, but the claims, yes, it's alter ego, but do you know from whom to whom alter ego runs it this case? Is it Speedus to Shant, is it Shant to a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 10 20 21	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful. Q Okay. Staying on the same page, 7 of Randall's report, under the bolded heading, Inconsistencies between Complaint and Withum's findings. The paragraph begins, In the Complaint, paragraph 38. Do you see that? A Yes, I do. Q And then about the third sentence in that same paragraph, beginning with the words, Late in paragraph 40, the United States claims that because Adelphia Water are now the owners of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Do you think that your analysis supports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal conclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, and you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel confers with you and say it's an alter ego thing, aren't you then looking for specific items to demonstrate alter ego? A No, I wasn't asked to demonstrate alter ego. That's just my understanding of what the issue in the case is. Q Do you think that there's anything wrong with an alter ego entity? A In what regard? Q Well, the claims underlying the case, and the characterization I know it's objectionable, but the claims, yes, it's alter ego, but do you know from whom to whom alter ego runs it this case? Is it Speedus to Shant, is it Shant to a trust, is it Nina to a trust, is it Karen to a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful. Q Okay. Staying on the same page, 7 of Randall's report, under the bolded heading, Inconsistencies between Complaint and Withum's findings. The paragraph begins, In the Complaint, paragraph 38. Do you see that? A Yes, I do. Q And then about the third sentence in that same paragraph, beginning with the words, Late in paragraph 40, the United States claims that because Adelphia Water are now the owners of the corporate assets, including the 572 Wyckoff Mills
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Do you think that your analysis supports an alter ego theory? A Again, I think that's a legal conclusion. Q Well, in the context of preparing it, and you're engaged to prepare it, and counsel confers with you and say it's an alter ego thing, aren't you then looking for specific items to demonstrate alter ego? A No, I wasn't asked to demonstrate alter ego. That's just my understanding of what the issue in the case is. Q Do you think that there's anything wrong with an alter ego entity? A In what regard? Q Well, the claims underlying the case, and the characterization I know it's objectionable, but the claims, yes, it's alter ego, but do you know from whom to whom alter ego runs it this case? Is it Speedus to Shant, is it Shant to a trust, is it Nina to a trust, is it Karen to a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 22 23	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Yeah. I mean, within the report, and I'll try and accommodate what Ari is saying. There are statements of fact. How's that? Or conclusions or statements of fact and conclusions of law. We can go through them specifically, if that helps. A That would be helpful. Q Okay. Staying on the same page, 7 of Randall's report, under the bolded heading, Inconsistencies between Complaint and Withum's findings. The paragraph begins, In the Complaint, paragraph 38. Do you see that? A Yes, I do. Q And then about the third sentence in that same paragraph, beginning with the words, Late in paragraph 40, the United States claims that because Adelphia Water are now the owners of the corporate assets, including the 572 Wyckoff Mills Road property.

Page 29 Page 31 There's nothing about Adelphia Water in 1 Because there were transactions between 1 2 my report. 2 the bank accounts of Speedus and the bank accounts 3 What about the 572 Wyckoff Mills Road 3 of the trusts, which we were analyzing the trusts. property; anything? So in order to analyze the trust, they needed to 4 4 Not to my recollection, no. 5 look at the analysis of Speedus to determine what 5 Let me ask you just broadly again, in the sources and uses of funds in that account were. 6 7 the context of the preparation of the report, did 7 Okay. And that showed what? you have questions along the way? Did anybody 8 Just what it says here, that the bank prepare a list of questions and open items for the 9 accounts were utilized by Shant as personal bank 10 report that says, okay, well, we can't conclude this accounts and were utilized to fund the expenses of 10 11 engagement unless we know this, unless we know that? the trust. 12 There was never a list, a written list 12 Q Α And in the context of performing 13 of questions, no. 13 forensic analysis where you're going through and you're examining the numbered dead body, it's a dead 14 I mean, unless focused on the verbiage, body of numbers. Again, why does that matter? So 15 were there any questions? 15 Of course there would have been he pays personal expenses through this Speedus 16 17 questions, yes. account at Morgan. And again, I mean respectfully, 17 18 And so again, if there is a privilege just like I told my kids, what I say instead of how 19 or any kind of associated confidentiality with Ari, I say it. But so what? He pays it through Speedus, 20 don't answer, but did you communicate with Ari 20 so what? Does it matter for a forensic analysis? and/or anybody at the United States Department of 21 Does it matter for the conclusions that you might 22 Justice about any of those questions along the way? 22 reach in the report? 23 Yes, I did. 23 Α Yes, it mattered. 24 MR. KUNOFSKY: You can answer that Q 24 Why? 25 25 Α question. Because we analyzed the Pachava Trust, Page 30 Page 32 BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: 1 and like I just mentioned, there were transactions 2 Page 8, Randall identifies, under the where Speedus was paying expenses of the Pachava bolded heading, Speedus. He quotes here, analysis Trust. So we needed to understand if that was 3 4 here, he begins the process of quoting the summary Speedus paying the expenses or whether it was Shant. 4 analysis from your report. This paragraph, rather And what did you find out? 5 Q 6 than read it all, which is just torture for 6 Α That the Speedus account was funded by 7 everyone, me included, these items, can you just 7 Shant as an individual and was utilized to pay those 8 review the items that are block quoted in there 8 expenses. 9 under the -- where you've identified on that page 8 9 Q And do you have any thoughts as to why 10 and from your report? You may just want to review 10 that might have been? 11 again. Take a minute. I can't tell you what his thoughts were 11 12 Α Okay. 12 at the time, no. 13 Q And then at the bottom of it in bold, 13 No, what your thoughts are as to why, Q 14 it says, Accordingly, it's your opinion that the in a forensic analysis, you're going through again 15 Speedus accounts, your bank accounts were compriseds looking at the dead body, why do you think that that 16 of personal deposits, including little, if any, took place the way it did? Why do you think he used 16 the Speedus account? 17 ongoing business income and were used by Shant to 17 18 fund personal expenses, at \$201,204 satisfaction of 18 Α That would be complete speculation. I don't know. 19 a tax lien against the Village Mall in 2015 and a 19 20 \$319,349 tax lien against 520 NRR in 2017. 20 Q That's all right. Speculation is all 21 That opinion, again, going back to, I 21 right. And the expert context is fine. 22 mean it respectfully, what was the point of that, 22 Maybe he didn't have another personal

23

24

25

account. I don't know.

Did you see any other activity, any

other payments out, other than trust transactions

23 identifying that Shant paid personal -- they're all

25 that matter?

24 personal expenses through this entity. Why does

Page 3	3	Page 35
1 through the Speedus accounts?	1	the Zargis, the paragraph beginning with the title,
2 A Yes.		the bold title, Zargis, and then there's a dash, and
3 Q Were they also personal expenses of	3	then it begins on page 27 of the Withum, we reprint
4 Shant?	4	for ease of reading. And again, this is a block
5 A Yes, they all appeared to be.	5	quote from your report. Can you take a minute and
6 Q Were there any business transactions,	6	just go through that page? It runs to the end of
7 if you know?	7	that page 9.
8 A I would have to look back through the	8	A Okay.
9 details. I don't recall there being any.	9	Q Again, there's an identification here
10 Q When you identified business versus	10	
11 personal transactions without the benefit of the	11	business activity conducted by Zargis, and that
12 payor, without the account holder, how did you	12	expenses on behalf of the trust, it appears, were
13 discern which ones were personal and which ones	wen1e3	paid through this Zargis account as well, correct?
14 business?	14	A Correct.
15 A So based on the testimony, there was no	15	Q And so you say in the conclusion in
16 ongoing business operations, so expenses, many	of 16	bold at the bottom, Accordingly, it's my opinion
17 the expenses were things such as meals and trave	el. 17	
18 Q And were those okay. That would be	18	clearing account for funds of other entities,
19 the testimony, I guess, of obviously, this is why	19	including, but not limited to, monies transferred in
20 attorneys this is a transcript that somebody will	20	from Speedus New York bank accounts for the payment
21 pull and post. It would be the testimony of the	21	of a real estate tax lien on 520 NRR.
22 persons who already provided testimony in this ca		What is the basis for your opinion and
23 so it would be Karen, Nina and whomever else, rig		
24 A That was some of the basis, yes.	24	A My basis is the sources and use of cash
25 Q And again, the meals and travel and	25	analysis that were performed.
Page 3	4	Page 36
1 those kinds of things that you say were related,	1	Q And what do you consider a clearing
those kinds of things that you say were related,were these identified as trust expenses?	1 2	Q And what do you consider a clearing account?
 those kinds of things that you say were related, were these identified as trust expenses? A No, they were not. 	1	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so
 those kinds of things that you say were related, were these identified as trust expenses? A No, they were not. Q Do you know if Shant had any other 	1 2 3	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or
 those kinds of things that you say were related, were these identified as trust expenses? A No, they were not. Q Do you know if Shant had any other any other bank or bank equivalent investment, 	1 2 3 4 5	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so
 those kinds of things that you say were related, were these identified as trust expenses? A No, they were not. Q Do you know if Shant had any other any other bank or bank equivalent investment, 	1 2 3 4 5	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit?
 those kinds of things that you say were related, were these identified as trust expenses? A No, they were not. Q Do you know if Shant had any other any other bank or bank equivalent investment, whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world or 	1 2 3 4 5 r the 6	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term.
 those kinds of things that you say were related, were these identified as trust expenses? A No, they were not. Q Do you know if Shant had any other any other bank or bank equivalent investment, whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world o Wells Fargos of the world? You know what I'm 	1 2 3 4 5 r the 6 7 8	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term.
 those kinds of things that you say were related, were these identified as trust expenses? A No, they were not. Q Do you know if Shant had any other any other bank or bank equivalent investment, whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world o Wells Fargos of the world? You know what I'm saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you 	1 2 3 4 5 r the 6 7 8	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that? A Again, this is similar to our
 those kinds of things that you say were related, were these identified as trust expenses? A No, they were not. Q Do you know if Shant had any other any other bank or bank equivalent investment, whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world o Wells Fargos of the world? You know what I'm saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you know he had any other accounts from which he common the say were related, 	1 2 3 4 5 r the 6 7 8 ould 9	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that? A Again, this is similar to our
 those kinds of things that you say were related, were these identified as trust expenses? A No, they were not. Q Do you know if Shant had any other any other bank or bank equivalent investment, whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world o Wells Fargos of the world? You know what I'm saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you know he had any other accounts from which he o pay expenses? 	1 2 3 4 5 r the 6 7 8 ould 9	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that? A Again, this is similar to our conclusion with respect to Speedus, when we analyzed the Pachava assets and the VSHPHH assets. There
 those kinds of things that you say were related, were these identified as trust expenses? A No, they were not. Q Do you know if Shant had any other any other bank or bank equivalent investment, whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world o Wells Fargos of the world? You know what I'm saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you know he had any other accounts from which he c pay expenses? A Outside of the accounts outlined in my 	1 2 3 4 5 r the 6 7 8 ould 9 10	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that? A Again, this is similar to our conclusion with respect to Speedus, when we analyzed the Pachava assets and the VSHPHH assets. There were certain real estate taxes which were being
 those kinds of things that you say were related, were these identified as trust expenses? A No, they were not. Q Do you know if Shant had any other any other bank or bank equivalent investment, whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world o Wells Fargos of the world? You know what I'm saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you know he had any other accounts from which he c pay expenses? A Outside of the accounts outlined in my report, I'm not aware of any others. 	1 2 3 4 5 r the 6 7 8 ould 9 10 11	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that? A Again, this is similar to our conclusion with respect to Speedus, when we analyzed the Pachava assets and the VSHPHH assets. There were certain real estate taxes which were being funded through the Zargis bank account and we needed
 those kinds of things that you say were related, were these identified as trust expenses? A No, they were not. Q Do you know if Shant had any other any other bank or bank equivalent investment, whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world o Wells Fargos of the world? You know what I'm saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you know he had any other accounts from which he company expenses? A Outside of the accounts outlined in my report, I'm not aware of any others. Q And the accounts outlined in your report are the ones that you reviewed? A Correct. 	1 2 3 4 5 r the 6 7 8 ould 9 10 11 12	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that? A Again, this is similar to our conclusion with respect to Speedus, when we analyzed the Pachava assets and the VSHPHH assets. There were certain real estate taxes which were being funded through the Zargis bank account and we needed to determine the ultimate source.
 those kinds of things that you say were related, were these identified as trust expenses? A No, they were not. Q Do you know if Shant had any other any other bank or bank equivalent investment, whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world o Wells Fargos of the world? You know what I'm saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you know he had any other accounts from which he c pay expenses? A Outside of the accounts outlined in my report, I'm not aware of any others. Q And the accounts outlined in your report are the ones that you reviewed? A Correct. Q Did you have complete statements for 	1 2 3 4 5 7 8 ould 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that? A Again, this is similar to our conclusion with respect to Speedus, when we analyzed the Pachava assets and the VSHPHH assets. There were certain real estate taxes which were being funded through the Zargis bank account and we needed to determine the ultimate source. Q And the ultimate source of the funds in this instance for Zargis was who or whom?
 those kinds of things that you say were related, were these identified as trust expenses? A No, they were not. Q Do you know if Shant had any other any other bank or bank equivalent investment, whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world o Wells Fargos of the world? You know what I'm saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you know he had any other accounts from which he o pay expenses? A Outside of the accounts outlined in my report, I'm not aware of any others. Q And the accounts outlined in your report are the ones that you reviewed? A Correct. Q Did you have complete statements for all of those for the periods that you analyzed? 	1 2 3 4 5 7 8 ould 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that? A Again, this is similar to our conclusion with respect to Speedus, when we analyzed the Pachava assets and the VSHPHH assets. There were certain real estate taxes which were being funded through the Zargis bank account and we needed to determine the ultimate source. Q And the ultimate source of the funds in this instance for Zargis was who or whom? A Well, it flowed through Zargis from
 those kinds of things that you say were related, were these identified as trust expenses? A No, they were not. Q Do you know if Shant had any other any other bank or bank equivalent investment, whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world o Wells Fargos of the world? You know what I'm saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you know he had any other accounts from which he of pay expenses? A Outside of the accounts outlined in my report, I'm not aware of any others. Q And the accounts outlined in your report are the ones that you reviewed? A Correct. Q Did you have complete statements for all of those for the periods that you analyzed? A I believe we did. If we didn't, we 	1 2 3 4 5 7 8 ould 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that? A Again, this is similar to our conclusion with respect to Speedus, when we analyzed the Pachava assets and the VSHPHH assets. There were certain real estate taxes which were being funded through the Zargis bank account and we needed to determine the ultimate source. Q And the ultimate source of the funds in this instance for Zargis was who or whom? A Well, it flowed through Zargis from Speedus, which was the personal funds of Shant.
 those kinds of things that you say were related, were these identified as trust expenses? A No, they were not. Q Do you know if Shant had any other any other bank or bank equivalent investment, whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world o Wells Fargos of the world? You know what I'm saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you know he had any other accounts from which he of pay expenses? A Outside of the accounts outlined in my report, I'm not aware of any others. Q And the accounts outlined in your report are the ones that you reviewed? A Correct. Q Did you have complete statements for all of those for the periods that you analyzed? A I believe we did. If we didn't, we would have footnoted it. I'm fairly certain we had 	1 2 3 4 5 7 the 6 7 8 0uld 9 10 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 1 19	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that? A Again, this is similar to our conclusion with respect to Speedus, when we analyzed the Pachava assets and the VSHPHH assets. There were certain real estate taxes which were being funded through the Zargis bank account and we needed to determine the ultimate source. Q And the ultimate source of the funds in this instance for Zargis was who or whom? A Well, it flowed through Zargis from Speedus, which was the personal funds of Shant. Q And do you have any sense as to why
1 those kinds of things that you say were related, 2 were these identified as trust expenses? 3 A No, they were not. 4 Q Do you know if Shant had any other 5 any other bank or bank equivalent investment, 6 whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world o 7 Wells Fargos of the world? You know what I'm 8 saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you 9 know he had any other accounts from which he o 10 pay expenses? 11 A Outside of the accounts outlined in my 12 report, I'm not aware of any others. 13 Q And the accounts outlined in your 14 report are the ones that you reviewed? 15 A Correct. 16 Q Did you have complete statements for 17 all of those for the periods that you analyzed? 18 A I believe we did. If we didn't, we 19 would have footnoted it. I'm fairly certain we had 20 complete statements for those periods, yes.	1 2 3 4 5 7 the 6 7 8 ould 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that? A Again, this is similar to our conclusion with respect to Speedus, when we analyzed the Pachava assets and the VSHPHH assets. There were certain real estate taxes which were being funded through the Zargis bank account and we needed to determine the ultimate source. Q And the ultimate source of the funds in this instance for Zargis was who or whom? A Well, it flowed through Zargis from Speedus, which was the personal funds of Shant. Q And do you have any sense as to why that took place?
1 those kinds of things that you say were related, 2 were these identified as trust expenses? 3 A No, they were not. 4 Q Do you know if Shant had any other 5 any other bank or bank equivalent investment, 6 whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world o 7 Wells Fargos of the world? You know what I'm 8 saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you 9 know he had any other accounts from which he o 10 pay expenses? 11 A Outside of the accounts outlined in my 12 report, I'm not aware of any others. 13 Q And the accounts outlined in your 14 report are the ones that you reviewed? 15 A Correct. 16 Q Did you have complete statements for 17 all of those for the periods that you analyzed? 18 A I believe we did. If we didn't, we 19 would have footnoted it. I'm fairly certain we had 20 complete statements for those periods, yes. 21 Q And then moving to first off, we're	1 2 3 4 5 7 the 6 7 8 0 0 1 1 1 1 2 1 3 1 4 1 5 1 6 1 7 1 8 1 1 9 2 0 2 1	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that? A Again, this is similar to our conclusion with respect to Speedus, when we analyzed the Pachava assets and the VSHPHH assets. There were certain real estate taxes which were being funded through the Zargis bank account and we needed to determine the ultimate source. Q And the ultimate source of the funds in this instance for Zargis was who or whom? A Well, it flowed through Zargis from Speedus, which was the personal funds of Shant. Q And do you have any sense as to why that took place? A Again, that would be speculation.
1 those kinds of things that you say were related, 2 were these identified as trust expenses? 3 A No, they were not. 4 Q Do you know if Shant had any other 5 any other bank or bank equivalent investment, 6 whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world o 7 Wells Fargos of the world? You know what I'm 8 saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you 9 know he had any other accounts from which he o 10 pay expenses? 11 A Outside of the accounts outlined in my 12 report, I'm not aware of any others. 13 Q And the accounts outlined in your 14 report are the ones that you reviewed? 15 A Correct. 16 Q Did you have complete statements for 17 all of those for the periods that you analyzed? 18 A I believe we did. If we didn't, we 19 would have footnoted it. I'm fairly certain we had 20 complete statements for those periods, yes. 21 Q And then moving to first off, we're 22 50 minutes in. And do you want to check the do	1 2 3 4 5 7 the 6 7 8 0 0 1 1 1 1 2 1 3 1 4 1 5 1 6 1 7 1 8 1 9 2 0 2 1 g or 2 2	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that? A Again, this is similar to our conclusion with respect to Speedus, when we analyzed the Pachava assets and the VSHPHH assets. There were certain real estate taxes which were being funded through the Zargis bank account and we needed to determine the ultimate source. Q And the ultimate source of the funds in this instance for Zargis was who or whom? A Well, it flowed through Zargis from Speedus, which was the personal funds of Shant. Q And do you have any sense as to why that took place? A Again, that would be speculation. Q I understand, but what's so money
1 those kinds of things that you say were related, 2 were these identified as trust expenses? 3 A No, they were not. 4 Q Do you know if Shant had any other 5 any other bank or bank equivalent investment, 6 whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world o 7 Wells Fargos of the world? You know what I'm 8 saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you 9 know he had any other accounts from which he o 10 pay expenses? 11 A Outside of the accounts outlined in my 12 report, I'm not aware of any others. 13 Q And the accounts outlined in your 14 report are the ones that you reviewed? 15 A Correct. 16 Q Did you have complete statements for 17 all of those for the periods that you analyzed? 18 A I believe we did. If we didn't, we 19 would have footnoted it. I'm fairly certain we had 20 complete statements for those periods, yes. 21 Q And then moving to first off, we're 22 50 minutes in. And do you want to check the do 23 do you need a break? What do you want to do?	1 2 3 4 5 5 r the 6 7 8 00 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 g or 22 23	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that? A Again, this is similar to our conclusion with respect to Speedus, when we analyzed the Pachava assets and the VSHPHH assets. There were certain real estate taxes which were being funded through the Zargis bank account and we needed to determine the ultimate source. Q And the ultimate source of the funds in this instance for Zargis was who or whom? A Well, it flowed through Zargis from Speedus, which was the personal funds of Shant. Q And do you have any sense as to why that took place? A Again, that would be speculation. Q I understand, but what's so money went through Speedus and Zargis and then out and
1 those kinds of things that you say were related, 2 were these identified as trust expenses? 3 A No, they were not. 4 Q Do you know if Shant had any other 5 any other bank or bank equivalent investment, 6 whatever accounts at the Morgans of the world o 7 Wells Fargos of the world? You know what I'm 8 saying? I don't want to be that finite, but do you 9 know he had any other accounts from which he o 10 pay expenses? 11 A Outside of the accounts outlined in my 12 report, I'm not aware of any others. 13 Q And the accounts outlined in your 14 report are the ones that you reviewed? 15 A Correct. 16 Q Did you have complete statements for 17 all of those for the periods that you analyzed? 18 A I believe we did. If we didn't, we 19 would have footnoted it. I'm fairly certain we had 20 complete statements for those periods, yes. 21 Q And then moving to first off, we're 22 50 minutes in. And do you want to check the do	1 2 3 4 5 7 the 6 7 8 0 0 1 1 1 1 2 1 3 1 4 1 5 1 6 1 7 1 8 1 9 2 0 2 1 g or 2 2	Q And what do you consider a clearing account? A When funds come in and then go out; so it's essentially the account isn't income or expenses, it's just being used as a flow-through. Q A conduit? A Yes. You can use that term. Q Is there any significance to that? A Again, this is similar to our conclusion with respect to Speedus, when we analyzed the Pachava assets and the VSHPHH assets. There were certain real estate taxes which were being funded through the Zargis bank account and we needed to determine the ultimate source. Q And the ultimate source of the funds in this instance for Zargis was who or whom? A Well, it flowed through Zargis from Speedus, which was the personal funds of Shant. Q And do you have any sense as to why that took place? A Again, that would be speculation. Q I understand, but what's so money went through Speedus and Zargis and then out and paid to a municipality. As a forensics person, does

Page 37 Page 39 It was part of my analysis of the 1 Sure. The real estate taxes for both 1 Pachava and VSHPHH Trust. 2 the Pachava and VSHPHH Trust were paid through those 2 3 Understood. But does it raise any red entities, which we concluded were controlled and funded by personal funds of Shant. So, in essence, flags for you, as far as the transactions 4 4 when we see the real estate taxes being paid, that 5 themselves, does it matter? 5 Generally business accounts aren't contributes to our conclusion that Shant carried the 6 7 utilized to fund personal expenses. But as we've burden of ownership of those entities by funding the 7 8 talked about before, there's no tax returns that 8 real estate taxes. were filed. 9 Q The entities being the trusts? 9 When would that matter? When would it Α 10 Q 10 Correct. 11 matter if you used the business account to fund 11 Q Okay. And that supports just that? It personal expenses? just supports that he used these monies to pay 12 13 If you deducted those personal expenses 13 expenses on behalf of the trusts through these two 14 on a business tax return. companies? 14 And so then if you don't do that, 15 And I should probably reword, it's the 15 Q Α 16 what's the consequence otherwise? properties versus the entities, but yes. 16 I think that's a legal question. 17 Q Tell me what you mean. 17 18 Q Well, and I very much respect you, 18 Α He funded the expenses of the 19 obviously, and so I want to make sure that I don't 19 properties. 20 say things in the wrong manner, because it's not my20 Q Not the trusts. I'm just trying to 21 style. But in this instance, we're saying that your assure that the flow of funds is accurate. 21 22 conclusion is that it's your opinion, which is an 22 How did things go back and forth in both the Speedus and/or the Zargis accounts, monies 23 expert opinion, they used the account as a clearing 23 24 account for funds; what's the point of that? What's 24 came in from -- do you know the source of the monies 25 that conclusion intended to support? 25 that came in? Page 38 Page 40 1 Α It's intended to support --1 Yeah, they're all in schedules 2 MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection. Sorry, throughout the reports, yes. 3 objection to the extent it calls for her to 3 And then that sourcing is derived from 4 opine on, to provide work product or a legal 4 what, the bank statements? 5 conclusion, but you can answer to the extent 5 The bank statements and the review of 6 you're talking about in the accounting 6 other financial and non-financial documents. 7 7 context. Okay. And what supports that the monies came from Shant personally? What 8 8 MR. HANAMIRIAN: Yeah, I mean if you documentation supports that? 9 want me to --9 10 It would depend on each individual MR. ZIMBERG: Go ahead. 10 MR. HANAMIRIAN: The reason I say what 1 transaction. You'd have to look at them all 11 12 I'm saying and not argumentatively, but when 12 individually. we say it's my opinion that he used the --13 We'll stay on pages 8 and 9, where in 8 13 Shant used the Zargis bank account as a we're talking about the tax lien against Village 14 15 clearing account, the opinion, assumedly Mall for the 319-and-change thousand, that 15 transaction. 16 matters for some reason. 16 THE WITNESS: That opinion is used to 17 17 Give me one second. I'm just trying to support my other opinions with respect to my 18 18 find it in my report here. 19 analysis of the Pachava and VSHPHH Trust. 19 Q Take your time. It's not speed-driven. 20 BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Thank you, though. 20 21 Which are what -- take the sequence, On January 19, 2017, 319,000 was paid 21 out of the Zargis bank account for the Middletown 22 then. So from the prior Speedus analysis and 22 23 dialogue we just had, and the Zargis analysis and 23 Township taxes. That was funded from two transfers 24 dialogue that we just had, how do those two plug in 24 from the Speedus account just two days earlier of 25 to your subsequent opinions, as you describe? 25 140,000 and 200,000.

	Page 41		Page 43
1	Q And so it looks like that the property	1	Q Yes.
2	tax was paid through the entity. Do you have any	2	A No, it was not.
3	sense of why that might have been?	3	Q And so how did you come to that
4	A I do not, no.	4	conclusion, or how did you come to that assumption,
5	Q Did it concern you, professionally,	5	or whatever you want to call it?
6	obviously?	6	A The funds came in from Sparebanken,
7	A It was part of my analysis.	7	S-P-A-R-E-B-A-N-K-E-N, one word, Rogaland,
8	Q Did it raise any question in your mind?	8	R-O-G-A-L-A-N-D, and were notated with the term
9	Like, maybe I can make it easier. I'm asking now,	9	Hilde Nedrehangen Jenssen.
10	why did he do that? You say, okay, why would you d	_	Q Okay. So that there were monies it
11	that, as you're looking through?	11	seems like they were paid by Hilde to the Speedus
12	A Right, it raised the question of why	12	account? They were wired into the Speedus account:
13	taxes relative to an asset that's been put in trust	13	A Correct.
14	aren't being paid out of the trust.	14	Q It indicates wire?
15	Q And what did you think in your mind was	15	A Correct.
16	the reason? Did you think that there was any	16	Q And then you reached you in some way
17	reason?	17	said that they were funds from his divorce. But do
18	A Again, I think it would be speculation	18	we know that?
19	to try to understand the reason why Shant handled	19	A Hilde testified as such.
20	his finances that way.	20	Q What did she say, do you recall?
21	Q Understood. But in the forensic expert	21	A I don't recall exactly, no.
22	analysis, which is what we've identified with the	22	Q Do you recall if it helps, do you
23	scope of the engagement, there is speculation	23	recall her saying that she owed Shant money?
24	associated with forensic analysis, yes?	24	A I don't recall that, no.
25	A No. Our analysis is performed to avoid	25	Q Moving on to page 10 of Randall's
23	A No. Our analysis is performed to avoid	23	willing on to page 10 of Kandairs
	Page 42		Page 44
1	speculation, utilize financial, non-financial	1	responsive report under the bolded heading Pachava
2	documents, as to not speculate.	2	and 529 Navesink River Road, Randall identifies that
3	Q Do you have to make assumptions?	3	an asset here in this litigation for which there is
4	A Sometimes, yes.	4	a claim as this 520 Navesink River Road property.
5	Q Did you make assumptions in this	5	What do you know about that property?
6	instance?	6	A In what regard?
7	A With respect to this payment, no.	7	Q Well, what's have you who owns
8	Q And why not?	8	that property?
9	A Because I could clearly trace where the	9	MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a
10	funds were coming from.	10	legal conclusion.
11	Q And that was from Speedus?	11	You can answer.
12	A Speedus, which was funded with Shant's	12	THE WITNESS: Currently it's titled to
13	divorce proceeds.	13	the Pachava Trust.
14	Q What do you mean by "divorce proceeds"	?14	BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:
15	A Funds that he received subject to his	15	Q And Randall's characterization of your
16	divorce.	16	report, in summary form, without reading it, is that
17	Q What do you mean? Again, can you be	17	essentially the theory, is that Shant potentially
	more specific about that?	18	co-mingled trust assets or trust monies to such an
18	A 1:	19	extent that somehow the trust itself is invalid; is
18 19	A It was money that went into the Speedus		
	account, which was indicated to be money from	20	that accurate? Or is that an accurate description
19	·	20 21	of what Randall says? And I know all the associated
19 20	account, which was indicated to be money from		•
19 20 21	account, which was indicated to be money from relative to his divorce from Hilde for their	21	of what Randall says? And I know all the associated
19 20 21 22	account, which was indicated to be money from relative to his divorce from Hilde for their settlement.	21 22	of what Randall says? And I know all the associated hearsay issues associated with that question. I get
19 20 21 22 23	account, which was indicated to be money from relative to his divorce from Hilde for their settlement. Q Was that on the bank statements?	21 22 23	of what Randall says? And I know all the associated hearsay issues associated with that question. I get it.

	Page 45		Page 47
1	MR. HANAMIRIAN: The whole list. Pull	1	reflect the ownership of that property prior to the
2	out the trial handbook and	2	transfer into the Pachava Trust?
3	MR. KUNOFSKY: The whole list. That's	3	A Yes. The title was transferred from
4	how you preserve them. It's compound. I	4	Shant's mother.
5	believe it's improper for one expert to	5	Q To?
6	well, I'll object to the question as compound.	6	A The Pachava Trust.
7	Can you rephrase it to do one report? At	7	Q Okay. And was there any identification
8	least start off with one report.	8	as to the reason for that transfer?
9	MR. HANAMIRIAN: No, if you go to the	9	A I guess I'm not following your
10	word "improper," I'm going to withdraw it.	10	question.
11	Not because of you, but I want to withdraw it	11	Q Was it a gift? Did somebody pay?
12	to ensure it's not characterized at all like	12	A It was a one dollar transfer into the
13	that.	13	trust.
14	BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:	14	Q Would you call that a gift?
15	Q What I was trying to do, sentence	15	A Yes.
16	number 2, under the heading identified as Pachava	16	Q And then do you have any experience
17	and 529 Navesink Road, beginning with the words,	17	dealing with gift tax issues?
18	"All of the Withum report." I was trying to provide	18	A Yes.
19	a synopsis of that.	19	Q And in that if somebody transfers real
20 21	A I'm not following where you are.	20	property into a trust, what's the consequence of
22	Q Page 10, and then the bolded heading, Pachava and 520 Navesink River Road, second sente	21	transfer like that?
23	in that paragraph beginning with the words, All of	23	A There's a gift tax return that's to be
24	the Withum report. Take a second and then you tell	24	filed by the person transferring the asset. Q And we presuppose the value is greate
25	me what it says, rather than me trying	25	than the exclusion amount for any particular gift,
20	The what it says, rather than the trying	23	than the exclusion amount for any particular girt,
	Page 46		Page 48
1	A What was your question with respect to	1	or series of gifts?
2	that paragraph?	2	A Correct.
3	Q Is that an accurate statement of	3	Q And then there's a triggering of a
4	what your report, the characterization of your	4	Federal gift tax return?
5	report? That sentence and the last sentence in the	а5	A Correct.
6	same paragraph together.	l 6	
		6	Q Do you know if a gift tax return was
7	A No, I don't think so.	7	filed in this instance?
8	A No, I don't think so.Q And then, if you can, tell me why not.	7 8	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not
8 9	A No, I don't think so.Q And then, if you can, tell me why not.A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm	7 8 9	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed.
8 9 10	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was	7 8 9 10	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens if
8 9 10 11	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was organized to indicate that Shant obtained the	7 8 9 10 11	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens if you don't file a gift tax return; you've made a gift
8 9 10 11 12	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was organized to indicate that Shant obtained the benefit by living at 520 Navesink River Road with	7 8 9 10 11 oL2	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens if you don't file a gift tax return; you've made a gift in excess of the annual gift tax exclusion amount.
8 9 10 11 12 13	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was organized to indicate that Shant obtained the benefit by living at 520 Navesink River Road with the payment of fair market rent and also carried to	7 8 9 10 11 of L2 h1e3	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens if you don't file a gift tax return; you've made a gift in excess of the annual gift tax exclusion amount. Not the exclusion amount, the gift tax.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was organized to indicate that Shant obtained the benefit by living at 520 Navesink River Road with the payment of fair market rent and also carried the burden of ownership by funding the taxes and other terms.	7 8 9 10 11 of L2 he3 ne4 r4	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens it you don't file a gift tax return; you've made a gift in excess of the annual gift tax exclusion amount Not the exclusion amount, the gift tax. A There could be an audit and assessment.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was organized to indicate that Shant obtained the benefit by living at 520 Navesink River Road with the payment of fair market rent and also carried the burden of ownership by funding the taxes and oth expenses at the property.	7 8 9 10 11 of L2 he3 he4 15	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens if you don't file a gift tax return; you've made a gift in excess of the annual gift tax exclusion amount Not the exclusion amount, the gift tax. A There could be an audit and assessment of tax.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was organized to indicate that Shant obtained the benefit by living at 520 Navesink River Road with the payment of fair market rent and also carried the burden of ownership by funding the taxes and oth expenses at the property. The sentence in here what we're	7 8 9 10 11 ol 12 hte3 hte3 16 14 15	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens if you don't file a gift tax return; you've made a gift in excess of the annual gift tax exclusion amount. Not the exclusion amount, the gift tax. A There could be an audit and assessment of tax. Q Is there any other consequence?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was organized to indicate that Shant obtained the benefit by living at 520 Navesink River Road with the payment of fair market rent and also carried the burden of ownership by funding the taxes and other expenses at the property. The sentence in here what we're suggesting and co-mingling aren't terms that we	7 8 9 10 11 olit hte3 hte3 15 16 17	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens if you don't file a gift tax return; you've made a gift in excess of the annual gift tax exclusion amount Not the exclusion amount, the gift tax. A There could be an audit and assessment of tax. Q Is there any other consequence? A From the tax standpoint, an accounting
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was organized to indicate that Shant obtained the benefit by living at 520 Navesink River Road with the payment of fair market rent and also carried t burden of ownership by funding the taxes and oth expenses at the property. The sentence in here what we're suggesting and co-mingling aren't terms that we utilize.	7 8 9 10 11 ol 2 he3 he4 15 16 17 18	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens if you don't file a gift tax return; you've made a gift in excess of the annual gift tax exclusion amount. Not the exclusion amount, the gift tax. A There could be an audit and assessment of tax. Q Is there any other consequence? A From the tax standpoint, an accounting standpoint, I think that's it.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was organized to indicate that Shant obtained the benefit by living at 520 Navesink River Road with the payment of fair market rent and also carried to burden of ownership by funding the taxes and other expenses at the property. The sentence in here what we're suggesting and co-mingling aren't terms that we utilize. Q The 520 Navesink Road property, do you	7 8 9 10 11 olæ he3 16 15 16 17 18 ul9	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens if you don't file a gift tax return; you've made a gift in excess of the annual gift tax exclusion amoun Not the exclusion amount, the gift tax. A There could be an audit and assessme of tax. Q Is there any other consequence? A From the tax standpoint, an accounting standpoint, I think that's it. Q And then what happens? Are there
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was organized to indicate that Shant obtained the benefit by living at 520 Navesink River Road with the payment of fair market rent and also carried to burden of ownership by funding the taxes and other expenses at the property. The sentence in here what we're suggesting and co-mingling aren't terms that we utilize. Q The 520 Navesink Road property, do yok know who owned that property before the trust?	7 8 9 10 11 oul 16 15 16 17 18 19 20	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens it you don't file a gift tax return; you've made a gift in excess of the annual gift tax exclusion amoun. Not the exclusion amount, the gift tax. A There could be an audit and assessme of tax. Q Is there any other consequence? A From the tax standpoint, an accounting standpoint, I think that's it. Q And then what happens? Are there penalties and interest associated with that?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was organized to indicate that Shant obtained the benefit by living at 520 Navesink River Road with the payment of fair market rent and also carried the burden of ownership by funding the taxes and other expenses at the property. The sentence in here what we're suggesting and co-mingling aren't terms that we utilize. Q The 520 Navesink Road property, do yok know who owned that property before the trust? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection to the external can be a considered to the construction of the external can be a considered to the construction of the construction of the construction of the external can be a constructed to the construction of the con	7 8 9 10 11 012 16 15 16 17 18 01 9 20 121	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens if you don't file a gift tax return; you've made a gift in excess of the annual gift tax exclusion amount. Not the exclusion amount, the gift tax. A There could be an audit and assessme of tax. Q Is there any other consequence? A From the tax standpoint, an accounting standpoint, I think that's it. Q And then what happens? Are there penalties and interest associated with that? A Yes, there would be.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was organized to indicate that Shant obtained the benefit by living at 520 Navesink River Road with the payment of fair market rent and also carried the burden of ownership by funding the taxes and other expenses at the property. The sentence in here what we're suggesting and co-mingling aren't terms that we utilize. Q The 520 Navesink Road property, do yok know who owned that property before the trust? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection to the externit calls for a legal conclusion, but you can	7 8 9 10 11 01 12 16 17 18 10 19 20 12 21 22	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens if you don't file a gift tax return; you've made a gift in excess of the annual gift tax exclusion amount. Not the exclusion amount, the gift tax. A There could be an audit and assessment of tax. Q Is there any other consequence? A From the tax standpoint, an accounting standpoint, I think that's it. Q And then what happens? Are there penalties and interest associated with that? A Yes, there would be. MR. KUNOFSKY: I'm going to object to
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was organized to indicate that Shant obtained the benefit by living at 520 Navesink River Road with the payment of fair market rent and also carried the burden of ownership by funding the taxes and other expenses at the property. The sentence in here what we're suggesting and co-mingling aren't terms that we utilize. Q The 520 Navesink Road property, do yok know who owned that property before the trust? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection to the exterticalls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer.	7 8 9 10 11 of 12 16 17 18 19 12 12 22 23	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens if you don't file a gift tax return; you've made a gift in excess of the annual gift tax exclusion amount Not the exclusion amount, the gift tax. A There could be an audit and assessment of tax. Q Is there any other consequence? A From the tax standpoint, an accounting standpoint, I think that's it. Q And then what happens? Are there penalties and interest associated with that? A Yes, there would be. MR. KUNOFSKY: I'm going to object to this line of questioning and that question to
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was organized to indicate that Shant obtained the benefit by living at 520 Navesink River Road with the payment of fair market rent and also carried to burden of ownership by funding the taxes and other expenses at the property. The sentence in here what we're suggesting and co-mingling aren't terms that we utilize. Q The 520 Navesink Road property, do yok know who owned that property before the trust? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection to the externit calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:	7 8 9 10 11 01 12 15 16 17 18 12 12 12 12 22 23 24	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens if you don't file a gift tax return; you've made a gift in excess of the annual gift tax exclusion amount. Not the exclusion amount, the gift tax. A There could be an audit and assessment of tax. Q Is there any other consequence? A From the tax standpoint, an accounting standpoint, I think that's it. Q And then what happens? Are there penalties and interest associated with that? A Yes, there would be. MR. KUNOFSKY: I'm going to object to this line of questioning and that question to the extent it calls for a legal analysis what
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A No, I don't think so. Q And then, if you can, tell me why not. A Our conclusions are fairly clear. I'm looking at them, and it says that our report was organized to indicate that Shant obtained the benefit by living at 520 Navesink River Road with the payment of fair market rent and also carried the burden of ownership by funding the taxes and other expenses at the property. The sentence in here what we're suggesting and co-mingling aren't terms that we utilize. Q The 520 Navesink Road property, do yok know who owned that property before the trust? MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection to the exterticalls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer.	7 8 9 10 11 of 12 16 17 18 19 12 12 22 23	filed in this instance? A It's my understanding that one was not filed. Q From your experience, what happens if you don't file a gift tax return; you've made a gift in excess of the annual gift tax exclusion amoun. Not the exclusion amount, the gift tax. A There could be an audit and assessme of tax. Q Is there any other consequence? A From the tax standpoint, an accounting standpoint, I think that's it. Q And then what happens? Are there penalties and interest associated with that? A Yes, there would be. MR. KUNOFSKY: I'm going to object to this line of questioning and that question to

1	Page 49		Page 51
1	understanding is what so long as it's	1	Q So he got what you would identify as an
2	understood that she's testifying as to what	2	economic benefit by not paying rent on the house?
3	she understands.	3	A Correct.
4	MR. HANAMIRIAN: Okay.	4	Q We're saying in the conclusions are
5	MR. KUNOFSKY: I don't want this to be	5	that Hasmig, H-A-S-M-I-G, is her legal name, if sh
6	some sort of a waiver issue.	6	transferred the property to trust, did you consider
7	MR. HANAMIRIAN: And I don't rely on	7	whether this was a gift to the trust? We identified
8	the testimony for the statement of the law,	8	that it was transferred for a dollar and we said it
9	either. I just want to get an understanding	9	was potentially a gift, right?
10	of what her knowledge is with respect to that	10	A Yes, it was a gift to the trust; yes.
11	type of activity; that's all.	11	Q And so then why would he pay rent
12	BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:	12	thereafter?
13	Q And so in that same paragraph, Randall	13	A Why would Shant pay rent?
14	goes further, and in the next paragraph, which is	14	
15	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		, , , ,
16	the second full paragraph, beginning with the words, "For ease of comparison," he then block quotes you	15 16	A The trust is entitled to receive fair
			market value rent for its assets.
17 10	again, and then again at the bottom of page 10	17	Q Did you see the two trust the trust
18	running into page 11 and 12.	18	document, the Pachava asset trust?
19	Can you take a moment and review that	19	A Yes, I did.
20	quoted language?	20	Q And did it reflect who the
21	A Okay.	21	beneficiaries of the trusts were?
22	Q And then at the bottom of page 11 and	22	A Yes, it did.
23	running into 12, you conclude, Accordingly, it's my	23	Q Do you recall who they were?
24	opinion that Shant obtained a benefit by living at	24	A Which trusts are you speaking about,
25	520 NRR without the payment of fair market rent and	25	the Pachava?
	Page 50		Page 52
1	carried the burden of ownership of 520 NRR by	1	Q Yes.
2	funding the real estate taxes and other expense	ó2	A Shant's three children.
3	the property through other bank accounts he	3	Q And were the children living in the
4	utilized.	4	house?
5	I can't say or tell, but is that an	5	A Yes, they were.
6	accounting/expert conclusion?	6	Q And so when you talk about a fair an
7	MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection, calls for a	7	economic benefit for Shant, are you parsing out the
8	legal conclusion.	8	children? If there is a gift what I'm asking
_			
9	MR. HANAMIRIAN: I hear you. It's the	9	you, if there is a gift to the trust by the
	MR. HANAMIRIAN: I hear you. It's the "it's my opinion that Shant obtained a benef		,
10	MR. HANAMIRIAN: I hear you. It's the "it's my opinion that Shant obtained a benef by living," appears to me that that is I		•
10 11	"it's my opinion that Shant obtained a benef	it10	grandmother and the children live in the house, of
10 11 12	"it's my opinion that Shant obtained a benef by living," appears to me that that is I	it10 11	grandmother and the children live in the house, of they need to pay rent?
10 11 12 13	"it's my opinion that Shant obtained a benef by living," appears to me that that is I want to understand what the accounting or	it10 11 12	grandmother and the children live in the house, of they need to pay rent? A Children never need to pay rent. It's
10 11 12 13 14	"it's my opinion that Shant obtained a benef by living," appears to me that that is I want to understand what the accounting or forensic conclusion is. THE WITNESS: I believe it is. He	it10 11 12 13 14	grandmother and the children live in the house, of they need to pay rent? A Children never need to pay rent. It's the responsibility of the parents. Q For who? So the children live there,
10 11 12 13 14 15	"it's my opinion that Shant obtained a benef by living," appears to me that that is I want to understand what the accounting or forensic conclusion is. THE WITNESS: I believe it is. He obtained an economic benefit by living in the	it10 11 12 13 14	grandmother and the children live in the house, of they need to pay rent? A Children never need to pay rent. It's the responsibility of the parents. Q For who? So the children live there, do they need to pay rent?
10 11 12 13 14 15	"it's my opinion that Shant obtained a benef by living," appears to me that that is I want to understand what the accounting or forensic conclusion is. THE WITNESS: I believe it is. He	it10 11 12 13 14 e15	grandmother and the children live in the house, of they need to pay rent? A Children never need to pay rent. It's the responsibility of the parents. Q For who? So the children live there, do they need to pay rent?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	"it's my opinion that Shant obtained a benef by living," appears to me that that is I want to understand what the accounting or forensic conclusion is. THE WITNESS: I believe it is. He obtained an economic benefit by living in the property without paying rent. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:	it10 11 12 13 14 e15 16	grandmother and the children live in the house, of they need to pay rent? A Children never need to pay rent. It's the responsibility of the parents. Q For who? So the children live there, do they need to pay rent? A No, I just said the children never pay rent, whether there's a trust or not a trust.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	"it's my opinion that Shant obtained a benef by living," appears to me that that is I want to understand what the accounting or forensic conclusion is. THE WITNESS: I believe it is. He obtained an economic benefit by living in the property without paying rent. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:	it10 11 12 13 14 e15 16 17	grandmother and the children live in the house, of they need to pay rent? A Children never need to pay rent. It's the responsibility of the parents. Q For who? So the children live there, do they need to pay rent? A No, I just said the children never pay rent, whether there's a trust or not a trust. Q So it would be the parents who would
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"it's my opinion that Shant obtained a benef by living," appears to me that that is I want to understand what the accounting or forensic conclusion is. THE WITNESS: I believe it is. He obtained an economic benefit by living in the property without paying rent. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Isn't economic benefit an accounting term?	it10 11 12 13 14 e15 16 17 18	grandmother and the children live in the house, of they need to pay rent? A Children never need to pay rent. It's the responsibility of the parents. Q For who? So the children live there, do they need to pay rent? A No, I just said the children never pay rent, whether there's a trust or not a trust. Q So it would be the parents who would have to pay rent for their use?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	"it's my opinion that Shant obtained a benef by living," appears to me that that is I want to understand what the accounting or forensic conclusion is. THE WITNESS: I believe it is. He obtained an economic benefit by living in the property without paying rent. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Isn't economic benefit an accounting term? A Well, economic benefit, so yes, I would	it10 11 12 13 14 e15 16 17 18 19 i20	grandmother and the children live in the house, of they need to pay rent? A Children never need to pay rent. It's the responsibility of the parents. Q For who? So the children live there, do they need to pay rent? A No, I just said the children never pay rent, whether there's a trust or not a trust. Q So it would be the parents who would have to pay rent for their use? A The parents pay rent for the family's
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	"it's my opinion that Shant obtained a benef by living," appears to me that that is I want to understand what the accounting or forensic conclusion is. THE WITNESS: I believe it is. He obtained an economic benefit by living in the property without paying rent. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Isn't economic benefit an accounting term? A Well, economic benefit, so yes, I would say it is.	it10 11 12 13 14 e15 16 17 18 19 220 21	grandmother and the children live in the house, of they need to pay rent? A Children never need to pay rent. It's the responsibility of the parents. Q For who? So the children live there, do they need to pay rent? A No, I just said the children never pay rent, whether there's a trust or not a trust. Q So it would be the parents who would have to pay rent for their use? A The parents pay rent for the family's use, correct.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	"it's my opinion that Shant obtained a benef by living," appears to me that that is I want to understand what the accounting or forensic conclusion is. THE WITNESS: I believe it is. He obtained an economic benefit by living in the property without paying rent. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Isn't economic benefit an accounting term? A Well, economic benefit, so yes, I would say it is. Q Is that what you meant when you wrot	it10 11 12 13 14 e15 16 17 18 19 20 21 e22	grandmother and the children live in the house, of they need to pay rent? A Children never need to pay rent. It's the responsibility of the parents. Q For who? So the children live there, do they need to pay rent? A No, I just said the children never pay rent, whether there's a trust or not a trust. Q So it would be the parents who would have to pay rent for their use? A The parents pay rent for the family's use, correct. Q Would they have to pay for the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"it's my opinion that Shant obtained a benef by living," appears to me that that is I want to understand what the accounting or forensic conclusion is. THE WITNESS: I believe it is. He obtained an economic benefit by living in the property without paying rent. BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: Q Isn't economic benefit an accounting term? A Well, economic benefit, so yes, I would say it is.	it10 11 12 13 14 e15 16 17 18 19 220 21	grandmother and the children live in the house, of they need to pay rent? A Children never need to pay rent. It's the responsibility of the parents. Q For who? So the children live there, do they need to pay rent? A No, I just said the children never pay rent, whether there's a trust or not a trust. Q So it would be the parents who would have to pay rent for their use? A The parents pay rent for the family's use, correct.

Page 53 Page 55 Because it's the parents' gifted trust is a gift to the beneficiaries, 1 correct, for tax purposes? 2 responsibilities to pay rent for children. 3 If I asked the question, Ari would 3 A gift to the trust; and then the object. I'm saying: Why is it their beneficiaries are the ones that are supposed to 4 4 benefit from the asset that's held in the trust, 5 responsibility? 5 MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection. It calls for 6 6 correct. 7 a legal conclusion. You can answer as to the 7 Q And then in this instance, do you 8 economic or accounting basis for that. 8 believe that the children benefited from the asset 9 THE WITNESS: The trust is to be that was the subject of the gift to the Pachava 10 administered for the benefit of its 10 Trust? 11 beneficiaries. So that home could have been 11 Α I do not. I think the children would rented at fair market value, 100 percent fair have had a household to live in whether there was a 12 12 13 market value to a third party. 13 gift to them or not. 14 BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: 14 I don't want to argue or be perceived Q as arguing; I'm not. Let's take an example and say 15 Q Okay. But instead the children lived 15 16 there with their parents. And so when you say it's 16 if the grandmother, in this instance, Paris, had your opinion that Shant obtained a benefit by living 17 made a gift to the trust of a car, and the children 17 18 there, do you mean just Shant, or do you mean the 18 used the car, would your response be the same? Did 19 whole family? 19 the parents have an obligation to pay for the car? 20 Shant was the one that was paying 20 Α I don't think a car is the same as 21 21 living expenses for the family; so Shant. shelter for a child. 22 So by paying expenses for the family, 22 For tax reporting purposes, as an Q 23 he obtained a benefit? I think what I'm pointing 23 accountant and a forensic person, do you distinguish between the nature of the gift and, say, well, 24 to, again the bottom at page 11 and into 12, where 24 25 you say, it's your opinion that Shant obtained a parents don't have to provide a car, but they do Page 54 Page 56 1 benefit by living at 520 NRR without the benefit of 1 need to provide a house, so we're not going to file fair market rent and carried the burden of ownership 2 a return? 3 of 520 NRR by funding the real estate taxes and 3 Well, there's a difference here in that other expense of the property through other bank 4 situation that you just gave me, the car is being accounts he utilized. used only by the children. It's not being used by 5 5 6 That page, is it that Shant obtained 6 it parents. that benefit or did everybody obtain that benefit? 7 7 Q What if the parents use it, too? Shant obtained that benefit. The 8 Α Do the parents have another car or they 8 children would have had a household, regardless of don't know how to purchase their own car because 9 whether that trust was created or not. they're using their children's? 10 10 What do you mean? How do we know that?11 The parents have 13 other cars. For 11 Shant, as a parent, would have reporting purposes, does it matter? 12 12 13 maintained a household for his children. I think in that situation that you just 13 I'm just trying to understand, because talked about, the children are the ones receiving a 14 15 again it goes back to the notion that it's a gift 15 primary benefit of the car. 16 and that he paid the expenses, but that the gift --And so that asset, in your mind, is 16 17 I don't think -- I don't think there's an issue 17 distinguishable than a home, a house? 18 surrounding what the trust document says, and so well Yes, I think they're completely 19 can leave it at that. You've identified that the 19 different assets, correct. 20 beneficiaries are the children. So again, they And for reporting purposes, you would 20 21 receive a gift, yes? 21 go through an analysis of distinguishing the asset 22 or the gift made, you would look and see whether we Α Correct. 22 23 need to file a gift tax return and you say, well, Q And then we talked about you would then 23 24 -- process wise a gift tax return would be filed to wait a minute, what asset was contributed, and the 24

25

say it was a real estate. They say, okay. Do you

25 demonstrate the gift. So it goes to the trust, the

Page 57

1 then start drilling down like we are now and say how 2 many other houses? How old are the children? Do 3 they need to live there? Do we do that analysis in the reporting context as well? 4 5

- Are you talking about a gift tax return or for the administration of the trust?
- Either. I think the administration of the trust is outside the scope, which is why I didn't do it, but for gift tax purposes.
- For gift tax purposes, it's the value 10 Α 11 of the asset.
- 12 And so it's not differentiated for gift O 13 tax purposes?
 - No, I don't believe so. Α

6

7

8

9

8

24

- 14 Where does your -- again, I don't want 15 16 to belabor it, professionally. Where do you come --17 where is the -- that distinction, where is it 18 derived from that you're looking at the underlying 19 asset and it's use and that type of thing? It's a 20 basis or a part of the basis for your formation of 21 your opinion so that's why I'm pushing so much to 22 understand. It's not a challenge to you, it's an 23 understanding. I'm just trying to understand why we 23
 - Page 58

20

4

5

7

8

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

report it, but in this instance we say, oh, well, no, it's different because their parents are 3 required to provide a home.

24 go through that analysis for this purpose, but for

25 gift tax return purposes, asset is the asset and we

- There's no differences in the gift tax 4 reporting. I thought we just talked about that. 5 The difference is how the asset is handled and held 6 6 7 for the benefit of the beneficiaries.
- Okay. And in the context of that, you provided and you reviewed Randall's pages of pulled9 9 10 quotes from your report that we identified. And in 11 those quotes you talk about Shant having paid all 12 the expenses for the property. Would he then be 13 just meeting his parental obligation under that 14 theory of the gift? Is he required to pay the gift 15 taxes for the kids so he could provide shelter?
- 16 I'm confused with your question. He's 17 not required to pay the gift taxes. The gift taxes 18 are paid by the mother on the filing of the gift tax 19 return.
- 20 Q I apologize. I misspoke. Real estate 21 taxes.
- 22 I think there's a difference between 23 the cost of ownership and what fair market rent is.
- What do you identify as the cost of 25 ownership?

Page 59

- 1 The cost of owning a home, real estate taxes, homeowner's insurance, things along that 2 3 nature.
- 4 In a scenario, if the trust has no 5 other liquid asset, the renter has no liquid assets. where would you have expected the money for these 7 expenses to come from?
- 8 If there was fair market value rent 9 being paid, there would be liquid assets in order to 10 pay those expenses.
- Okay. And so in this scenario, he paid 11 what, \$500,000 or more in real property taxes and 12 Hilde, I believe, testified that they paid all of the utilities and things associated with the property. Does that equate to you or exceed fair 15 market value rent? 16
- 17 I feel like that was a loaded question. 18 I'm not sure where you're getting the numbers from, so can you just kind of simplify that?
- That's what I was trying to do. On page 11, in that -- in the middle of the page 21 under -- in Randall's report, "We reprint Withum's 22 conclusion from page 36 below." At which point 24 Randall identifies or quotes you in the third bullet point, sub-bullet points 1, 2 and 3 of these real 25

Page 60

1 estate taxes, expenses and other items for this 520 2 property. 3

Did the payment of those -- are they obligated to pay those expenses, as parents?

- As parents, they provide a shelter to their children. So I guess your question is confusing me because these expenses are the expenses of the trust.
- Q Well, yeah. I mean, and that's where 10 I'm trying to get to. I want to understand. We're saying the gift to trust is not a gift that the children and the whole family is responsible for 12 fair rental value of the property because the 13 parents are obligated to pay and provide shelter for their children. Are they similarly obligated to pay these expenses that we've just identified in Randall's rebuttal report quoting your report?

MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection again to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion, but you can answer.

THE WITNESS: The parents are the one that choose where to live. They can choose whether to live in the asset that was gifted into the trust or choose to live in another asset. In all situations, it's the parents

	Page 61		Page 63
1	that pay the expenses of shelter, which are	1	to the document or the trust terms in your report?
2	these expenses that you're relating to down	2	A I don't believe so, no.
3	below, which are the real estate taxes and	3	MR. HANAMIRIAN: Ari, do you want to
4	utilities of the house.	4	take five, 10 minutes' break.
5	BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:	5	MR. KUNOFSKY: That's fine.
6	Q So it's a parent's obligation those	6	(Brief recess.)
	expenses are similarly the parent's obligation?	7	BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:
8	A They're expenses that a parent would	8	Q We're back on the record. It's 11:52
	generally pay for the upkeep of a home that their	9	a.m. Eastern Standard Time. I'm going through
10	child lived in, yes.	10	thumbing through Randall's report just to see
11	Q And again, so that your conclusion, it	11	let's go to page 17. And then there's a bolded
12	doesn't matter who owns the house, the parents ha	v t e2	heading there, Conclusion of Shant and 520 NRR a
13	the obligation regardless; is that accurate? Again,	13	Pachava. That's after the runover paragraph. I'm
14	it's not a trick. I just want to understand.	14	on that page.
15	A I'm trying to understand your question	15	You see where I am?
16	and get to what you're asking me, and I'm having a	16	A Yes, I do.
17	difficult time.	17	Q And the first paragraph of the sentence
18	Q If the parents the logic extreme is	18	begins, "Without repeating the last section," and
19	that the parents have the gift to the trust from	19	then Randall proceeds to say, The Withum report
20	grandmom comes in for the benefit of the children.	20	leaves out a great deal of analysis (rent analysis,
21	We say, okay, well, that doesn't matter for purposes		gift exclusion, children's use of their trust
22		22	property, et cetera).
23		23	
	Because, as you said, the parents have that	24	Do you agree with that statement?
24	obligation to provide shelter for the children. So		A No, I don't.
25	they still need to pay rent for the four of them	25	Q Do you feel that you considered all the
	Page 62		Page 64
1	living in the house or whatever it is, right?	1	facts surrounding?
2	A The children should be the ones	2	A Yes, I do.
3	receiving the benefit of the asset that's being hel	d3	Q And then the next paragraph heading in
4	in trust.	4	bold again, same page, 17, same report, VSHPHH Tru
_			
O.	Q And do you think that they did in this	5	and the Village Mall, in bold, and Randall
5 6	Q And do you think that they did in this instance?	5 6	and the Village Mall, in bold, and Randall identifies and quotes you again in that first
6	instance?	6	identifies and quotes you again in that first
6 7	instance? A I do not. And we've gone through this	6 7	identifies and quotes you again in that first paragraph. The paragraph begins with the words,
6 7 8	instance? A I do not. And we've gone through this before. Because I think the children would have	6 7 8	identifies and quotes you again in that first paragraph. The paragraph begins with the words, Beginning on page 37, and then your quoted language
6 7 8 9	instance? A I do not. And we've gone through this before. Because I think the children would have still had a place to live, had this trust not been	6 7 8 9	identifies and quotes you again in that first paragraph. The paragraph begins with the words, Beginning on page 37, and then your quoted language is immediately thereafter beginning the words,
6 7 8 9	instance? A I do not. And we've gone through this before. Because I think the children would have still had a place to live, had this trust not been created and the house not been gifted into the	6 7 8 9 10	identifies and quotes you again in that first paragraph. The paragraph begins with the words, Beginning on page 37, and then your quoted language is immediately thereafter beginning the words, Although the title of the Village Mall.
6 7 8 9 10	instance? A I do not. And we've gone through this before. Because I think the children would have still had a place to live, had this trust not been created and the house not been gifted into the trust.	6 7 8 9 10 11	identifies and quotes you again in that first paragraph. The paragraph begins with the words, Beginning on page 37, and then your quoted language is immediately thereafter beginning the words, Although the title of the Village Mall. Can you take a moment and just read
6 7 8 9 10 11	instance? A I do not. And we've gone through this before. Because I think the children would have still had a place to live, had this trust not been created and the house not been gifted into the trust. Q So then say that the children are 18,	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	identifies and quotes you again in that first paragraph. The paragraph begins with the words, Beginning on page 37, and then your quoted language is immediately thereafter beginning the words, Although the title of the Village Mall. Can you take a moment and just read that paragraph that's quoted from your report?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	instance? A I do not. And we've gone through this before. Because I think the children would have still had a place to live, had this trust not been created and the house not been gifted into the trust. Q So then say that the children are 18, 21, 25, 30 and they're all living in the house with	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	identifies and quotes you again in that first paragraph. The paragraph begins with the words, Beginning on page 37, and then your quoted language is immediately thereafter beginning the words, Although the title of the Village Mall. Can you take a moment and just read that paragraph that's quoted from your report? A Okay.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	instance? A I do not. And we've gone through this before. Because I think the children would have still had a place to live, had this trust not been created and the house not been gifted into the trust. Q So then say that the children are 18, 21, 25, 30 and they're all living in the house with their parents; same analysis?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	identifies and quotes you again in that first paragraph. The paragraph begins with the words, Beginning on page 37, and then your quoted language is immediately thereafter beginning the words, Although the title of the Village Mall. Can you take a moment and just read that paragraph that's quoted from your report? A Okay. Q In that paragraph, in the second
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	instance? A I do not. And we've gone through this before. Because I think the children would have still had a place to live, had this trust not been created and the house not been gifted into the trust. Q So then say that the children are 18, 21, 25, 30 and they're all living in the house with their parents; same analysis? A You're saying the children are all of	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	identifies and quotes you again in that first paragraph. The paragraph begins with the words, Beginning on page 37, and then your quoted language is immediately thereafter beginning the words, Although the title of the Village Mall. Can you take a moment and just read that paragraph that's quoted from your report? A Okay. Q In that paragraph, in the second sentence, beginning with, Furthermore, Shant related
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	instance? A I do not. And we've gone through this before. Because I think the children would have still had a place to live, had this trust not been created and the house not been gifted into the trust. Q So then say that the children are 18, 21, 25, 30 and they're all living in the house with their parents; same analysis? A You're saying the children are all of legal age?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	identifies and quotes you again in that first paragraph. The paragraph begins with the words, Beginning on page 37, and then your quoted language is immediately thereafter beginning the words, Although the title of the Village Mall. Can you take a moment and just read that paragraph that's quoted from your report? A Okay. Q In that paragraph, in the second sentence, beginning with, Furthermore, Shant related entities benefited from usage of the second floor
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	instance? A I do not. And we've gone through this before. Because I think the children would have still had a place to live, had this trust not been created and the house not been gifted into the trust. Q So then say that the children are 18, 21, 25, 30 and they're all living in the house with their parents; same analysis? A You're saying the children are all of legal age? Q Yes.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	identifies and quotes you again in that first paragraph. The paragraph begins with the words, Beginning on page 37, and then your quoted language is immediately thereafter beginning the words, Although the title of the Village Mall. Can you take a moment and just read that paragraph that's quoted from your report? A Okay. Q In that paragraph, in the second sentence, beginning with, Furthermore, Shant related entities benefited from usage of the second floor office space without the payment of rent and the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	instance? A I do not. And we've gone through this before. Because I think the children would have still had a place to live, had this trust not been created and the house not been gifted into the trust. Q So then say that the children are 18, 21, 25, 30 and they're all living in the house with their parents; same analysis? A You're saying the children are all of legal age? Q Yes. A I think it would depend on how the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	identifies and quotes you again in that first paragraph. The paragraph begins with the words, Beginning on page 37, and then your quoted language is immediately thereafter beginning the words, Although the title of the Village Mall. Can you take a moment and just read that paragraph that's quoted from your report? A Okay. Q In that paragraph, in the second sentence, beginning with, Furthermore, Shant related entities benefited from usage of the second floor office space without the payment of rent and the cash flow generated by the Village Mall was
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	instance? A I do not. And we've gone through this before. Because I think the children would have still had a place to live, had this trust not been created and the house not been gifted into the trust. Q So then say that the children are 18, 21, 25, 30 and they're all living in the house with their parents; same analysis? A You're saying the children are all of legal age? Q Yes.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 18	identifies and quotes you again in that first paragraph. The paragraph begins with the words, Beginning on page 37, and then your quoted language is immediately thereafter beginning the words, Although the title of the Village Mall. Can you take a moment and just read that paragraph that's quoted from your report? A Okay. Q In that paragraph, in the second sentence, beginning with, Furthermore, Shant related entities benefited from usage of the second floor office space without the payment of rent and the cash flow generated by the Village Mall was primarily used for the direct and/or indirect
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	instance? A I do not. And we've gone through this before. Because I think the children would have still had a place to live, had this trust not been created and the house not been gifted into the trust. Q So then say that the children are 18, 21, 25, 30 and they're all living in the house with their parents; same analysis? A You're saying the children are all of legal age? Q Yes. A I think it would depend on how the trust instrument reads and what the requiremen were.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 18 20	identifies and quotes you again in that first paragraph. The paragraph begins with the words, Beginning on page 37, and then your quoted language is immediately thereafter beginning the words, Although the title of the Village Mall. Can you take a moment and just read that paragraph that's quoted from your report? A Okay. Q In that paragraph, in the second sentence, beginning with, Furthermore, Shant related entities benefited from usage of the second floor office space without the payment of rent and the cash flow generated by the Village Mall was primarily used for the direct and/or indirect benefit of Shant.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	instance? A I do not. And we've gone through this before. Because I think the children would have still had a place to live, had this trust not been created and the house not been gifted into the trust. Q So then say that the children are 18, 21, 25, 30 and they're all living in the house with their parents; same analysis? A You're saying the children are all of legal age? Q Yes. A I think it would depend on how the trust instrument reads and what the requiremen were. Q In this instance, you reviewed the	6 7 8 9 10 113 14 15 16 17 18 20 21	identifies and quotes you again in that first paragraph. The paragraph begins with the words, Beginning on page 37, and then your quoted language is immediately thereafter beginning the words, Although the title of the Village Mall. Can you take a moment and just read that paragraph that's quoted from your report? A Okay. Q In that paragraph, in the second sentence, beginning with, Furthermore, Shant related entities benefited from usage of the second floor office space without the payment of rent and the cash flow generated by the Village Mall was primarily used for the direct and/or indirect benefit of Shant. What do you mean there? What benefit
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	instance? A I do not. And we've gone through this before. Because I think the children would have still had a place to live, had this trust not been created and the house not been gifted into the trust. Q So then say that the children are 18, 21, 25, 30 and they're all living in the house with their parents; same analysis? A You're saying the children are all of legal age? Q Yes. A I think it would depend on how the trust instrument reads and what the requiremen were.	6 7 8 9 10 113 14 15 16 17 18 20 21	identifies and quotes you again in that first paragraph. The paragraph begins with the words, Beginning on page 37, and then your quoted language is immediately thereafter beginning the words, Although the title of the Village Mall. Can you take a moment and just read that paragraph that's quoted from your report? A Okay. Q In that paragraph, in the second sentence, beginning with, Furthermore, Shant related entities benefited from usage of the second floor office space without the payment of rent and the cash flow generated by the Village Mall was primarily used for the direct and/or indirect benefit of Shant.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22	instance? A I do not. And we've gone through this before. Because I think the children would have still had a place to live, had this trust not been created and the house not been gifted into the trust. Q So then say that the children are 18, 21, 25, 30 and they're all living in the house with their parents; same analysis? A You're saying the children are all of legal age? Q Yes. A I think it would depend on how the trust instrument reads and what the requiremen were. Q In this instance, you reviewed the	6 7 8 9 10 113 14 15 16 17 18 20 21	identifies and quotes you again in that first paragraph. The paragraph begins with the words, Beginning on page 37, and then your quoted language is immediately thereafter beginning the words, Although the title of the Village Mall. Can you take a moment and just read that paragraph that's quoted from your report? A Okay. Q In that paragraph, in the second sentence, beginning with, Furthermore, Shant related entities benefited from usage of the second floor office space without the payment of rent and the cash flow generated by the Village Mall was primarily used for the direct and/or indirect benefit of Shant. What do you mean there? What benefit did Shant or related entities obtain from the use or
6 7 8	instance? A I do not. And we've gone through this before. Because I think the children would have still had a place to live, had this trust not been created and the house not been gifted into the trust. Q So then say that the children are 18, 21, 25, 30 and they're all living in the house with their parents; same analysis? A You're saying the children are all of legal age? Q Yes. A I think it would depend on how the trust instrument reads and what the requiremen were. Q In this instance, you reviewed the trust document. Do you recall what it says about	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 15 16 17 18 15 20 21 122	identifies and quotes you again in that first paragraph. The paragraph begins with the words, Beginning on page 37, and then your quoted language is immediately thereafter beginning the words, Although the title of the Village Mall. Can you take a moment and just read that paragraph that's quoted from your report? A Okay. Q In that paragraph, in the second sentence, beginning with, Furthermore, Shant related entities benefited from usage of the second floor office space without the payment of rent and the cash flow generated by the Village Mall was primarily used for the direct and/or indirect benefit of Shant. What do you mean there? What benefit did Shant or related entities obtain from the use or

	Page 65		Page 67
4	_	,	
1	office space without any payment of rent. That's where Gandolfo sat. And then the second half of the	1	are reflected for 2016 and 2019 on that grid? A When you say negative consequence, wha
2			- ,
3	sentence deals with the cash flow generated by the		do you mean?
4	Village Mall property that was co-mingled with	4	Q Negative net income. Do you find that
5	HovSat used for other things.	5	there's any issue associated with that? What
6	Q So where Karen Gandolfo sat, did Karen work for the trust?	6	generated those negative figures, if you know?
7		7	A Well, in 2016 the real estate taxes
8	A Karen testified she worked for Speedus.	8	for both '15 and '16 were funded, so the cash flow
9	Q And so did she do work for the trusts?	9	for '16 suffered, but the cash flow for '15 was
10	A She collected the rents and paid the	10	higher because of that.
11	expenses relative to the Village Mall, along with	11	Q Okay. And do you see any trending, as
12	all of the other accounting functions that she was	12	far as what the net would be each year without the
13	performing.	13	real estate tax piece?
14	Q So that second floor space where she	14	A I'm sorry, I'm not following your
15	sat is what we're talking about; is that accurate?	15	question.
16	A Correct.	16	Q It looks like there's net income in the
17	Q And so some portion of that space was	17	years where taxes were not paid, right, that the net
18	used for trust accounting and some portion was use		income is a positive figure in the year that real
19	for these other entities, as you state, correct?	19	property taxes or the bulk of property taxes were
20	A Correct.	20	not paid?
21	Q Moving to the next paragraph, which is	21	A No, there's net income in the years the
22	boldly entitled bold, entitled, Village Mall.	22	taxes were paid as well.
23	What's your understanding of this property and the	23	Q And the real property taxes in the
24	history of this property in this case?	24	sources and uses analysis that you did here, the
25	A It was owned by Shant's I'm not sure	25	real estate tax amounts were taken from where?
	Page 66		Page 68
1	Page 66	1	Page 68
1	if it was both of his parents, or just his father,	1	A The bank statements.
2	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust	in2	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and
2	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015.	in2 3	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if
2 3 4	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's	in2 3 4	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall?
2 3 4 5	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from	in2 3	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank
2 3 4	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net	in2 3 4 5 6	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank
2 3 4 5 6 7	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out	in2 3 4 5 6 7	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall.	in2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report	in2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report reproduced in Randall's report?	in2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things. Q Let's go to page 21 of Randall's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report reproduced in Randall's report? A Correct.	in2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things. Q Let's go to page 21 of Randall's report, which addresses what he identifies as your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report reproduced in Randall's report? A Correct. Q Okay. At the bottom of the grid, which	in2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things. Q Let's go to page 21 of Randall's report, which addresses what he identifies as your final conclusion. Are you there with me on that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report reproduced in Randall's report? A Correct. Q Okay. At the bottom of the grid, which is the cash flow analysis, from page 41 of your	in2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things. Q Let's go to page 21 of Randall's report, which addresses what he identifies as your final conclusion. Are you there with me on that bolded heading, Withum's final conclusion? And the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report reproduced in Randall's report? A Correct. Q Okay. At the bottom of the grid, which is the cash flow analysis, from page 41 of your report, there are net figures for each of the years.	in2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things. Q Let's go to page 21 of Randall's report, which addresses what he identifies as your final conclusion. Are you there with me on that bolded heading, Withum's final conclusion? And the first paragraph begins with the words, On page 49,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report reproduced in Randall's report? A Correct. Q Okay. At the bottom of the grid, which is the cash flow analysis, from page 41 of your report, there are net figures for each of the years. And for 2015 through September of 2020, it appear	ir2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 s;15	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things. Q Let's go to page 21 of Randall's report, which addresses what he identifies as your final conclusion. Are you there with me on that bolded heading, Withum's final conclusion? And the first paragraph begins with the words, On page 49, Withum states the following?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report reproduced in Randall's report? A Correct. Q Okay. At the bottom of the grid, which is the cash flow analysis, from page 41 of your report, there are net figures for each of the years. And for 2015 through September of 2020, it appear and in each instance, or in certain instances, there	ir2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 s15 16	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things. Q Let's go to page 21 of Randall's report, which addresses what he identifies as your final conclusion. Are you there with me on that bolded heading, Withum's final conclusion? And the first paragraph begins with the words, On page 49, Withum states the following? A Yes, I'm there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report reproduced in Randall's report? A Correct. Q Okay. At the bottom of the grid, which is the cash flow analysis, from page 41 of your report, there are net figures for each of the years. And for 2015 through September of 2020, it appear and in each instance, or in certain instances, there are net positives and there are net negatives,	in2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 s1 5 16 17	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things. Q Let's go to page 21 of Randall's report, which addresses what he identifies as your final conclusion. Are you there with me on that bolded heading, Withum's final conclusion? And the first paragraph begins with the words, On page 49, Withum states the following? A Yes, I'm there. Q It quotes you as saying, Based on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report reproduced in Randall's report? A Correct. Q Okay. At the bottom of the grid, which is the cash flow analysis, from page 41 of your report, there are net figures for each of the years. And for 2015 through September of 2020, it appear and in each instance, or in certain instances, there are net positives and there are net negatives, correct?	in2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 s15 16 17 18	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things. Q Let's go to page 21 of Randall's report, which addresses what he identifies as your final conclusion. Are you there with me on that bolded heading, Withum's final conclusion? And the first paragraph begins with the words, On page 49, Withum states the following? A Yes, I'm there. Q It quotes you as saying, Based on the assumptions analyses and discussions presented
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report reproduced in Randall's report? A Correct. Q Okay. At the bottom of the grid, which is the cash flow analysis, from page 41 of your report, there are net figures for each of the years. And for 2015 through September of 2020, it appear and in each instance, or in certain instances, there are net positives and there are net negatives, correct? A Correct.	in2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 s15 16 17 18 19	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things. Q Let's go to page 21 of Randall's report, which addresses what he identifies as your final conclusion. Are you there with me on that bolded heading, Withum's final conclusion? And the first paragraph begins with the words, On page 49, Withum states the following? A Yes, I'm there. Q It quotes you as saying, Based on the assumptions analyses and discussions presented within this report, it is my opinion, within a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report reproduced in Randall's report? A Correct. Q Okay. At the bottom of the grid, which is the cash flow analysis, from page 41 of your report, there are net figures for each of the years. And for 2015 through September of 2020, it appear and in each instance, or in certain instances, there are net positives and there are net negatives, correct? A Correct. Q And do you feel comfortable that you've	in2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 s15 16 17 18 19 20	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things. Q Let's go to page 21 of Randall's report, which addresses what he identifies as your final conclusion. Are you there with me on that bolded heading, Withum's final conclusion? And the first paragraph begins with the words, On page 49, Withum states the following? A Yes, I'm there. Q It quotes you as saying, Based on the assumptions analyses and discussions presented within this report, it is my opinion, within a reasonable degree of professional certainty now,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report reproduced in Randall's report? A Correct. Q Okay. At the bottom of the grid, which is the cash flow analysis, from page 41 of your report, there are net figures for each of the years. And for 2015 through September of 2020, it appear and in each instance, or in certain instances, there are net positives and there are net negatives, correct? A Correct. Q And do you feel comfortable that you've captured all of the transactions associated with the	in2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 s15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things. Q Let's go to page 21 of Randall's report, which addresses what he identifies as your final conclusion. Are you there with me on that bolded heading, Withum's final conclusion? And the first paragraph begins with the words, On page 49, Withum states the following? A Yes, I'm there. Q It quotes you as saying, Based on the assumptions analyses and discussions presented within this report, it is my opinion, within a reasonable degree of professional certainty now, the reasonable degree of professional certainty
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report reproduced in Randall's report? A Correct. Q Okay. At the bottom of the grid, which is the cash flow analysis, from page 41 of your report, there are net figures for each of the years. And for 2015 through September of 2020, it appear and in each instance, or in certain instances, there are net positives and there are net negatives, correct? A Correct. Q And do you feel comfortable that you've captured all of the transactions associated with the Village Mall property?	in2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 s15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things. Q Let's go to page 21 of Randall's report, which addresses what he identifies as your final conclusion. Are you there with me on that bolded heading, Withum's final conclusion? And the first paragraph begins with the words, On page 49, Withum states the following? A Yes, I'm there. Q It quotes you as saying, Based on the assumptions analyses and discussions presented within this report, it is my opinion, within a reasonable degree of professional certainty now, the reasonable degree of professional certainty standard comes from where, do you know? Is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report reproduced in Randall's report? A Correct. Q Okay. At the bottom of the grid, which is the cash flow analysis, from page 41 of your report, there are net figures for each of the years. And for 2015 through September of 2020, it appear and in each instance, or in certain instances, there are net positives and there are net negatives, correct? A Correct. Q And do you feel comfortable that you've captured all of the transactions associated with the Village Mall property? A Yes, I do.	in2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 s15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things. Q Let's go to page 21 of Randall's report, which addresses what he identifies as your final conclusion. Are you there with me on that bolded heading, Withum's final conclusion? And the first paragraph begins with the words, On page 49, Withum states the following? A Yes, I'm there. Q It quotes you as saying, Based on the assumptions analyses and discussions presented within this report, it is my opinion, within a reasonable degree of professional certainty now, the reasonable degree of professional certainty standard comes from where, do you know? Is that within your profession?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	if it was both of his parents, or just his father, and the deed was transferred to the VSHPHH Trust January of 2015. Q Okay. And in the context of Randall's report, he states that there's a cash flow from page 41 of your report that identifies the gross net or the gross sources and uses of monies in and out for Village Mall. Do you see that portion of the report reproduced in Randall's report? A Correct. Q Okay. At the bottom of the grid, which is the cash flow analysis, from page 41 of your report, there are net figures for each of the years. And for 2015 through September of 2020, it appear and in each instance, or in certain instances, there are net positives and there are net negatives, correct? A Correct. Q And do you feel comfortable that you've captured all of the transactions associated with the Village Mall property?	in2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 s15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A The bank statements. Q The bank statements for Speedus and Zargis, or the bank statements for Village Mall, if you know, if you recall? A It would be from the the bank statement for HovSat and then the subsequent bank statement for the VSHPHH Trust, and then likely also additional review of non-financial transactions as well, e-mails and those kind of things. Q Let's go to page 21 of Randall's report, which addresses what he identifies as your final conclusion. Are you there with me on that bolded heading, Withum's final conclusion? And the first paragraph begins with the words, On page 49, Withum states the following? A Yes, I'm there. Q It quotes you as saying, Based on the assumptions analyses and discussions presented within this report, it is my opinion, within a reasonable degree of professional certainty now, the reasonable degree of professional certainty standard comes from where, do you know? Is that

Page 69 Page 71 It means that we've followed the code 1 Α I'm opining based on my analysis of the 1 2 of conduct and it's within professional certainty, 2 facts. so we've demonstrated competent -- we have 3 Q So is the truth of the underlying facts sufficient relevant evidence to make our subsumed within your analysis and then further 4 4 5 conclusions. 5 subsumed within your opinion? 6 Q AICPA standards, FASB standards? 6 I'm sorry, I don't understand your 7 Α 7 question. AICPA. 8 Q Your conclusion, with a reasonable 8 Q Picture the US and the Soviet Union 9 degree of professional certainty, is that in the 9 meeting in space and the two come to connect the two 10 first instance that the Speedus New York bank units, right, the two spaceships; are the underlying 10 11 accounts were comprised of personal deposits, facts connected to your opinion and form the basis 12 included little, if any, ongoing business income or for your opinion under the standard of this 12 13 were used by Shant to fund personal expenses, reasonable degree of professional certainty? 13 Yes. I think what you're asking me is 14 \$201,204 satisfaction with tax lien against Village 14 what I just said. This is my opinion based on an 15 Mall in 2015 and the \$319,349 tax lien against 52015 analysis of the underlying facts, the data that's 16 NRR in 2017. been presented in the case. 17 And that's -- when you say that's your 17 18 opinion, what do you mean? The reason I ask the 18 And it presupposes that those facts are 19 difference is, I'm asking the difference between accurate or true, not that they're not, I'm just 19 20 what is a statement of fact that you've concluded \$20 asking, that it presupposes? 21 a result of the forensic analysis and your opinion, 21 Α Yes. 22 and so in understanding the difference between the 22 Q Similarly on that same page, 22, two 23 two, if there is. paragraphs down, again in italics, the second 24 So is it your opinion that those things 24 sentence of that quoted language starts with the word "Additional," and then proceeds to, Although 25 are true, or are they, based upon your forensic Page 70 Page 72 analysis, reveals that they are true as a matter of 1 Shant was not a known signatory on the Pachava 2 fact? Morgan Stanley account, he maintained the ability to direct the movement of funds and payment of 3 3 It's an opinion based on my forensic Α expenses, regardless of whether there was -- I'll 4 4 analysis. 5 5 start it again. Q And the same question, if I may, for the quoted language on page 22 -- it's in italics 6 -6 So that sentence that I'm referencing 7 nearest the top of the page, beginning with the 7 is now, Additionally, although Shant was not a known words, Shant used the Zargis bank account as a 8 signatory on the Pachava Morgan Stanley account he 8 maintained the ability to direct the movement of 9 clearing account for funds of other entities. 9 10 funds and payment of expenses, regardless of whether 10 And it proceeds from there. there was another trustee. 11 Same answer as far as whether that's a11 12 statement of fact or an opinion? 12 Is that an accounting opinion or a 13 forensics opinion; or what is that? 13 Yes, same answer. That's our opinion based on a review of 14 MR. KUNOFSKY: For this question and 4 15 the prior question, I'm going to object to the 15 the underlying bank statements and the non-financial documents that we've reviewed. 16 extent the distinction between fact and And which ones said that he, quote, 17 opinion is a legal one. And you can answer to 18 your understanding of what you're doing here8 unquote, he maintained the ability to direct the 19 Sorry. Go ahead. 19 movement of funds and payment of expenses, 20 regardless of whether there was another trustee? BY MR. HANAMIRIAN: 20 21 21 Do you recall from where that's All of this can be caveated with that. 22 But when we say -- when you say in you?2 derived? profession that "it is my opinion within a 23 Yeah, that was our opinion based on a review of the movement of funds in and out of the 24 reasonable degree of professional certainty," ar €4 Pachava Morgan Stanley account, along with a review 25 25 you opining or are you stating fact?

ļ			
ı	Page 73		Page 75
1	of e-mails that were subpoenaed from Morgan Sta	nlev	quotes language from your report immediately
2	outlining who was directing the movement of the	2	
3	funds.	3	title of the Village Mall."
4	Q And that was that conclusion is	4	Will you just take a moment and read
5	within the scope of your engagement?	5	that paragraph?
		_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6	A Yes, it is.	6	A Okay.
7	Q Why?	7	Q What corporate procedures consistent
8 9	A Because it was a review of financial records to determine why these funds were being	8 us£eo	with the property transferred to and held in a trusdere not followed here?
10	for certain expenses.	10	A So there were no gift tax returns filed
11	Q How do we know that Shant maintained	11	to report the transfer of the property. There was
12	the ability to direct the movement of those funds?		no independent bank account established to dep
13	A That's my opinion based on my review o		
14	* *	14	•
	the funds moving, along with my review of the		property. He co-mingled the bank account with
15	non-financial documents between Shant and who		
16	else, and the Morgan Stanley representatives.	16	Q Which bank account?
17	Q Do you have any opinion about why he	17	A HovSat.
18	was not a non-signatory on the Pachava Morgan	18	Q Okay. So in the forensic world, the
19	Stanley account?	19	co-mingling, in this instance, is that troubling?
20	A Do I have an opinion on why he wasn't?	20	A Yes, it is.
21	Q Yes.	21	Q Why?
22	A I don't have an opinion. I can	22	A Because a trust should have its own
23	speculate because he was not the trustee.	23	bank account.
24	Q Do you know when that Pachava Morga		Q Okay. For what purpose?
25	Stanley account came into existence?	25	A To be able to maintain ledgers of the
	,		
	Page 74		Page 76
1	A During 2013.	1	revenues, the expenses, what amounts are availab
2	Q So when you say that what I'm stuck	2	to the beneficiaries.
3	on is the ability to direct the movement of funds.	3	Q Were there books and records for I
4	Did he move monies out of the trust?	4	mean, I'm assuming when you say what amounts a
5	A Yes, he did.	5	available for the beneficiaries, that the house was
6	Q Out of the trust account or he did?		a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.
	Se Sulvi ing ilual autuulli Ul ** IIG UlU!	6	not income-producing is that correct?
,		6	not income-producing; is that correct? A This is the VSHPHH Trust that we're
7	A Yes.	7	A This is the VSHPHH Trust that we're
8	A Yes. Q Okay. How did he do that?	7 8	A This is the VSHPHH Trust that we're talking about.
8 9	A Yes.Q Okay. How did he do that?A So at one point Morgan Stanley e-mailed	7 8 9	A This is the VSHPHH Trust that we're talking about. Q I understand. You're saying the 520
8 9 10	A Yes. Q Okay. How did he do that? A So at one point Morgan Stanley e-mailed Hilde and Shant asking if real estate taxes could be a second of the second of	7 8 9 • 6 0	A This is the VSHPHH Trust that we're talking about. Q I understand. You're saying the 520 Navesink property was not income-producing, righ
8 9 10 11	A Yes. Q Okay. How did he do that? A So at one point Morgan Stanley e-mailed Hilde and Shant asking if real estate taxes could be paid out of the Morgan Stanley account and Shan	7 8 9 •••0 ••11	A This is the VSHPHH Trust that we're talking about. Q I understand. You're saying the 520 Navesink property was not income-producing, righ A It should have been, once it got
8 9 10	A Yes. Q Okay. How did he do that? A So at one point Morgan Stanley e-mailed Hilde and Shant asking if real estate taxes could be a second of the second of	7 8 9 •••0 ••11	A This is the VSHPHH Trust that we're talking about. Q I understand. You're saying the 520 Navesink property was not income-producing, righ A It should have been, once it got
8 9 10 11	A Yes. Q Okay. How did he do that? A So at one point Morgan Stanley e-mailed Hilde and Shant asking if real estate taxes could be paid out of the Morgan Stanley account and Shan	7 8 9 •••0 ••11	A This is the VSHPHH Trust that we're talking about. Q I understand. You're saying the 520 Navesink property was not income-producing, righ A It should have been, once it got
8 9 10 11 12	A Yes. Q Okay. How did he do that? A So at one point Morgan Stanley e-mailed Hilde and Shant asking if real estate taxes could be paid out of the Morgan Stanley account and Shan responded and said, no, but transfer 9,000 to How	7 8 9 060 t11 (Slat	A This is the VSHPHH Trust that we're talking about. Q I understand. You're saying the 520 Navesink property was not income-producing, righ A It should have been, once it got transferred into a trust, yes.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Yes. Q Okay. How did he do that? A So at one point Morgan Stanley e-mailed Hilde and Shant asking if real estate taxes could be paid out of the Morgan Stanley account and Shant responded and said, no, but transfer 9,000 to Howard \$750 to Vahak. And those funds were subsequently moved out.	7 8 9 060 t11 (Slatt 13 14	A This is the VSHPHH Trust that we're talking about. Q I understand. You're saying the 520 Navesink property was not income-producing, righ A It should have been, once it got transferred into a trust, yes. Q Assuming that it was, I mean, they were living there as a residence, correct?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Yes. Q Okay. How did he do that? A So at one point Morgan Stanley e-mailed Hilde and Shant asking if real estate taxes could be paid out of the Morgan Stanley account and Shant responded and said, no, but transfer 9,000 to Howard \$750 to Vahak. And those funds were subsequently moved out. Q Was Hilde then acting as a trustee, do	7 8 9 60 111 (3) 201 13 14 15	A This is the VSHPHH Trust that we're talking about. Q I understand. You're saying the 520 Navesink property was not income-producing, righ A It should have been, once it got transferred into a trust, yes. Q Assuming that it was, I mean, they were living there as a residence, correct? A They were living there as residents,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Yes. Q Okay. How did he do that? A So at one point Morgan Stanley e-mailed Hilde and Shant asking if real estate taxes could be paid out of the Morgan Stanley account and Shant responded and said, no, but transfer 9,000 to Howard \$750 to Vahak. And those funds were subsequently moved out. Q Was Hilde then acting as a trustee, do you know?	7 8 9 t11 (Slatt 13 14 15 16	A This is the VSHPHH Trust that we're talking about. Q I understand. You're saying the 520 Navesink property was not income-producing, righ A It should have been, once it got transferred into a trust, yes. Q Assuming that it was, I mean, they were living there as a residence, correct? A They were living there as residents, yes.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Yes. Q Okay. How did he do that? A So at one point Morgan Stanley e-mailed Hilde and Shant asking if real estate taxes could be paid out of the Morgan Stanley account and Shant responded and said, no, but transfer 9,000 to Howard \$750 to Vahak. And those funds were subsequently moved out. Q Was Hilde then acting as a trustee, do you know? A That was during her tenure as trustee,	7 8 9 t11 (S) 2t 13 14 15 16	A This is the VSHPHH Trust that we're talking about. Q I understand. You're saying the 520 Navesink property was not income-producing, righ A It should have been, once it got transferred into a trust, yes. Q Assuming that it was, I mean, they were living there as a residence, correct? A They were living there as residents, yes. Q And so the income-producing portion of
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Yes. Q Okay. How did he do that? A So at one point Morgan Stanley e-mailed Hilde and Shant asking if real estate taxes could be paid out of the Morgan Stanley account and Shant responded and said, no, but transfer 9,000 to Howard \$750 to Vahak. And those funds were subsequently moved out. Q Was Hilde then acting as a trustee, do you know? A That was during her tenure as trustee, correct.	7 8 9 t11 (3) 2t 13 14 15 16 17	A This is the VSHPHH Trust that we're talking about. Q I understand. You're saying the 520 Navesink property was not income-producing, righ A It should have been, once it got transferred into a trust, yes. Q Assuming that it was, I mean, they were living there as a residence, correct? A They were living there as residents, yes. Q And so the income-producing portion of this would relate to the business property, the mall
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Yes. Q Okay. How did he do that? A So at one point Morgan Stanley e-mailed Hilde and Shant asking if real estate taxes could be paid out of the Morgan Stanley account and Shant responded and said, no, but transfer 9,000 to Howard \$750 to Vahak. And those funds were subsequently moved out. Q Was Hilde then acting as a trustee, do you know? A That was during her tenure as trustee, correct. Q And so Morgan Stanley sent the e-mail	7 8 9 111 (S) 2at 13 14 15 16 17 18	A This is the VSHPHH Trust that we're talking about. Q I understand. You're saying the 520 Navesink property was not income-producing, right A It should have been, once it got transferred into a trust, yes. Q Assuming that it was, I mean, they were living there as a residence, correct? A They were living there as residents, yes. Q And so the income-producing portion of this would relate to the business property, the mall property, primarily?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Yes. Q Okay. How did he do that? A So at one point Morgan Stanley e-mailed Hilde and Shant asking if real estate taxes could be paid out of the Morgan Stanley account and Shant responded and said, no, but transfer 9,000 to Howard \$750 to Vahak. And those funds were subsequently moved out. Q Was Hilde then acting as a trustee, do you know? A That was during her tenure as trustee, correct. Q And so Morgan Stanley sent the e-mail to both of them?	7 8 9 1111 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A This is the VSHPHH Trust that we're talking about. Q I understand. You're saying the 520 Navesink property was not income-producing, right A It should have been, once it got transferred into a trust, yes. Q Assuming that it was, I mean, they were living there as a residence, correct? A They were living there as residents, yes. Q And so the income-producing portion of this would relate to the business property, the mall property, primarily? A This conclusion I think is specific
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Yes. Q Okay. How did he do that? A So at one point Morgan Stanley e-mailed Hilde and Shant asking if real estate taxes could be paid out of the Morgan Stanley account and Shant responded and said, no, but transfer 9,000 to Howard \$750 to Vahak. And those funds were subsequently moved out. Q Was Hilde then acting as a trustee, do you know? A That was during her tenure as trustee, correct. Q And so Morgan Stanley sent the e-mail to both of them? A Correct.	7 8 9 111 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A This is the VSHPHH Trust that we're talking about. Q I understand. You're saying the 520 Navesink property was not income-producing, righ A It should have been, once it got transferred into a trust, yes. Q Assuming that it was, I mean, they were living there as a residence, correct? A They were living there as residents, yes. Q And so the income-producing portion of this would relate to the business property, the mall property, primarily? A This conclusion I think is specific to yeah, it's specific to the Village Mall
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Yes. Q Okay. How did he do that? A So at one point Morgan Stanley e-mailed Hilde and Shant asking if real estate taxes could be paid out of the Morgan Stanley account and Shant responded and said, no, but transfer 9,000 to Howard \$750 to Vahak. And those funds were subsequently moved out. Q Was Hilde then acting as a trustee, do you know? A That was during her tenure as trustee, correct. Q And so Morgan Stanley sent the e-mail to both of them?	7 8 9 1111 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A This is the VSHPHH Trust that we're talking about. Q I understand. You're saying the 520 Navesink property was not income-producing, righ A It should have been, once it got transferred into a trust, yes. Q Assuming that it was, I mean, they were living there as a residence, correct? A They were living there as residents, yes. Q And so the income-producing portion of this would relate to the business property, the mall property, primarily? A This conclusion I think is specific to yeah, it's specific to the Village Mall property, yes.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Yes. Q Okay. How did he do that? A So at one point Morgan Stanley e-mailed Hilde and Shant asking if real estate taxes could be paid out of the Morgan Stanley account and Shant responded and said, no, but transfer 9,000 to Howard \$750 to Vahak. And those funds were subsequently moved out. Q Was Hilde then acting as a trustee, do you know? A That was during her tenure as trustee, correct. Q And so Morgan Stanley sent the e-mail to both of them? A Correct.	7 8 9 tt11 32at 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A This is the VSHPHH Trust that we're talking about. Q I understand. You're saying the 520 Navesink property was not income-producing, righ A It should have been, once it got transferred into a trust, yes. Q Assuming that it was, I mean, they were living there as a residence, correct? A They were living there as residents, yes. Q And so the income-producing portion of this would relate to the business property, the mall property, primarily? A This conclusion I think is specific to yeah, it's specific to the Village Mall
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Yes. Q Okay. How did he do that? A So at one point Morgan Stanley e-mailed Hilde and Shant asking if real estate taxes could be paid out of the Morgan Stanley account and Shant responded and said, no, but transfer 9,000 to Howard \$750 to Vahak. And those funds were subsequently moved out. Q Was Hilde then acting as a trustee, do you know? A That was during her tenure as trustee, correct. Q And so Morgan Stanley sent the e-mail to both of them? A Correct. Q On the next page, page 23, again,	7 8 9 tt11 32t 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 21 22 423	A This is the VSHPHH Trust that we're talking about. Q I understand. You're saying the 520 Navesink property was not income-producing, right A It should have been, once it got transferred into a trust, yes. Q Assuming that it was, I mean, they were living there as a residence, correct? A They were living there as residents, yes. Q And so the income-producing portion of this would relate to the business property, the mall property, primarily? A This conclusion I think is specific to yeah, it's specific to the Village Mall property, yes.

	Page 77		Page 79
1	would be able to determine on a monthly or weekly	1	to be held in an individual's name?
2	basis what the sources and use of cash in those	2	A Yes.
3	accounts were.	3	Q And would it be normal for a banker to
4	Q You did read Nina Hovnanian's	4	reach out to a non-trustee from movement into or out
5	deposition testimony and Karen Gandolfo's deposition		of a trust account?
6	testimony, correct?	6	A No, it wouldn't.
7	A Yes, I did.	7	Q And in the when you were discussing
8	Q Do you recall what they said about the	8	the movement of funds between Pachava and the other
9	monthly expenses and revenue related to the Village	9	entities, you said I want to make sure I have
10	Mall property?	10	this list right. You reviewed the deposition
11	A Not offhand, no.	11	transcripts of Morgan Stanley, the other deposition
12	MR. HANAMIRIAN: Let me take another	12	transcripts, e-mails received from Morgan Stanley
13	five minutes. Let me talk to Randall and let	13	and others, the flow of the funds themselves. Did
14	me see if I have any other questions.	14	you also review the phone logs provided by Morgan
15	MR. KUNOFSKY: Okay.	15	Stanley?
16	(Brief recess.)	16	A Yes, we did.
17	MR. HANAMIRIAN: 12:24 p.m.; back on	17	Q And those were the documents you looked
18	the record. We have no further questions on	18	at, generally speaking?
19	this side.	19	A Generally speaking, there was probably
20	MR. KUNOFSKY: I have just a few	20	other documents as well, but those are the main
21	questions.	21	ones.
22	BY MR. KUNOFSKY:	22	Q And then we discussed the date of
23	Q At one point you mentioned by the	23	transfers of the property from the parents to the
24	way, this is Ari Kunofsky on behalf of the United	24	trust. Using parents, meaning Shant's parents,
25	States.	25	generally, was the date on the deed the same as the
	Page 78		Page 80
	Page 78		Page 80
1	At one point you mentioned alter egos.	1	date that it was recorded in the local recording
2	At one point you mentioned alter egos. When you use when I use the term alter ego or	2	date that it was recorded in the local recording offices?
2	At one point you mentioned alter egos. When you use when I use the term alter ego or nominee, in a legal sense, those are two separate	2 3	date that it was recorded in the local recording offices? A No, it was not. There was a delay in
2 3 4	At one point you mentioned alter egos. When you use when I use the term alter ego or nominee, in a legal sense, those are two separate legal concepts with different analyses. When you	2 3 4	date that it was recorded in the local recording offices? A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording.
2 3 4 5	At one point you mentioned alter egos. When you use when I use the term alter ego or nominee, in a legal sense, those are two separate legal concepts with different analyses. When you use the term "alter ego" in your analysis today,	2 3 4 5	date that it was recorded in the local recording offices? A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. Q Is that something normal or that you
2 3 4 5 6	At one point you mentioned alter egos. When you use when I use the term alter ego or nominee, in a legal sense, those are two separate legal concepts with different analyses. When you use the term "alter ego" in your analysis today, when talking during this deposition, were you	2 3 4 5 6	date that it was recorded in the local recording offices? A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. Q Is that something normal or that you would expect to see, or is that a potential for a
2 3 4 5 6 7	At one point you mentioned alter egos. When you use when I use the term alter ego or nominee, in a legal sense, those are two separate legal concepts with different analyses. When you use the term "alter ego" in your analysis today, when talking during this deposition, were you drawing a distinction between alter ego or nomineed.	2 3 4 5 6	date that it was recorded in the local recording offices? A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. Q Is that something normal or that you would expect to see, or is that a potential for a red flag for you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	At one point you mentioned alter egos. When you use when I use the term alter ego or nominee, in a legal sense, those are two separate legal concepts with different analyses. When you use the term "alter ego" in your analysis today, when talking during this deposition, were you drawing a distinction between alter ego or nomineed or were you kind of just using it in a lay sense?	2 3 4 5 6 9,7 8	date that it was recorded in the local recording offices? A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. Q Is that something normal or that you would expect to see, or is that a potential for a red flag for you? A So you would expect to see a very small
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	At one point you mentioned alter egos. When you use when I use the term alter ego or nominee, in a legal sense, those are two separate legal concepts with different analyses. When you use the term "alter ego" in your analysis today, when talking during this deposition, were you drawing a distinction between alter ego or nominee or were you kind of just using it in a lay sense? A No, I was not. It's just a layperson	2 3 4 5 6 9,7 8	date that it was recorded in the local recording offices? A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. Q Is that something normal or that you would expect to see, or is that a potential for a red flag for you? A So you would expect to see a very small delay, but the delays here were larger, so that that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	At one point you mentioned alter egos. When you use when I use the term alter ego or nominee, in a legal sense, those are two separate legal concepts with different analyses. When you use the term "alter ego" in your analysis today, when talking during this deposition, were you drawing a distinction between alter ego or nominee or were you kind of just using it in a lay sense? A No, I was not. It's just a layperson term.	2 3 4 5 6 9,7 8 9	date that it was recorded in the local recording offices? A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. Q Is that something normal or that you would expect to see, or is that a potential for a red flag for you? A So you would expect to see a very small delay, but the delays here were larger, so that that was a red flag.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	At one point you mentioned alter egos. When you use when I use the term alter ego or nominee, in a legal sense, those are two separate legal concepts with different analyses. When you use the term "alter ego" in your analysis today, when talking during this deposition, were you drawing a distinction between alter ego or nominee or were you kind of just using it in a lay sense? A No, I was not. It's just a layperson term. Q Okay. Kind of along the same lines,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	date that it was recorded in the local recording offices? A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. Q Is that something normal or that you would expect to see, or is that a potential for a red flag for you? A So you would expect to see a very small delay, but the delays here were larger, so that that was a red flag. Q Okay. When discussing the divorce
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	At one point you mentioned alter egos. When you use when I use the term alter ego or nominee, in a legal sense, those are two separate legal concepts with different analyses. When you use the term "alter ego" in your analysis today, when talking during this deposition, were you drawing a distinction between alter ego or nominee or were you kind of just using it in a lay sense? A No, I was not. It's just a layperson term. Q Okay. Kind of along the same lines, you drew distinction between the property versus	2 3 4 5 6 9,7 8 9 10 11	date that it was recorded in the local recording offices? A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. Q Is that something normal or that you would expect to see, or is that a potential for a red flag for you? A So you would expect to see a very small delay, but the delays here were larger, so that that was a red flag. Q Okay. When discussing the divorce proceeds, you said you relied on the deposition of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	At one point you mentioned alter egos. When you use when I use the term alter ego or nominee, in a legal sense, those are two separate legal concepts with different analyses. When you use the term "alter ego" in your analysis today, when talking during this deposition, were you drawing a distinction between alter ego or nominee or were you kind of just using it in a lay sense? A No, I was not. It's just a layperson term. Q Okay. Kind of along the same lines, you drew distinction between the property versus payments to the trust. Did you draw well, was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	date that it was recorded in the local recording offices? A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. Q Is that something normal or that you would expect to see, or is that a potential for a red flag for you? A So you would expect to see a very small delay, but the delays here were larger, so that that was a red flag. Q Okay. When discussing the divorce proceeds, you said you relied on the deposition of Hilde Jenssen, in part, but you couldn't remember
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	At one point you mentioned alter egos. When you use when I use the term alter ego or nominee, in a legal sense, those are two separate legal concepts with different analyses. When you use the term "alter ego" in your analysis today, when talking during this deposition, were you drawing a distinction between alter ego or nominee or were you kind of just using it in a lay sense? A No, I was not. It's just a layperson term. Q Okay. Kind of along the same lines, you drew distinction between the property versus	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	date that it was recorded in the local recording offices? A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. Q Is that something normal or that you would expect to see, or is that a potential for a red flag for you? A So you would expect to see a very small delay, but the delays here were larger, so that that was a red flag. Q Okay. When discussing the divorce proceeds, you said you relied on the deposition of Hilde Jenssen, in part, but you couldn't remember exactly what Hilde testified to today. Did you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	At one point you mentioned alter egos. When you use when I use the term alter ego or nominee, in a legal sense, those are two separate legal concepts with different analyses. When you use the term "alter ego" in your analysis today, when talking during this deposition, were you drawing a distinction between alter ego or nominee or were you kind of just using it in a lay sense? A No, I was not. It's just a layperson term. Q Okay. Kind of along the same lines, you drew distinction between the property versus payments to the trust. Did you draw well, was 520 Navesink the only property, other than cash in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	date that it was recorded in the local recording offices? A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. Q Is that something normal or that you would expect to see, or is that a potential for a red flag for you? A So you would expect to see a very small delay, but the delays here were larger, so that that was a red flag. Q Okay. When discussing the divorce proceeds, you said you relied on the deposition of Hilde Jenssen, in part, but you couldn't remember
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	At one point you mentioned alter egos. When you use when I use the term alter ego or nominee, in a legal sense, those are two separate legal concepts with different analyses. When you use the term "alter ego" in your analysis today, when talking during this deposition, were you drawing a distinction between alter ego or nominee or were you kind of just using it in a lay sense? A No, I was not. It's just a layperson term. Q Okay. Kind of along the same lines, you drew distinction between the property versus payments to the trust. Did you draw well, was 520 Navesink the only property, other than cash in the Pachava Trust?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	date that it was recorded in the local recording offices? A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. Q Is that something normal or that you would expect to see, or is that a potential for a red flag for you? A So you would expect to see a very small delay, but the delays here were larger, so that that was a red flag. Q Okay. When discussing the divorce proceeds, you said you relied on the deposition of Hilde Jenssen, in part, but you couldn't remember exactly what Hilde testified to today. Did you review her testimony before reaching that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	At one point you mentioned alter egos. When you use when I use the term alter ego or nominee, in a legal sense, those are two separate legal concepts with different analyses. When you use the term "alter ego" in your analysis today, when talking during this deposition, were you drawing a distinction between alter ego or nominee or were you kind of just using it in a lay sense? A No, I was not. It's just a layperson term. Q Okay. Kind of along the same lines, you drew distinction between the property versus payments to the trust. Did you draw well, was 520 Navesink the only property, other than cash in the Pachava Trust? A Yes, it was.	2 3 4 5 6 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	date that it was recorded in the local recording offices? A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. Q Is that something normal or that you would expect to see, or is that a potential for a red flag for you? A So you would expect to see a very small delay, but the delays here were larger, so that that was a red flag. Q Okay. When discussing the divorce proceeds, you said you relied on the deposition of Hilde Jenssen, in part, but you couldn't remember exactly what Hilde testified to today. Did you review her testimony before reaching that conclusion?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	At one point you mentioned alter egos. When you use when I use the term alter ego or nominee, in a legal sense, those are two separate legal concepts with different analyses. When you use the term "alter ego" in your analysis today, when talking during this deposition, were you drawing a distinction between alter ego or nominee or were you kind of just using it in a lay sense? A No, I was not. It's just a layperson term. Q Okay. Kind of along the same lines, you drew distinction between the property versus payments to the trust. Did you draw well, was 520 Navesink the only property, other than cash in the Pachava Trust? A Yes, it was. Q The Village Mall was in the VSHPHH	2 3 4 5 6 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	date that it was recorded in the local recording offices? A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. Q Is that something normal or that you would expect to see, or is that a potential for a red flag for you? A So you would expect to see a very small delay, but the delays here were larger, so that that was a red flag. Q Okay. When discussing the divorce proceeds, you said you relied on the deposition of Hilde Jenssen, in part, but you couldn't remember exactly what Hilde testified to today. Did you review her testimony before reaching that conclusion? A Yes, I did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	At one point you mentioned alter egos. When you use when I use the term alter ego or nominee, in a legal sense, those are two separate legal concepts with different analyses. When you use the term "alter ego" in your analysis today, when talking during this deposition, were you drawing a distinction between alter ego or nominee or were you kind of just using it in a lay sense? A No, I was not. It's just a layperson term. Q Okay. Kind of along the same lines, you drew distinction between the property versus payments to the trust. Did you draw well, was 520 Navesink the only property, other than cash in the Pachava Trust? A Yes, it was. Q The Village Mall was in the VSHPHH Trust and that was its only assets?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	date that it was recorded in the local recording offices? A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. Q Is that something normal or that you would expect to see, or is that a potential for a red flag for you? A So you would expect to see a very small delay, but the delays here were larger, so that that was a red flag. Q Okay. When discussing the divorce proceeds, you said you relied on the deposition of Hilde Jenssen, in part, but you couldn't remember exactly what Hilde testified to today. Did you review her testimony before reaching that conclusion? A Yes, I did. Q Same for Nina's testimony, you couldn't remember it today, but did you review it and you had to the extent you used it in your opinion,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	At one point you mentioned alter egos. When you use when I use the term alter ego or nominee, in a legal sense, those are two separate legal concepts with different analyses. When you use the term "alter ego" in your analysis today, when talking during this deposition, were you drawing a distinction between alter ego or nominee or were you kind of just using it in a lay sense? A No, I was not. It's just a layperson term. Q Okay. Kind of along the same lines, you drew distinction between the property versus payments to the trust. Did you draw well, was 520 Navesink the only property, other than cash in the Pachava Trust? A Yes, it was. Q The Village Mall was in the VSHPHH Trust and that was its only assets? A It's not the only asset. There was cash as well. Q And was there a is it normal for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 11 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	date that it was recorded in the local recording offices? A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. Q Is that something normal or that you would expect to see, or is that a potential for a red flag for you? A So you would expect to see a very small delay, but the delays here were larger, so that that was a red flag. Q Okay. When discussing the divorce proceeds, you said you relied on the deposition of Hilde Jenssen, in part, but you couldn't remember exactly what Hilde testified to today. Did you review her testimony before reaching that conclusion? A Yes, I did. Q Same for Nina's testimony, you couldn't remember it today, but did you review it and you had to the extent you used it in your opinion, you had reviewed it at the time you were drawing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	At one point you mentioned alter egos. When you use when I use the term alter ego or nominee, in a legal sense, those are two separate legal concepts with different analyses. When you use the term "alter ego" in your analysis today, when talking during this deposition, were you drawing a distinction between alter ego or nominee or were you kind of just using it in a lay sense? A No, I was not. It's just a layperson term. Q Okay. Kind of along the same lines, you drew distinction between the property versus payments to the trust. Did you draw well, was 520 Navesink the only property, other than cash in the Pachava Trust? A Yes, it was. Q The Village Mall was in the VSHPHH Trust and that was its only assets? A It's not the only asset. There was cash as well. Q And was there a is it normal for personal accounts to be held in an individual's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 21 22	date that it was recorded in the local recording offices? A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. Q Is that something normal or that you would expect to see, or is that a potential for a red flag for you? A So you would expect to see a very small delay, but the delays here were larger, so that that was a red flag. Q Okay. When discussing the divorce proceeds, you said you relied on the deposition of Hilde Jenssen, in part, but you couldn't remember exactly what Hilde testified to today. Did you review her testimony before reaching that conclusion? A Yes, I did. Q Same for Nina's testimony, you couldn't remember it today, but did you review it and you had to the extent you used it in your opinion, you had reviewed it at the time you were drawing those opinions, and today you're just having a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	At one point you mentioned alter egos. When you use when I use the term alter ego or nominee, in a legal sense, those are two separate legal concepts with different analyses. When you use the term "alter ego" in your analysis today, when talking during this deposition, were you drawing a distinction between alter ego or nominee or were you kind of just using it in a lay sense? A No, I was not. It's just a layperson term. Q Okay. Kind of along the same lines, you drew distinction between the property versus payments to the trust. Did you draw well, was 520 Navesink the only property, other than cash in the Pachava Trust? A Yes, it was. Q The Village Mall was in the VSHPHH Trust and that was its only assets? A It's not the only asset. There was cash as well. Q And was there a is it normal for personal accounts to be held in an individual's name? I'm moving on to the next topic.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 22 23	date that it was recorded in the local recording offices? A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. Q Is that something normal or that you would expect to see, or is that a potential for a red flag for you? A So you would expect to see a very small delay, but the delays here were larger, so that that was a red flag. Q Okay. When discussing the divorce proceeds, you said you relied on the deposition of Hilde Jenssen, in part, but you couldn't remember exactly what Hilde testified to today. Did you review her testimony before reaching that conclusion? A Yes, I did. Q Same for Nina's testimony, you couldn't remember it today, but did you review it and you had to the extent you used it in your opinion, you had reviewed it at the time you were drawing those opinions, and today you're just having a moment?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	At one point you mentioned alter egos. When you use when I use the term alter ego or nominee, in a legal sense, those are two separate legal concepts with different analyses. When you use the term "alter ego" in your analysis today, when talking during this deposition, were you drawing a distinction between alter ego or nominee or were you kind of just using it in a lay sense? A No, I was not. It's just a layperson term. Q Okay. Kind of along the same lines, you drew distinction between the property versus payments to the trust. Did you draw well, was 520 Navesink the only property, other than cash in the Pachava Trust? A Yes, it was. Q The Village Mall was in the VSHPHH Trust and that was its only assets? A It's not the only asset. There was cash as well. Q And was there a is it normal for personal accounts to be held in an individual's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 21 22	date that it was recorded in the local recording offices? A No, it was not. There was a delay in the recording. Q Is that something normal or that you would expect to see, or is that a potential for a red flag for you? A So you would expect to see a very small delay, but the delays here were larger, so that that was a red flag. Q Okay. When discussing the divorce proceeds, you said you relied on the deposition of Hilde Jenssen, in part, but you couldn't remember exactly what Hilde testified to today. Did you review her testimony before reaching that conclusion? A Yes, I did. Q Same for Nina's testimony, you couldn't remember it today, but did you review it and you had to the extent you used it in your opinion, you had reviewed it at the time you were drawing those opinions, and today you're just having a

	Page 81		Page 83
1	looking at economic benefit to track, to figure out	1	just want to preserve an objection with
2	who is the owner, who is benefiting from	2	respect to the use of the word "normal" or
3	transactions; that is part of your everyday toolkit	3	"normally."
4	as a forensic accountant?	4	BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:
5	A Yes, absolutely. We've been engaged in	5	Q And then beyond that, I'd like to
6	several nominee-type engagements when you're trying	g6	understand what red flags mean to you, and
7	to determine who the ultimate beneficial owner is,	7	particularly in this context of this case. What
8	yes.	8	other red flags did you see in this case?
9	Q And then Shant was living at the house	9	A I think the majority of them would be
10	before 2008 sorry, in 2008 he and his family	10	listed in the body of my report, but there were
11	moved into the house. The property was in the mom	' 1	things such as a personal I'm sorry, a business
12	name, correct, his mom's name?	12	account being used for personal transactions. There
13	A Correct.	13	were things such as non-trustees directing the
14	Q So there were no beneficiary there	14	movement of funds.
15	was no before the transfer, Shant was the	15	Q On that one, besides the e-mail to
16	property was not titled in the trust, it was not	16	Hilde and Shant from Morgan Stanley, are you talking
17	benefiting trustees, correct?	17	about any other movement of monies?
18	A Correct.	18	A There was also instances where Hilde
19	Q Was there any evidence of rent paid to	19	paid expenses that she couldn't verify what they
20	mom?	20	were for, largely significant credit card payments
21	A No, there wasn't.	21	of Shant.
22	Q Do trusts like the Pachava Trust that	22	Q Did she pay them through the trust?
23	pulled real property for like a residence for the	23	A Yes, she did. The non-filing of any
24	beneficiaries or children, do the parents normally		tax returns for the trust or any of the entities.
25	pay and report rent?	25	When I say the back transaction reports trust file,
		_	
	Page 82		Page 84
1	Page 82 A Yes, in my experience, there has been	1	Page 84 but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other
1 2	·	1 2	
_	A Yes, in my experience, there has been		but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other
2	A Yes, in my experience, there has been fair market value rent lease agreements. Q There was some discussion about facts versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have	2	but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax returns. Q Do you know
2	A Yes, in my experience, there has been fair market value rent lease agreements. Q There was some discussion about facts versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have this right. You looked at facts like transfers	2 3 4 5	but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax returns. Q Do you know A The lack of documentation of any
2 3 4	A Yes, in my experience, there has been fair market value rent lease agreements. Q There was some discussion about facts versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have this right. You looked at facts like transfers when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers	2 3 4 5 6	but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax returns. Q Do you know A The lack of documentation of any alleged loans or any alleged gifts.
2 3 4 5 6 7	A Yes, in my experience, there has been fair market value rent lease agreements. Q There was some discussion about facts versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have this right. You looked at facts like transfers when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers were made on a particular date but things like your	2 3 4 5 6 7	but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax returns. Q Do you know A The lack of documentation of any alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books?
2 3 4 5 6	A Yes, in my experience, there has been fair market value rent lease agreements. Q There was some discussion about facts versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have this right. You looked at facts like transfers when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax returns. Q Do you know A The lack of documentation of any alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Yes, in my experience, there has been fair market value rent lease agreements. Q There was some discussion about facts versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have this right. You looked at facts like transfers when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax returns. Q Do you know A The lack of documentation of any alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. Q Were there loans on the other side of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Yes, in my experience, there has been fair market value rent lease agreements. Q There was some discussion about facts versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have this right. You looked at facts like transfers when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax returns. Q Do you know A The lack of documentation of any alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Yes, in my experience, there has been fair market value rent lease agreements. Q There was some discussion about facts versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have this right. You looked at facts like transfers when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax returns. Q Do you know A The lack of documentation of any alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Yes, in my experience, there has been fair market value rent lease agreements. Q There was some discussion about facts versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have this right. You looked at facts like transfers when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax returns. Q Do you know A The lack of documentation of any alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their rebooks?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Yes, in my experience, there has been fair market value rent lease agreements. Q There was some discussion about facts versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have this right. You looked at facts like transfers when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and the draw conclusions and opinions based on those.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 20 13	but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax returns. Q Do you know A The lack of documentation of any alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their ebooks? A They didn't have books, either.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Yes, in my experience, there has been fair market value rent lease agreements. Q There was some discussion about facts versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have this right. You looked at facts like transfers when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and the draw conclusions and opinions based on those. Q And that's part of the conclusions that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 20 13	but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax returns. Q Do you know A The lack of documentation of any alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their ebooks? A They didn't have books, either. Q When we say "books," we're talking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Yes, in my experience, there has been fair market value rent lease agreements. Q There was some discussion about facts versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have this right. You looked at facts like transfers when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and the draw conclusions and opinions based on those. Q And that's part of the conclusions that you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 13 14 15	but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax returns. Q Do you know A The lack of documentation of any alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their ebooks? A They didn't have books, either. Q When we say "books," we're talking about QuickBooks records or general?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Yes, in my experience, there has been fair market value rent lease agreements. Q There was some discussion about facts versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have this right. You looked at facts like transfers when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and the draw conclusions and opinions based on those. Q And that's part of the conclusions that you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 24 15 16	but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax returns. Q Do you know A The lack of documentation of any alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their rebooks? A They didn't have books, either. Q When we say "books," we're talking about QuickBooks records or general? A Correct. Co-mingling of funds.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Yes, in my experience, there has been fair market value rent lease agreements. Q There was some discussion about facts versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have this right. You looked at facts like transfers when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and the draw conclusions and opinions based on those. Q And that's part of the conclusions that you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, correct? A Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 13 14 15 16 17	but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax returns. Q Do you know A The lack of documentation of any alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their rebooks? A They didn't have books, either. Q When we say "books," we're talking about QuickBooks records or general? A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. Q Okay. And that's a red flag why?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Yes, in my experience, there has been fair market value rent lease agreements. Q There was some discussion about facts versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have this right. You looked at facts like transfers when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and the draw conclusions and opinions based on those. Q And that's part of the conclusions that you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, correct? A Correct. MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 13 14 15 16 17 108	but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax returns. Q Do you know A The lack of documentation of any alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their ebooks? A They didn't have books, either. Q When we say "books," we're talking about QuickBooks records or general? A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. Q Okay. And that's a red flag why? A Because when you have a trust bank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Yes, in my experience, there has been fair market value rent lease agreements. Q There was some discussion about facts versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have this right. You looked at facts like transfers when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and the draw conclusions and opinions based on those. Q And that's part of the conclusions that you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, correct? A Correct. MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me seconds to confer with Josh?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 13 14 15 16 17 108 19	but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax returns. Q Do you know A The lack of documentation of any alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their books? A They didn't have books, either. Q When we say "books," we're talking about QuickBooks records or general? A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. Q Okay. And that's a red flag why? A Because when you have a trust bank account you should have an income-producing asset
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Yes, in my experience, there has been fair market value rent lease agreements. Q There was some discussion about facts versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have this right. You looked at facts like transfers when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and the draw conclusions and opinions based on those. Q And that's part of the conclusions that you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, correct? A Correct. MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me seconds to confer with Josh? MR. HANAMIRIAN: Of course.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 13 14 15 16 17 108 19 20	but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax returns. Q Do you know A The lack of documentation of any alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their ebooks? A They didn't have books, either. Q When we say "books," we're talking about QuickBooks records or general? A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. Q Okay. And that's a red flag why? A Because when you have a trust bank account you should have an income-producing asset You should have a bank account that enables you to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Yes, in my experience, there has been fair market value rent lease agreements. Q There was some discussion about facts versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have this right. You looked at facts like transfers when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and the draw conclusions and opinions based on those. Q And that's part of the conclusions that you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, correct? A Correct. MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me seconds to confer with Josh? MR. HANAMIRIAN: Of course. MR. KUNOFSKY: I think we're good.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 13 14 15 16 17 108 19 20 21	but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax returns. Q Do you know A The lack of documentation of any alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their rebooks? A They didn't have books, either. Q When we say "books," we're talking about QuickBooks records or general? A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. Q Okay. And that's a red flag why? A Because when you have a trust bank account you should have an income-producing asset You should have a bank account that enables you to deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Yes, in my experience, there has been fair market value rent lease agreements. Q There was some discussion about facts versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have this right. You looked at facts like transfers when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and the draw conclusions and opinions based on those. Q And that's part of the conclusions that you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, correct? A Correct. MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me seconds to confer with Josh? MR. HANAMIRIAN: Of course. MR. KUNOFSKY: I think we're good. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 13 14 15 16 17 108 19 20 21 22	but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax returns. Q Do you know A The lack of documentation of any alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their rebooks? A They didn't have books, either. Q When we say "books," we're talking about QuickBooks records or general? A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. Q Okay. And that's a red flag why? A Because when you have a trust bank account you should have an income-producing asset You should have a bank account that enables you to deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Yes, in my experience, there has been fair market value rent lease agreements. Q There was some discussion about facts versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have this right. You looked at facts like transfers when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and the draw conclusions and opinions based on those. Q And that's part of the conclusions that you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, correct? A Correct. MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me seconds to confer with Josh? MR. HANAMIRIAN: Of course. MR. KUNOFSKY: I think we're good. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of followup from your followup.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 13 14 15 16 17 108 19 20 21 22 23	but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax returns. Q Do you know A The lack of documentation of any alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their rebooks? A They didn't have books, either. Q When we say "books," we're talking about QuickBooks records or general? A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. Q Okay. And that's a red flag why? A Because when you have a trust bank account you should have an income-producing asset You should have a bank account that enables you to deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust asset.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Yes, in my experience, there has been fair market value rent lease agreements. Q There was some discussion about facts versus opinions. I just want to make sure I have this right. You looked at facts like transfers when you say "facts," you're talking about transfers were made on a particular date but things like your characterization of that transfer as personal or the account being used as personal, is that what you mean when you say conclusions or opinions? A Yes, it is. We used the underlying documentation, such as a bank statement, and the draw conclusions and opinions based on those. Q And that's part of the conclusions that you're asked to draw as a forensic accountant, correct? A Correct. MR. KUNOFSKY: Can you guys give me seconds to confer with Josh? MR. HANAMIRIAN: Of course. MR. KUNOFSKY: I think we're good. MR. HANAMIRIAN: Just two pieces of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 13 14 15 16 17 108 19 20 21 22	but for the VSHPHH Trust and any of the other entities reviewed, the non-filing of gift tax returns. Q Do you know A The lack of documentation of any alleged loans or any alleged gifts. Q Were there loans on the books? A There were no books. Q Were there loans on the other side of the transaction? So if there was a loan from Speedus or Zargis or whoever, did it appear on their rebooks? A They didn't have books, either. Q When we say "books," we're talking about QuickBooks records or general? A Correct. Co-mingling of funds. Q Okay. And that's a red flag why? A Because when you have a trust bank account you should have an income-producing asset You should have a bank account that enables you to deposit the revenues and pay the expenses out of that trust to keep it separate to protect the trust asset.

	Page 85			Page 87
1	don't allege that the monies were co-mingled into	1		
1	the trust accounts, right? But rather they might	2	CERTIFICATION	
3	have been co-mingling in the context of the Speedus	3		
4	or Zargis accounts; is that correct?	4	I, LISA FORLANO, a Certified Realtime	
5	A I was referring to the VSHPHH revenue	5	Reporter, Certified Court Reporter and Notary	
6	and expense co-mingling in the HovSat bank accoun	ts6.	Public, do hereby certify that I reported the	
7	Q Okay. Was there any tax or other	7	deposition in the above-captioned matter, that	
8	reporting for those trust assets, the mall property,	8	the said witness was duly sworn by me; that	
9	do you know? Were the income expenses reported t	O P	the foregoing is a true and correct transcript	
10	tax purposes?	10	of the STENOGRAPHIC notes of testimony taken	
11	A For the VSHPHH Trust?	11	by me in the above-captioned matter.	
12	Q Well, for the assets that were held by	12	I further certify that I am not an	
13	the trust. Village Mall and whatever.	13	attorney or counsel for any of the parties,	
14	Do you know?	14		
15	MR. KUNOFSKY: Objection to the extent	15		
16	it calls for a legal conclusion as to whether	16		
17	somebody has an obligation to file returns,	17		
	but you can answer.	18	LISA FORLANO, CRR, CCR #XI01143	
18	BY MR. HANAMIRIAN:	19	, ,	
20		20		
1	Q Did you see any tax returns for Speedus	21		
21	or Zargis?	22		
22	A No, I did not.	23		
23	Q Did you see any form of any kind of	23		
24	Village Mall entity?	25		
25	A No, I did not.	ر کے		
	Page 86			
١.	•			
1	Q Did you ask for them?			
2	A Lasked if they existed, yes.			
3	Q And you were told no?			
4	A I was told to assume they did not			
5	exist.			
6	MR. HANAMIRIAN: Okay. That's all I			
7	have.			
8	MR. KUNOFSKY: We'd like to read and			
9	sign.			
10	COURT REPORTER: Mr. Kunofsky, wo	uld		
11	you like a copy of the transcript?			
12	MR. KUNOFSKY: Yes, absolutely. If yo	u		
13	can send them as PDFs or ASCII, that's fine.			
14	MR. HANAMIRIAN: They do file share.			
15	MR. KUNOFSKY: That's fine.			
16	(The deposition was concluded at			
17	12:40 p.m.)			
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
1-0				

		40 7:3 28:20	ability 18:20 72:2,	accounting 4:24
Exhibits	2	41 66:6,13	9,18 73:12 74:3	21:7 23:3 24:14,22
			able 19:11 75:25	25:25 38:6 48:17
HOLLOBAUGH- 1 3:11	2 9:10 45:16 59:25	450 15:17	77:1	50:12,18 53:8 65:12,18 72:12
1 3:11	200,000 40:25	49 68:14	abnormal 12:4	accounting/expert
\$	2003 4:25 5:16	5	about 4:7 6:22 9:7	50:6
	2008 81:10		10:23 12:22 13:19	accounts 11:17
\$201,204 30:18	2013 74:1	5 10:10	15:17 17:7 19:14 20:21 27:14 28:18	12:5 19:21 20:23
69:14	2015 30:19 66:3,15	50 34:22	29:1,3,22 37:8 38:6	22:8 23:8 24:1 25:10 30:15 31:2,9,
\$3 14:13	69:15	520 30:20 35:21	40:14 42:18 44:5	10 33:1 34:6,9,11,
\$319,349 30:20 69:15	2016 67:1,7	44:4 45:22 46:12,	50:24 51:24 52:6 54:23 56:14 57:5	13 35:20 37:6
	2017 30:20 40:21	19 49:25 50:1 54:1, 3 60:1 63:12 69:15	58:5,11 62:22	39:23 50:3 54:5 69:11 77:3 78:22,
\$500,000 59:12	69:16	76:9 78:14	65:15 73:17 76:8 77:8 82:3,6 83:17	25 85:2,4,6
\$750 74:13	2019 67:1	529 22:10 44:2	84:15	Accredited 5:13
1	2020 66:15	45:17	absolutely 81:5	accurate 39:21
	21 62:13 68:10	572 28:22 29:3	86:12	44:20 46:3 61:13
1 59:25	22 70:6 71:22		ABV 5:11	65:15 71:19 76:25
10 43:25 45:21	23 74:22	6	access 4:17	acting 74:15
49:17 63:4 82:18	25 62:13	6 17:25	accommodate	actions 18:4
100 53:12	27 35:3	0 17.25	28:6	activity 32:24
11 49:18,22 53:24		7	Accordingly 30:14	35:11 49:11 75:13
59:21	3		35:16 49:23	actual 15:19
11:52 63:8	2.50.05	7 28:11	account 10:25	actually 12:3
12 49:18,23 53:24	3 59:25	0	11:23 12:4,7 20:24 21:10,17 27:7 31:6,	additional 17:18,
12:24 77:17	30 62:13	8	17 32:6,17,23	19,20 68:8 71:25
12:40 86:17	319,000 40:21	8 30:2,9 40:13	33:12 35:13,17,18	Additionally 72:7
13 18:3 56:11	319-and-change		36:2,4,13 37:11,23, 24 38:14,15 40:22,	addresses 68:11
140,000 40:25	40:15	9	24 42:20 43:12	Adelphia 28:21
15 67:8,9	36 59:23	0 24.25 25.7 40.12	70:8,9 72:2,8,25	29:1
16 67:8,9	37 64:8	9 34:25 35:7 40:13	73:19,25 74:6,11 75:12,14,16,23	adjust 16:1 17:4
17 63:11 64:4	38 28:15	9,000 74:12	79:5 82:9 83:12	adjusting 11:20
18 62:12	4	A	84:19,20	adjustments 17:6
19 40:21	4		accountant 5:6	administered
19 40:71		a.m. 63:9	15:7,8 23:15 55:23	53:10
17 10.21	4 9:15,17 10:7	a.m. 03.7	81:4 82:15	

	T.	T.	1	1
administration	16:15 33:3 46:13	56:21 57:3,24	24 48:3,14,19,20,	13,17 85:18
57:6,7	68:7 79:14 83:18	62:14 63:20 66:13	23,25 49:7,13,14,	
,		67:24 69:21 70:1,4	17,18,19,22,25	answers 20:5
advanced 5:1	Altavilla 15:7	71:1,4,16 78:5	50:2 51:8,11,20	any 4:9 5:1,7 6:17
after 16:5 63:13	alter 25:9,14,24		52:3,6,10 53:16,24	8:14,19,20 14:12,
	26:3,8,10,11,15,19,	analyze 27:6 31:4	54:2,3,16,18,23	16 16:11 17:2,7,16,
again 11:11 17:25	20,23 27:17 78:1,2,	analyzed 31:25	55:3,7,15,17,23,24	19 18:12 19:2,10,
23:6,10 25:24 26:4,	5,7	34:17 36:10	56:16,20,22,23,24	16 21:3 22:23
24 29:6,18 30:11,		1	57:1,12,19,25 58:6,	29:15,19,22 30:16
21 31:15,17 32:14	although 64:10	analyzing 31:3	8,9,10,23 59:11,12,	32:9,24 33:6,9
33:25 35:4,9 36:9,	71:25 72:7 75:2	and 4:2,8,9,10,12,	14,25 60:1,9,12,14	34:4,5,9,12 36:8,
21 41:18 42:17	am 63:15	14,22 5:1,4,11,12,	61:3,11,16 62:5,7,	19,25 37:3 41:2,8,
49:17 53:24 54:15,	America 4:7	14,18,20 6:4,16,22,	10,13,19 63:11,12,	16 46:25 47:7,16,
20 57:15 60:18	America 4:7	24 7:11,12,15,22,	17,18 64:3,5,6,8,	25 48:16 62:25
61:11,13 64:4,6	amount 24:24,25	25 8:10 9:1,3,13,	11,17 65:2,9,10,17,	65:1 66:24 67:5,11
71:23 72:5 74:22	47:25 48:12,13	14,15,25 10:3,9,23,	18,23 66:2,4,7,15,	69:12 73:17 77:14
against 30:19,20	amounts 67:25	25 11:5,14 12:18	16,17,20 67:1,8,11,	81:19 83:17,23,24
40:14 69:14,15	76:1,4	13:7,20,22 14:3,6	23,24 68:2,6,7,9,	84:1,5,6 85:7,20,23
ngo 62.16		15:7,13,19,21,25	13,18 69:2,15,17,	
age 62:16	an 5:11 7:20 12:22	16:1,12,21,24,25	21,22 70:5,10,14,	anybody 29:8,21
agree 9:4 10:4	13:3,25 16:4,9	17:23 18:4,5,9	16,17 71:4,8,9,11,	51:14 76:23
63:23	20:24 21:7,10	19:5,20,24 20:2,4,	18,25 72:3,10,15,	anything 5:8,22
agreements 82:2	24:14,19,22,23	5,9,14,21,22 21:1,	17,19,24 73:4,15,	12:16 17:18 21:3,
	25:20,21 26:3,8,15	11,17,19,20 22:9,	16 74:10,11,12,13,	13,23 22:1 26:14
ahead 12:15 25:19	32:7 35:9 37:22	10,14,16 24:3,6,7,	19,25 75:4,8 76:3,	29:4
38:10 70:19	41:13 44:3,18,20	9,24,25 25:5,9,21,	17,23,25 77:2,5,9,	anywhona 10.25
AICPA 69:6,7	46:3 48:14,17 49:9	23 26:7,8,18,25	13 78:18,21 79:3,7,	anywhere 18:25
	50:5,15,18 51:1	27:3,7,12,14,19,25	8,13,17,22 80:19,	apologize 58:20
all 6:7 9:15 14:7,9	52:6 54:17 55:15,	28:5,6,8,13,18	22 81:9,10,25	appear 25:23
30:6,23 32:20 33:5	19,22 56:21 57:22	29:9,18 30:10,13,	82:12,13,14 83:5,6,	84:11
34:17 40:1,11	70:3,12 71:15	17,19 31:2,6,7,10,	16 84:1,17,21,24	
44:21 45:12,18,23	72:12 73:20,22	12,13,17 32:1,5,7,	85:6,13 86:3,8	appeared 33:5
49:11 58:11 59:13	78:22 79:1 83:1	9,21 33:13,17,18,		appears 13:6
60:25 62:13,15	84:19 85:17	21,23,25 34:13,21,	and/or 29:21 39:23	35:12 50:11 66:15
63:25 65:12 66:21	analyses 6:9 68:18	22 35:2,4,5,11,15,	64:19	
70:21 86:6	78:4	22,24 36:1,3,11,13,	annual 48:12	Appended 13:5
allege 85:1		15,19,23 37:2,15,	another 25:21	are 4:4,5,18 5:2,18,
alleged 84:6	analysis 15:14	18,19 38:12,19,22,	32:22 56:8 60:24	24 6:5,8 7:15 9:16
	18:2 19:20,23	23 39:2,3,11,15,22		10:6 11:1 12:3
along 8:13 29:8,22	21:15,16 22:21	40:3,5,6,7,13,25	72:11,20 77:12	13:5,11,18 14:18,
59:2 65:11 72:25	23:24 24:2,19	41:1,15 42:8,11	answer 12:14,24	20,21 15:5 19:1
73:14 78:11 82:25	25:12,25 26:2 27:3	43:3,8,16 44:2,15,	22:5 23:1 24:12	20:19 21:19 23:4,8,
already 33:22	30:3,5 31:5,13,20	21,25 45:2,17,21,	25:6 28:1 29:20,24	11,20 24:2,20
	32:14 35:25 37:1	22,24 46:5,8,10,13,	38:5 44:11 46:23	26:24 27:19,21
also 4:12 5:11 11:7	38:19,22,23 41:7,	14,17 47:7,16,19,	53:7 60:20 70:11,	28:7,21 30:8 32:13
	22,24,25 48:24			

60:4,5 61:23 65:19 67:11,12,22 68:8, 11,17 69:20 70:1,8, 11 74:15,17 76:14, 15 78:20 79:20,25 81:4 82:8,9,12,15, 24 83:11,13 85:16 86:13 **ASCII** 86:13 **ask** 20:4,5 29:6 69:18 86:1

asked 23:4 24:19 25:9 26:11,25 27:6 53:3 82:15 86:2

asking 19:1 20:20 22:19 24:14 25:16 27:13 41:9 52:8 61:16 69:19 71:14, 20 74:10

aspect 6:23
aspects 10:8

assessment 48:14

asset 41:13 44:3 47:23 51:18 55:5,8 56:16,21,24 57:11, 19,25 58:6 59:5 60:23,25 62:3 78:19 84:19,23

assets 18:9 28:22 36:11 44:18 51:16 56:19 59:5,9 78:18 85:8,12

assist 25:25

assisted 15:10,13

associated 11:1 24:16 29:19 41:24 44:21,22 48:20 59:14 66:21,25 67:5 75:13

assume 86:4 **assumedly** 38:15 **assuming** 76:4,13 **assumption** 43:4 **assumptions** 42:3, 5 62:25 68:18

assure 39:21

at 6:25 7:3 9:17 11:20 14:9 15:24 16:11 17:15 18:14 19:15,16 24:21 27:4 29:21 30:13, 18 31:5,17 32:12, 15 34:6 35:16 40:11 45:7,12 46:10,12,15 49:17, 22,24 53:12,24 54:1,19 57:18 59:23 66:12 74:9 77:23 78:1 79:18 80:21 81:1,9 82:5 86:16

attorney 7:20,22 attorneys 33:20 audit 48:14 available 76:1,5 avoid 41:25 aware 34:12

attached 13:11

В

back 9:1 17:23 18:20,25 30:21 33:8 39:22 54:15 63:8 77:17 83:25

background 4:21, 23 9:11 16:24

19:25

bad 22:23 23:3 **balances** 19:12,13, 17

bank 11:23 12:3,5, 7 13:16 14:8 15:12 19:21 21:9,17 23:8 24:1 30:15 31:2,8,9 34:5 35:17,20 36:13 38:14 40:4,5, 22 42:23 50:3 54:4 68:1,2,3,5,6 69:10 70:8 72:15 75:12, 14,16,23 78:25 82:12 84:18,20 85:6

banker 79:3

based 13:15 15:11 28:24 33:15 68:17 69:25 70:3 71:1,15 72:14,23 73:13 82:13

basic 10:21

basis 33:24 35:22, 24 53:8 57:20 71:11 77:2

be 7:24 9:1 11:23

13:6,10 17:8 22:21 24:21 28:10 32:18 33:5,18,21,23 34:8 36:21 41:18 42:17, 20 47:22 48:14,21 49:5 52:18 53:9 54:24 55:14,18 58:12 59:9 62:2 67:12 68:5 70:21 74:10 75:25 77:1 78:22 79:1,3 83:9 84:24

bear 20:2

because 19:20 28:21 31:1,25 37:20 42:9 45:11 53:1 54:14 56:9 58:2 60:7,13 61:23 62:8 67:10 73:8,23 75:22 82:25 84:18

become 7:14

been 4:1 5:15,16 8:16 15:23 17:15 29:16 32:10 41:3, 13 53:11 62:9,10 71:17 76:11 81:5 82:1 85:3

before 8:10,11,12 37:8 46:20 62:8 80:15 81:10,15

begin 12:4

beginning 9:10 10:7 18:3,9 19:12, 13,17 28:19 35:1 45:17,23 49:15 64:8,9,15 70:7 75:2

begins 9:18 18:2 28:14 30:4 35:3 63:18 64:7 68:14 74:24

behalf 22:15 24:17 25:2 35:12 39:13 77:24

being 20:24 23:25 33:9 34:24 36:5,12 39:5,9 41:14 56:4,5 59:9 62:3 73:9 82:9 83:12

belabor 57:16

believe 10:14 19:18 34:18 45:5 50:14 55:8 57:14 59:13 63:2

	1	1	I	1
below 59:23 61:3	bookkeeping 11:2	45:11 46:22 48:25	30:7 35:5 36:7 38:5	8
beneficial 81:7	books 11:7,8,12	50:5 53:15 54:16	41:9 42:17 44:11	Certified 5:5,10
beneficiaries	13:23,24 22:16	55:25 57:9,24 58:1 60:19 67:9 70:22	45:7 46:8,22 48:25 49:19 53:7 54:19	cetera 63:22
51:21 53:11 54:20	76:3,23,25 84:7,8,	74:12 79:20 80:9,	59:19 60:20,22	
55:1,4 58:7 76:2,5	12,13,14	13,19 82:7 83:10	64:11 70:17,21	CFE 5:10
81:24	both 39:1,23 66:1	84:1 85:2,18	73:22 82:18 85:18	challenge 57:22
beneficiary 81:14	67:8 74:20	by 4:3 7:14,15	86:13	changes 17:2
	bottom 9:10,17	12:19 13:1,15	can't 12:10 21:5	characterization
benefit 33:11 46:12 49:24 50:10,	10:7 30:13 35:16	14:20 18:2 22:13	23:16 29:10 32:11	10:5 26:18 44:15
15,18,20 51:2 52:7	49:17,22 53:24	23:2,18 24:15	48:25 50:5	46:4 82:8
53:10,17,23 54:1,7,	66:12	25:13 28:4 30:1,17	capable 12:12	
8 55:5 56:15 58:7	break 27:3 34:23	31:9 32:6 35:11	_	characterized
61:20 62:3 64:20,	63:4	38:20 39:4,7 42:14	captured 66:21	45:12
21 81:1	brief 63:6 77:16	43:11 44:14 45:14	car 55:17,18,19,20,	charter 28:25
benefited 55:8		46:12,14,24 47:23 49:12,24 50:1,11,	25 56:4,8,9,15	check 14:2 34:22
64:16	broadly 29:6	15,17 51:2 52:9	card 83:20	checks 13:25
benefiting 81:2,17	broken 11:23	53:14,17,22 54:1,3	career 5:17 6:23	child 55:21 61:10
besides 83:15	BS 4:24	56:5 58:18 61:5	carried 18:10 39:6	children 52:2,3,8,
best 7:24	build-up 24:25	63:7 64:18 65:3,25 69:13 70:20 77:22,	46:13 50:1 54:2	10,12,14,16,23
between 28:13	bulk 67:19	23 79:14 83:4	cars 56:11	53:2,15 54:9,13,20
31:1 55:24 58:22	bullet 59:24	85:12,19	case 4:19 7:25 8:4	55:8,11,17 56:5,14
69:19,22 70:16	burden 39:7 46:14		11:2 16:9 19:23	57:2 60:6,12,15
73:15 78:7,12 79:8	50:1 54:2	C	21:11 24:21 26:13,	61:20,24 62:2,8,12, 15 81:24
· ·			17,21,23 33:22	
beyond 5:1 27:10 83:5	business 5:13	calculations 6:10,	65:24 71:17 83:7,8	children's 56:10
	14:13 21:25 30:17	11	cases 6:2,5 7:9	63:21
block 9:3 30:8 35:4	33:6,10,14,16	call 7:20 20:15 43:5	25:18	choose 60:22,24
49:16	35:11 37:6,11,14 69:12 76:18 83:11	47:14		circumstances
body 7:8 31:14,15			cash 18:5 19:21 20:14 35:24 64:18	4:10
32:15 83:10	businesses 14:16	calls 22:4,25 23:13 24:10 25:4 38:3	65:3 66:5,13 67:8,9	
bold 30:13 35:2,16	but 4:18 5:5 6:14	44:9 46:22 48:24	77:2 78:14,20	citation 10:8
64:4,5 65:22	7:7,13 8:17,25	50:7 53:6 60:19		claim 44:4
bolded 18:1 28:12	13:18 14:7,12 18:7	85:16	caveated 70:21	claims 26:17,19
30:3 44:1 45:21	19:9,24 20:6 21:14	came 8:6 39:24,25	certain 24:5 25:11	28:20
63:11 68:13	22:5 23:1,19 25:6	40:8 43:6 73:25	34:19 36:12 66:16	classification
	26:19,20 27:2,15		73:10	42:24
boldly 65:22	28:1 29:20 31:19 34:8 35:19 36:22	can 12:14,24 16:11	certainty 68:20,21	
bookkeeper 9:25	37:3,7,21 38:5,12	19:3 20:1 22:5,21 23:1,19,21 24:12	69:2,9 70:24 71:13	clear 11:20 46:9
10:21	39:16 41:21 43:17	25:6 28:1,2,9 29:24	certifications 5:4,	clearer 17:5
		25.0 20.1,2,7 27.24		

clearing 35:18 36:1 37:23 38:15 70:9 clearly 42:9 client 7:14,18,21 co-mingle 11:17 co-mingled 11:23, 25 44:18 65:4 75:14 84:25 85:1 co-mingling 46:17 75:19 84:16,24 85:3,6 code 69:1 collaborative 7:8 15:21,23 collected 65:10 college 4:25 5:5 combined 11:13 come 8:8 36:3 43:3,4 57:16 59:7 71:9 comes 7:19 61:20 68:22 comfortable 20:3 66:20 coming 25:11 42:10 common 12:6 communicate 29:20 companies 14:19, 20 39:14	Complaint 8:4,11 28:13,14 complete 32:18 34:16,20 completely 56:18 compound 44:25 45:4,6 comprised 30:15 69:11 concepts 78:4 conclude 29:10 49:23 concluded 13:22 39:3 69:20 86:16 conclusion 21:5 22:5 23:1,14 24:11 25:5 26:5 35:15,23 36:10 37:22,25 38:5 39:6 43:4 44:10 46:22 50:6,8, 13 53:7 59:23 60:19 61:11 63:12 68:12,13 69:8 73:4 76:20 80:16 85:16 conclusions 17:9 26:25 27:13,19,24 28:7,8 31:21 46:9 51:4 69:5 82:10,13, 14 conduct 69:2 conducted 35:11 conduit 36:6 confer 82:19	confused 13:10 58:16 confusing 60:7 connect 71:9 connected 71:11 consequence 24:9, 16 37:16 47:20 48:16 66:24 67:2 consequences 24:20 consider 36:1 51:6 considered 63:25 consistent 75:7 consult 8:13 consulting 6:22 context 4:9 6:12 8:8 17:14 19:22 20:8,16 24:24 26:6 27:2 29:7 31:12 32:21 38:7 57:4 58:8 66:4 83:7 85:3 continues 10:10 contributed 56:24 contributed 56:24 contributed 39:3 Cooke 15:6 copies 4:11 copy 86:11 corporate 28:22, 25 75:7 corporation 11:6, 8	39:10 43:13,15 48:2,5 51:3 52:21 54:22 55:2,6 56:19 65:16,19,20 66:11, 18,19 74:18,21 76:6,14 77:6 81:12, 13,17,18 82:16,17 84:16 85:4 cost 58:23,24 59:1 could 34:9 42:9 48:14 53:11 58:15 74:10 couldn't 80:13,18 83:19 counsel 7:14 26:1, 7 course 7:14 11:19 29:16 82:20 COURT 86:10 CPA 5:2 create 10:2,12 20:9 created 10:14 13:12 20:13 54:10 62:10 credit 83:20 criminal 5:21 6:1, 2 current 7:3 Currently 5:20 44:12 cut 20:1	dates 8:3 daughter 9:19 day 4:15 days 40:24 dead 31:14 32:15 deal 14:12 63:20 dealing 47:17 deals 65:3 debate 17:7 deducted 37:13 deed 66:2 79:25 defense 5:22 defined 27:24 definitions 9:14 degree 68:20,21 69:9 70:24 71:13 delay 80:3,9 delays 80:9 demonstrate 26:10,11 54:25 demonstrated 69:3 Department 29:21 depend 40:10 62:18 depending 7:21 depends 6:7 deposit 75:12 84:21
_		corporation 11:6, 8 correct 7:10 13:9, 21 16:14 17:13 18:21 21:22 23:21	dash 35:2 data 71:16 date 15:16 79:22,	_

depositions 8:14, 17 deposits 14:6 30:16 69:11 derive 6:16 derived 40:3 57:18 72:22	79:13,16 80:14,17, 19 83:8,22,23 84:11 85:20,22,23, 25 86:1,4 didn't 11:16 13:3 14:7,9 18:19 19:10 25:24 32:22 34:18 57:9 84:13	distinction 57:17 70:16 78:7,12 distinguish 55:23 distinguishable 56:17 distinguishing 56:21	documentation 19:4 25:1 40:9 82:12 84:5 documents 4:19 13:8 15:12,14 17:19 40:6 42:2 46:25 72:16 73:15 79:17,20	drawing 78:7 80:21 drew 78:12 drilling 57:1 duly 4:1 during 11:19 74:1, 17 78:6
describe 38:25 describing 7:1 description 44:20 detailed 18:3 details 33:9	difference 56:3 58:6,22 69:19,22 differences 58:4 different 6:7 12:1, 3 20:15 56:19 58:2 78:4	divorce 42:13,14, 16,21,24 43:17 80:11 do 4:11,13,18,20 5:7,24 6:6,17,25 7:16 8:5 10:4,14	does 7:16 16:15 21:14,23 22:17,19 24:3,22 25:3,23 30:24 31:15,20,21 36:24 37:3,5 56:12 57:15 59:15 68:25	E e-mail 74:19 83:15 e-mailed 4:15 74:9
determination 6:9 determine 7:23 18:9 21:13,16 25:10 31:5 36:14	differentiated 57:12 differentiation 17:24	11:19 12:8,12 14:12,18 15:15 16:4,6,9,18,19 18:12,22,24 19:8 20:3,6 26:2,14,20 27:5,9,12,15 28:16,	doesn't 16:13 61:12,21 dog 34:22 doing 4:6 6:20 15:13 20:1 70:18	e-mails 68:9 73:1 79:12 each 12:4 15:20 18:6 27:7 40:10 66:14,16 67:12
73:9 77:1 81:7 determining 18:5 dialogue 38:23,24 did 6:16,17 8:8,13,	difficult 61:17 direct 64:19 72:3, 9,18 73:12 74:3 directing 73:2	17 32:9,15,16 34:4, 8,22,23 36:1,19 37:15 38:24 39:24 41:2,4,10 42:3,14,	dollar 47:12 51:8 don't 8:2,7,16,17 9:16 12:16 16:8,19	earlier 18:18 40:24 ease 35:4 49:16 easier 41:9
19,23 10:16,17 11:3,9,14,19 12:8, 10,21,22 13:19 14:23,24 15:1,19, 20 16:2,21 17:2,14	83:13 director 16:25 disagree 9:4	17 43:17,20,22 44:5 45:7,15 46:19 47:16 48:6,25 51:23 52:10,15 53:18 54:11 55:7,	18:8,24 19:18,24 20:6 22:18 24:13 27:11,17 29:20 32:19,23 33:9 34:8 37:15,19 43:21,24	easiest 4:16 9:1 Eastern 63:9 economic 50:15, 18,20 51:2 52:7
18:14 19:6,7,8,16 20:12,17 21:15 23:22 24:4 27:3 28:24 29:7,8,20,23 32:5,16,24 33:12	disbursements 14:5 discern 33:13 discuss 18:8	11,23,25 56:8,25 57:2,3,9,16 58:24 59:20 62:5,7,22,24 63:3,16,23,25 64:2, 21 65:9 66:9,20,23	46:7 48:11 49:5,7 54:17 55:14,20,25 56:9 57:14,15 63:2, 24 71:6 73:22 85:1 done 6:2	53:8 81:1 education 5:1 educational 4:23 Effectively 20:10
32:3,10,24 33:12 34:16,18 39:22 41:5,8,10,15,16 42:5 43:3,4,20 46:25 47:11 51:6, 17,19,20,22 54:7	discussed 79:22 discussing 79:7 80:11 discussion 18:4	67:3,4,11 68:22 69:18 72:21 73:11, 17,20,24 74:8,15 77:8 81:22,24 84:4 85:9,14 86:14	down 27:3 57:1 61:2 71:23 draft 8:19 17:3,11, 12	effort 15:22 ego 25:9,15,24 26:3,8,10,12,15,19, 20,23 27:17 78:2,5,
55:18 60:3 62:5 64:22 65:6,9 66:24 67:24 74:4,5,6,8 76:23 77:4,7 78:13	82:3 discussions 68:18 disputes 5:22	document 9:5 51:18 54:18 62:22 63:1	drafts 15:21 draw 13:3 21:5 78:13 82:13,15	7 egos 78:1 either 5:2 7:20 49:9 57:7 84:13

else 15:21 33:23 73:16 enables 84:20 end 11:20 35:6 engaged 6:6 7:14, 18,22 8:1 17:15 26:7 27:15,20 81:5 engagement 4:10 6:4,8 7:17 15:16 29:11 41:23 73:5 engagements 81:6 ensure 45:12 enter 14:1 entered 10:25 entire 5:17	essentially 10:25 36:4 44:17 established 75:12 estate 35:21 36:12 39:1,5,8 50:2 54:3 56:25 58:20 59:1 60:1 61:3 67:7,13, 25 74:10 et 63:22 even 14:3 events 8:14 ever 14:12 27:17 everybody 17:11, 12 54:7 everyday 81:3	existence 73:25 existing 13:23,24 expect 80:6,8 expected 59:6 expense 50:2 54:4 85:6 expenses 21:24 22:9,15 24:5,17 25:11 30:18,24 31:10,16 32:2,4,8 33:3,16,17 34:2,10 35:12 36:5 37:7,12, 13 39:13,18 46:15 53:21,22 54:16 58:12 59:7,10 60:1, 4,7,16 61:1,2,7,8 65:11 69:13 72:4,	facts 4:10 9:24 15:25 27:12 64:1 71:2,3,11,16,18 82:3,5,6 fair 46:13 49:25 51:15 52:6 53:12 54:2 58:23 59:8,15 60:13 61:22 82:2 fairly 34:19 46:9 family 53:19,21,22 60:12 81:10 family's 52:20 family-owned 14:16 far 10:19 37:4 67:12 70:11	finalize 16:1 finances 41:20 75:15 financial 6:20 13:16 18:16 19:4 40:6 42:1 73:8 find 11:3 14:18 32:5 40:18 67:4 findings 27:19,24 28:14 fine 9:15 32:21 63:5 86:13,15 finished 20:17 finite 34:8 firm 5:15 7:24 13:12 14:11
entirely 5:20 8:18 13:20 entities 10:3 11:20 12:3,7 13:19 21:11 35:18 39:3,7,9,16 64:16,22 65:19 70:9 75:15 79:9 83:24 84:2 entities' 11:2 entitled 51:15 65:22 entity 12:5 19:1,14, 16 20:24 21:10 25:20,22 26:15 30:24 41:2 85:24 entity's 21:10 entries 11:25 12:1 entry 13:25 18:6 equate 59:15 equivalent 34:5 essence 39:4	everyone 30:7 evidence 19:3,9 69:4 81:19 evolve 6:18 evolved 6:19 ex-wife 14:24 exactly 27:7 43:21 80:14 examined 4:2 examiner 5:8,11 examining 31:14 example 55:15 exceed 59:15 exceed 59:15 excess 48:12 exclusion 47:25 48:12,13 63:21 exist 16:15 19:6 62:23 86:5 existed 19:10 86:2	10,19 73:10 76:1 77:9 83:19 84:21 85:9 experience 4:22 5:5 6:17 47:16 48:10 82:1 expert 8:9 16:17 24:23 32:21 37:23 41:21 45:5 expertise 6:16 10:19 extent 22:4 27:25 38:3,5 44:19 46:21 48:24 60:19 70:16 80:20 85:15 extreme 61:18 F fact 17:8 27:19 28:7,8,24 69:20 70:2,12,16,25	Fargos 34:7 FASB 69:6 father 66:1 Federal 48:4 feel 59:17 63:25 66:20 few 9:6 77:20 figure 19:22 67:18 81:1 figures 66:14,25 67:6 file 12:1,6 48:11 56:1,23 83:25 85:17 86:14 filed 8:4 23:7,9 37:9 47:23 48:7,9 54:24 75:10 filing 21:10,12 58:18 final 68:12,13	first 9:17 15:24 34:21 63:17 64:6, 24 68:14 69:10 five 14:13 63:4 77:13 flag 80:7,10 84:17 flags 36:25 37:4 83:6,8 floor 64:16,23,25 65:14 flow 39:21 64:18 65:3 66:5,13 67:8,9 79:13 82:25 flow-through 36:5 flowed 36:17 focused 29:14 followed 69:1 75:9 following 11:11 45:20 47:9 67:14 68:15

follows 4:2	80:25 81:4 82:15	funded 32:6 36:13	59:18	52:10 55:16
		39:4,18 40:23		
followup 82:23	forensics 6:2,25	42:12 67:8	gift 47:11,14,17,22,	great 63:20
footnoted 34:19	36:24 72:13	funding 25:10 39:7	25 48:4,6,11,12,13 51:7,9,10 52:8,9,23	greater 47:24
for 4:16 6:4,12 7:9,	form 7:16 8:21	46:14 50:2 54:3	54:15,16,21,24,25	grid 66:12 67:1
13 8:13,17,20,21	44:16 71:11 85:23		55:1,3,9,13,17,24	
9:14 11:7,12,25	formal 14:19	funds 21:17,20	56:22,23 57:5,9,10,	gross 14:14 66:6,7
13:7,19 16:15,17	formally 5:2	27:7 31:6 35:18	12,25 58:4,14,17,	group 15:4 17:1
18:4,23 19:1,3,9		36:3,15,18 37:24 39:4,21 42:10,15	18 60:11 61:19	guess 4:20 6:22
20:10,11,25 22:4,	formation 57:20	43:6,17 70:9 72:3,	63:21 75:10 84:2	22:18 33:19 47:9
22,25 23:14 24:10	formats 9:6	10,19,24 73:3,9,12,	gifted 55:1 60:23	60:6
25:4,10 26:9 27:21	forth 9:2 27:8	14 74:3,13 79:8,13	62:10	guys 13:20 82:18
29:9 30:6 31:20,21 34:16,17,20 35:4,	39:22	83:14 84:16	gifts 48:1 84:6	guys 13.20 02.10
18,20,22 36:16		further 49:14 71:4		тт
37:4,24 38:3,16	forward 18:10	77:18	give 19:25 40:17	H
39:1 40:15,22	four 61:25		82:18	H-A-S-M-I-G
42:21 44:3,9 45:5	fraud 5:8,10 23:5,	Furthermore 64:15	Given 10:11	H-A-S-M-1-G 51:5
46:22 47:8,25	12,16,17,20,22	04:15	go 4:21 8:24,25 9:2	
48:24 49:8,16 50:7	from 4:24 5:25		12:15 13:20 19:24	had 14:6 17:15
51:8,16 52:7,14,19,	7:20,23 13:6 17:3	G	25:19 28:9 35:6	23:9 25:17 27:3
20,22 53:2,6,8,10,	18:10 24:13,22		36:3 38:10 39:22	34:4,9,19 38:23,24 54:9 55:12,16 62:9
21,22 54:13 55:2,	25:11 26:20 30:5,	Gandolfo 10:17	45:9 56:21 57:24	80:20,21
19,21,22 56:11,20 57:6,9,10,12,20,24	10 34:9 35:5,20	18:17 65:2,6	63:11 68:10 70:19	
58:7,12,15 59:6	36:17 38:22 39:24	Gandolfo's 77:5	goes 5:23 27:9	half 65:2
60:1,12,14,19 61:9,	40:3,8,23,24 42:10,	gave 56:4	49:14 54:15,25	halfway 6:22
20,21,24,25 64:19	11,20,21 43:6,17		going 4:17 5:3 7:2	HANAMIRIAN
65:5,7,8,9,18,19	47:3 48:10,17 55:5,	general 6:20,21 14:3,18 20:9,10,11,	9:1 21:25 22:3	4:3 12:19 13:1
66:8,14,15 67:1,8,9	8 57:18 58:10 59:7,	15 25:20 27:18	30:21 31:13 32:14	22:6,13 23:2,18
68:2,3,6,7 70:5,9,	18,23 61:19 64:12, 16,22 66:5,13	84:15	44:24 45:10 48:22	24:15 25:13 28:4
14 71:12 73:10	67:25 68:5,22		56:1 63:9 70:15	30:1 38:8,11,20
75:24 76:3,5,24	70:10 72:21 73:1	generally 7:19 15:24 21:9 37:6	gone 62:7	44:14 45:1,9,14
78:21,25 79:3 80:6,	75:1 79:4,12,23	61:9 79:18,19,25		46:24 49:4,7,12
7,18 81:23 83:12, 20,24 84:1 85:8,9,	81:2 82:23 83:16		good 4:4,5 16:18,	50:9,17 53:14 61:5
20,24 84:1 85:8,9,	84:10	generate 14:1	20 34:24 82:21	63:3,7 70:20 77:12,
	front 9:5	generated 12:18	got 9:8 51:1 52:23	17 82:20,22 83:4 85:19 86:6,14
forensic 5:20,25		13:14,15 64:18	76:11	
7:17 16:25 18:2	full 9:17 49:15	65:3 67:6	Government 6:10	handbook 45:2
19:23 22:21 23:15 25:25 31:13,20	functions 65:12	get 7:19,22 9:15	graduated 4:25	handle 7:22
32:14 41:21,24	fund 30:18 31:10	20:7 44:22 49:9		handled 41:19
50:13 55:23 69:21,	37:7,11 69:13	60:10 61:16	grandmom 61:20	58:6
25 70:3 75:18		getting 15:25	grandmother	
		S		

happen 11:18,21	he's 34:24 58:16	home 53:11 56:17	8:7 10:6 11:4,11	67:6 68:3,4 69:12,
16:2	heading 18:1	58:3 59:1 61:9	19:13,22,24 25:16	23 70:5 74:10
happens 48:10,19	28:12 30:3 44:1	homeowner's	27:13,18,20 34:7,	77:14 84:10 86:2,
	45:16,21 63:12	59:2	12,19,24 38:12	12
has 12:7 59:4,5	64:3 68:13		39:20 40:17 41:9	immediately 64:9
82:1 85:17		hours 15:18	44:24 45:10,20	75:1
Hasmig 51:5	hear 50:9	house 51:2 52:4,10,	46:9 47:9 48:22 52:8 53:4,23 54:14	improper 45:5,10
hate 4:20	hearsay 44:22	23 56:1,17 61:4,12	55:15 57:21,23	
	held 55:5 58:6 62:3	62:1,10,13 76:5	58:16 59:18 60:10	in 4:9,13,19,24,25
have 4:11,13,14,17,	75:8 78:22 79:1	81:9,11	61:15,16 63:9,13	5:13 6:1,2,12 7:5,7
24 5:7,15,16 6:1,3,	85:12	household 54:9,13	65:25 67:14 68:16	12,20,25 8:3,8,9,
17 7:2,20 8:16 9:5,		55:12	69:19 70:15 71:1,6,	10,11,12,19,21 9:5,
22,23 12:5,6,22	help 8:19	57.0	19 72:6 74:2,24	6 11:2 12:1,2 13:9
15:23 16:4,9,16	helpful 28:10	houses 57:2	76:4 78:23 83:11	14:1,7,14,18 15:13,
17:2,18 18:12,20,	helps 28:9 43:22	Hovnanian 4:8		15 17:9,14 18:19
24 19:14 21:9 23:9	neips 28:9 43:22	14:24	I'VE 25:17	19:4,22 20:8,16,21,
25:18 29:8,16 32:9,	her 10:17,18,19,23	Hovnanian's 77:4	identification 35:9	24 21:2,10,11,20
10,22 33:8 34:16,	12:17,18,20 13:2,4	Hovnaman's 7/:4	47:7	22:8,16,19,20,24
19,25 36:19 40:11	18:4 38:3 43:23	Hovsat 11:13 65:5		23:12 24:2,3,21,23
41:2,3 42:3 44:7	48:25 49:10 51:5	68:6 74:12 75:17	identified 11:9	25:20 26:1,6,13,16
47:16 52:19,22	74:17 80:15	85:6	13:8 17:21 21:25	20,23 27:2,4,8,13,
53:11 54:9,12	here 16:2 19:6	how 4:4,5 5:14	23:24 25:15 30:9	15,18,23 28:14,18,
55:12,19,25 56:8,		•	33:10 34:2 41:22	20 29:1,6 30:8,13,
11 59:6 61:12,19,	25:23 30:3,4 31:8	6:16,24 7:16,21	45:16 51:7 54:19	19,20 31:4,6,12,22
23 62:8 69:3 73:17,	35:9 40:18 44:3	11:23 13:14 15:15	58:10 60:16	32:14 33:22 34:11,
20,22 75:22 76:11	46:16 56:3 67:24	24:3 31:18 33:12	identifiers 9:14	13,22 35:15,19
77:14,18,20 79:9	70:18 75:9 80:9	38:24 39:22 43:3,4		36:3,15 37:20,21
82:4 84:13,18,19,	higher 67:10	45:4 54:11 56:9	identifies 22:14	38:6,24 39:4,22,24,
20 85:3 86:7	Hilde 14:23 42:21	57:1,2 58:6 62:18	30:2 44:2 59:24	25 40:1,13,18 41:8,
haven't 17:22	43:9,11,19 59:13	73:11 74:8	64:6 66:6 68:11	13,15,21 42:5 43:6,
	74:10,15 80:13,14	How's 28:7	identify 10:1 22:22	16 44:3,6,16 45:23
having 4:1 21:24	83:16,18	hundreds 21:19	23:22 27:12 51:1	46:5,16 47:16,19
58:11 61:16 80:22	•	23:11	58:24	48:7,12 49:13,14
he 9:2 10:1 16:25	his 32:11 41:20			50:15 51:4 52:3,10
17:2 30:3,4 31:16,	42:15,21 43:17	hypothetically	identifying 30:23	55:5,7,12,16 56:3,
19 32:16,22 34:9	54:13 58:13 66:1	7:12,13	if 9:6 11:6,18,22	13,16 57:3 58:1,4,
38:13 39:12,18	81:10,12		20:2 21:9,13,14	8,10 59:4,9,11,12,
41:10 42:15 49:16	history 4:22 65:24	I	23:8 28:9 29:18	21,22,24 60:16,23,
50:3,14 51:1,11	•		30:16 32:3 33:7	24,25 61:10,20
53:23 54:5,16	Hoberman 16:23	I'D 19:14 20:6 83:5	34:4,18 37:11,13,	62:1,4,5,13,21 63:1
58:12,14,15 59:11	holder 33:12		15 38:8 43:22 45:9	64:3,5,6,14 65:24
66:5 68:11 72:2,8,		I'LL 20:3 28:6	46:8 47:19 48:6,10	66:2,4,7,10,16
17,18 73:17,20,23	HOLLOBAUGH	45:6 72:4	51:5 52:8,9,23 53:3	67:7,16,18,21,23
74:4,5,6,8,25 75:14	4:1	I'M 4:5,6 5:5,10	55:16 56:7 59:4,8	69:9,15,16,22 70:6,
81:10		1.5,0 5.5,10	61:18 62:23 66:1	22 71:9,17,23

initial 7:20

instance 6:13 7:12

12:2 18:19 36:16

55:7,16 58:1 62:6,

37:21 42:6 48:7

21 66:16 69:10

instances 66:16

instead 8:3 24:7

instrument 62:19

insurance 59:2

intended 37:25

interest 48:20

internal 16:4

16:16 17:7

8:21

interactions 10:13

internally 7:11,16

Interrogatories

interrupts 82:25

into 6:18.23 8:6

24:1 42:19 43:12

47:2,12,20 49:18,

62:10 73:25 76:12

23 53:24 60:24

79:4 81:11 85:1

investment 34:5

involved 25:18

is 4:7,16 9:2,3,4,9,

7 16:17,25 19:23

20:20 21:6,10,25

18 10:7 11:22 15:6,

IRS 48:25

invalid 44:19

38:1

31:18 53:15

75:19

83:18

72:24 75:8,18,19 77:2 78:3,5,8,14, 17,22 79:1,7 80:1, 3,13,20 81:5,10,11, 16 82:1 83:7,8,10 85:3,6 **included** 17:8 22:9 30:7 69:12 including 28:22 30:16 35:19 **income** 30:17 36:4 66:25 67:4,16,18, 21 69:12 85:9 income-producing 76:6,10,17 84:19 incomplete 14:2 **Inconsistencies** 28:13 independent 75:12 indicate 18:14 46:11 indicated 42:20 indicates 43:14 indicators 23:4 **indicias** 23:20.22 indirect 64:19 individual 6:8 10:2,13 12:5 25:21, 22 32:7 40:10 individual's 78:22 79:1 individually 19:15 40:12 individuals 14:21 15:3 information 17:20

22:15,23 23:7,11 24:9,16 25:8,21 26:13,21,22,23 27:4,15,23 28:6 29:18 30:6 32:20, 21 33:19,20 35:4, 10,22,24 36:8,9 37:22 38:17 39:21 40:3 41:22,23,25 44:3,16,17,19,20 46:3 47:24 48:16 49:1,10,14 50:5,11, 13,14,21,22 51:5, 15 52:8,9 53:4,9 54:6 55:1,20 56:4, 16 57:8,17,25 58:6, 14,23 60:6,11,12 61:13,18 62:1 64:9, 24 65:15,21 66:13 67:18 68:19,22,24 69:9,19,20,23,24 70:17,23 71:3,14, 15 72:7,12,13 73:4, 6 74:3 75:19.20 76:6,7,20 77:24 78:21,25 80:5,6,25 81:2,3,7 82:9,11 85:4 **isn't** 36:4 50:18 issue 24:21 25:8 26:12 49:6 54:17 67:5 **issues** 9:16 26:25 44:22 47:17 **it** 4:18,19 6:7,17,18 7:19,22 8:6,10,25 9:7,8,18 10:7 11:6, 16 12:10 14:3,4 15:3,21,23 16:1,10, 11,17,19 17:5,17

24:3,4,19,22 25:15, 18 26:6,7,21,22 27:3,23 30:6,13,14, 22 31:8,19,20,21, 23 32:4,16 33:21, 23 34:19 35:3,6,12 36:17 37:1,3,5,10 38:3 39:11 40:10, 18 41:1,5,7,8,9,12, 18 42:19,24 43:2,5, 10.14.22 44:16.23 45:7,10,11,25 46:10,22 47:11,12 48:18,24 50:14,21, 23 51:8,10,20,22 52:18 53:4,6 54:6, 15,19,25 56:6,7,12, 25 57:9,16,17 58:1 60:19 61:11 62:1, 18,22 65:25 66:1, 15 67:16 68:5,17, 19,24,25 69:1,24 70:10,23 71:18,20 72:5 73:6,8 75:20 76:11,13 78:8,16, 21,25 79:3,6 80:1, 3,19,20,21 81:16 82:11,25 84:11,22 85:16 **it's** 8:1 12:2,6 15:17 16:5 20:2 23:3 24:23 25:7,17, 20 26:8,18,19 30:14 31:14 35:16 36:4,5 37:20,22 38:1,13 39:15 40:19 44:12,25 45:4,5,12 48:8 49:1,23 50:9,10 52:12 53:1,16,25 54:15 56:5 57:10, 12,19,22 58:2 60:25 61:6,14 63:8 69:2 70:3,6 76:21 78:9,19

italics 70:6 71:23 items 26:9 29:9 30:7,8 60:1 its 51:16 53:10 75:22 78:18 itself 17:23 44:19 J **January** 40:21 66:3 **Jenssen** 14:24 43:9 80:13 **Jessica** 4:1,4 20:1 **Josh** 82:19 **junior** 15:8 just 5:3 6:1,18,19, 23 7:11 8:3 14:4 16:9,17,18,19 20:5 22:3 23:6 26:12 27:18 29:6 30:6,7, 10 31:8,18 32:1 35:6 36:5 38:23,24 39:11,12,20 40:17, 24 44:25 49:9 52:16 53:18 54:14 56:4,13 57:23 58:5, 13 59:19 60:16 61:14 63:10 64:11, 25 66:1 71:15,19 75:4 77:20 78:8,9 80:22 82:4,22 83:1 Justice 29:22 K **Karen** 10:16 13:24 18:17 26:22 33:23 65:6,8 77:5

18:20,25 19:7,16

20:1,3,7,23 21:13,

14,15 22:2,4,14,19

Karen's 9:25	largely 7:7 15:3	licenses 5:4	loans 19:4,9 84:6,7,	79:9 82:4
		lien 30:19,20 35:21		makes 16:12 17:5
keep 84:22	larger 14:11,19	40:14 69:14,15	local 80:1	mall 30:19 40:15
kids 31:18 58:15	80:9	like 5:8 6:4 8:3,22	logic 61:18	64:5,10,18 65:4,11,
kind 4:19 5:22	last 46:5 63:18	11:15 20:5 31:18	logs 79:14	22 66:8,22 68:3
6:17,18 7:6,8 8:5	later 8:17 24:2	32:1 41:1,9 43:11 45:12 47:21 57:1	long 5:14 11:4 49:1	69:15 75:3 76:18, 21,24 77:10 78:17
11:5 16:11 29:19	28:19	59:17 67:16 81:22,		85:8,13,24
59:19 68:9 78:8,11 85:23	law 27:13 28:8 49:8	23 82:5,7 83:5	look 16:11 19:3,14 27:4 31:5 33:8	manner 37:20
	lay 78:8	86:8,11	40:11 56:22	
kinds 34:1	layperson 78:9	likely 68:7	looked 18:14 19:9	many 6:24 33:16 57:2
know 6:20 7:23 8:2		limited 10:22	79:17 82:5	
9:6 12:9,16 14:11	lease 82:2	35:19	looking 22:21 26:9	market 46:13
15:15 17:4 20:11 26:18,20 29:11	least 45:8	Linda 15:6,13	32:15 41:11 46:10	49:25 51:16 53:12, 13 54:2 58:23 59:8,
32:19,23 33:7 34:4,	leave 54:19		57:18 81:1	16 61:22 82:2
7,9 39:24 43:18	leaves 63:20	line 48:23	looks 41:1 67:16	matter 4:8 19:16
44:5,21 46:20 48:6 54:11 56:9 67:6	ledger 14:4 20:9,		loss 6:9,10,12,14	21:15 22:17,19
68:4,22 73:11,24	10,11,15	liquid 59:5,9	losses 6:15	24:23 25:3 30:25
74:16 84:4 85:9,14	ledgers 75:25	list 29:9,12 45:1,3		31:15,20,21 37:5, 10,11 56:12 61:12,
knowledge 10:11,	legal 21:5 22:4,23	79:10	lost 11:5	21 70:1
22 16:20 49:10	23:1,14 24:11,21	listed 83:10	lot 6:8 10:25	mattered 31:23
known 72:1,7	25:5,17 26:1,4,24,	listen 20:4	lowering 22:7	
Kunofsky 12:13,	25 27:19 37:17	literally 21:19		matters 38:16
23 22:3,25 23:13	38:4 44:10 46:22 48:24 50:8 51:5	litigation 5:21,23	M	may 8:16 10:2,12
24:10 25:4 27:22	53:7 60:19 62:16	6:23 44:3		30:10 70:5
29:24 38:2 44:9,24	70:17 78:3,4 85:16	little 14:19 20:14	made 48:11 55:17	maybe 32:22 41:9
45:3 46:21 48:22	legally 22:22 25:8	30:16 69:12	56:22 82:7	me 9:6 15:13 20:2,
49:5 50:7 53:6 60:18 63:5 70:14	legitimate 23:8	live 52:10,14 55:12	main 79:20	20 29:6 30:7 38:9
77:15,20,22,24		57:3 60:22,23,24	maintain 75:25	39:17 40:17 45:25
82:18,21 85:15	less 14:14,19	62:9	76:23	46:8 50:11 56:4 60:7 61:16 68:12
86:8,10,12,15	let 9:6 29:6 77:12,	lived 53:15 61:10	maintained 10:24	71:14 77:12,13,14
	13		11:8,12 54:13 72:2,	82:18
L	let's 4:21 55:15	living 46:12 49:24 50:11,15 52:3	9,18 73:11	meals 33:17,25
1.1.10.11.01.5	63:11 68:10	53:17,21 54:1 62:1,	maintaining 11:7,	mean 5:14 6:1 8:8
lack 10:11 84:5	level 17:16 19:17	13 76:14,15 81:9	15	9:9 20:11 24:23
language 49:20	liabilities 18:10	loaded 59:17	majority 83:9	25:15,17 28:5
64:8 70:6 71:24 75:1	liability 16:16	loan 84:10	make 16:13 37:19	29:14 30:22 31:17
/3.1			41:9 42:3,5 69:4	38:8 39:17 42:14,
	-	•		•

50:7,9,17 53:6,14 60:18 61:5 63:3,5,7

70:14,20 77:12,15,

17,20,22 82:18,20,

21,22 83:4 85:15,

much 4:20 15:15

16:9 37:18 57:21

my 4:14 5:16 6:22

7:2 13:11.12.15 14:10 15:4,24 16:8,

20 19:20 20:19

21:16 25:5,7 26:12

29:2,5 31:18 34:11

municipality

36:24

15

19 86:6,8,10,12,14,

17 53:18 54:11 60:9 64:21 67:3 68:25 69:18 76:4,
13 82:10 83:6
meaning 14:13 79:24
means 25:15 69:1
meant 50:22
meeting 58:13 71:9
memory 7:3
mentioned 23:6 32:1 77:23 78:1
method 20:2
middle 59:21 74:23
Middletown 40:22
might 9:1,4 13:10 31:21 32:10 41:3 85:2
million 14:13
Mills 28:22 29:3
mind 41:8,15 56:16
minor 17:4
minute 30:11 35:5 56:24
minutes 34:22 77:13
minutes' 63:4
misleading 10:2, 12,15 27:23
misspoke 58:20
mom 81:20
mom's 81:11,12
moment 49:19

64:11 75:4 80:23 money 36:22 42:19,20 43:23 59:6
monies 35:19 39:12,23,24 40:8 43:10 44:18 66:7 74:4 83:17 84:25 85:1
monthly 77:1,9
more 15:7 42:18 59:12
Morgan 31:17 72:2,8,25 73:1,16, 18,24 74:9,11,19 79:11,12,14 83:16
Morgans 34:6
morning 4:4,5
most 20:5
mother 47:4 58:18
motion 8:4
move 74:4
moved 74:14 81:11

movement 72:3,9,

79:4,8 83:14,17

moving 34:21

78:23

19,24 73:2,12 74:3

43:25 65:21 73:14

Mr 4:3 12:13,19,23

13:1 22:3,6,13,25

23:2,13,18 24:10,

15 25:4,13 27:22

28:4 29:24 30:1

38:2,8,10,11,20

9,14 46:21,24

44:9,14,24 45:1,3,

48:22 49:4,5,7,12

35:16,24 37:1,20 38:13,18 40:18 41:7 48:8 49:23 50:10 68:19 70:3, 23 71:1,15 73:13, 14 82:1 83:10 N **name** 20:24 21:10 51:5 78:23 79:1 81:12 narrative 19:24 **nature** 22:9 55:24 59:3 Navesink 22:10 44:2,4 45:17,22 46:12,19 76:10 78:14

need 27:4 34:23 52:11,12,15 56:1, 23 57:3 61:25 needed 14:1 31:4 32:3 36:13 negative 66:25 67:2,4,6 negatives 66:17 **net** 66:6,14,17,25 67:4,12,16,17,21 never 29:12 52:12, 16 **New** 35:20 69:10 **next** 7:15 20:5 49:14 64:3 65:21 74:22 78:23 Nina 9:19 26:22 33:23 77:4 **Nina's** 9:25 80:18 **no** 5:4 8:11,23 10:15 12:11 13:4 17:5,10,17,22 18:16,21 19:18 21:6 23:7,19 26:11 27:6 29:5,13 32:12, 13 33:15 34:3,24 35:10 37:8 41:4,25 42:7 43:2,21,24 45:9 46:7 52:16 57:14 58:2,4 59:4,5 62:24 63:2,24 67:21 74:12 75:10, 12 77:11,18 78:9 79:6 80:3 81:14,15, 21 84:8 85:22,25 86:3 **nominee** 78:3.7

Nedrehangen nominee-type 43:9 81:6 non-filing 83:23 84:2 non-financial 13:17 40:6 42:1 68:8 72:15 73:15 non-payment 50:25 non-signatory 73:18 non-trustee 79:4 non-trustees 83:13 **none** 13:18 17:4 **normal** 78:21,25 79:3 80:5 83:2 normally 19:12 81:24 83:3 **not** 6:14 7:12 8:1, 11,12,23 9:18 10:14 11:11 12:6 13:13 14:7,10,21 17:8,10,17 19:7,13, 19 20:2,4 23:16 24:4 29:5 34:3,12 35:19 37:20 38:12 39:20 40:19 41:4 42:2,8 43:2 45:11, 12,20 46:8 47:9 48:8,13 51:2 52:17 54:10 55:11,13,15 56:1,5 57:12,22 58:17 59:18 60:11 61:14 62:7,9,10,24 65:25 67:14,17,20 71:19 72:1,7 73:18, 23 75:9 76:6,10,25 77:11 78:9,19 80:3 81:16 85:22,25 86:4

nearest 70:7

13:3

necessarily 7:12

necessary 19:23

Ι

notated 43:8	53:17,23,25 54:6,8	55:9,17,24 56:15,	53:15 56:25 58:8	onto 10:10
note 9:19	obviously 4:7,17	21 57:6,7,11,19,20	59:11 61:21 64:13	open 29:9
	9:5 13:7 14:12	58:7,8,9,14,18,23,	66:4,12 67:11 74:8	_
nothing 29:1	33:19 37:19 41:6	24 59:1,13,19,21,	75:6,18,24 77:15	operations 33:16
notion 16:12 54:15		25 60:3,8,13 61:1,	78:11 80:11 84:17	opine 23:16,19
	of 4:7,9,11,19,21	4,9,20,22,25 62:3,	85:7 86:6	25:24 27:1,17 38:4
now 7:3 28:21 41:9	5:22 6:5,8,9,10,11,	15 63:12,17,20,21	old 57:2	•
57:1 68:20 72:7	12,13,14,17,18,25	64:10,16,17,20,23,		opining 70:25 71:1
NRR 30:20 35:21	7:6,8,9,14 8:3,4,5,	24,25 65:1,2,12,17,	on 4:14 6:7 7:21,24	opinion 12:22 13:3
49:25 50:1 54:1,3	9,14,17,20 9:5,13,	23,24 66:1,3,4,6,7,	9:16 10:6 13:16	30:14,21 35:16,22
63:12 69:16	14,18 10:2,7,8,10,	9,12,13,14,15,21,	15:2,11 17:25 18:3	37:22,23 38:13,15,
	11,12,13,16,17,25	24 67:10,19 68:8,9,	19:24 22:15 24:17	17 49:24 50:10
number 45:16	11:2,5,13,14,15,19	10,20,21 69:2,9,11,	25:2 28:11 29:14	53:17,25 57:21
numbered 31:14	12:16 13:5,16,18,	20,21 70:1,7,9,12,	30:9 33:15 34:25	68:19 69:18,21,24
21 17	25 14:7,19,23	18,21,24 71:1,3,12,	35:3,12,21 37:14	70:3,12,17,23 71:5
numbers 31:15	15:11,14,20,24	13,16,24 72:3,4,9,	38:4 39:13 40:10,	11,12,15 72:12,13,
59:18	16:8,10,12,25	10,14,19,20,24	13,21 42:23 43:25	14,23 73:13,17,20,
	17:14,19,20,25	73:1,2,5,8,12,13,14	49:7 51:2 58:18	22 74:25 80:20
O	18:4,5 19:3,4,9,21,	74:3,4,6,11,20,23,	59:20 62:18 63:8,	
	23 20:1,8,10,13,14,	25 75:3,11,13,15,	14 64:8 67:1 68:12,	opinions 20:20
object 22:4 44:24	16,23 21:17,19,20	25 76:17 77:2,24	14,17 70:3,6 71:1,	38:18,25 80:22
45:6 48:22 53:4	22:9,15,16 23:11,	78:8,11 79:5,8,11,	15,22 72:1,8,14,23	82:4,10,13
70:15	20,22,23 24:2,9,18,	13,22,23 80:12,25	73:13,18,20 74:3,	or 4:22 5:2,8 6:9,
	24,25 25:2,8,14	81:3,19 82:8,14,20,	22 77:1,17,18,24	17,25 7:5,14,15,21
objecting 82:24	26:6,12 27:2,7,13,	22 83:2,7,9,10,14,	78:23 79:25 80:12	8:10,14,21 9:4
objection 12:13,23	19 28:7,8,11,21	17,21,23,24 84:1,2,	82:7,13 83:15 84:7,	10:18 11:8,25 14:8
22:25 23:13 24:10	29:7,9,13,16,19,21,	5,9,16,21 85:3,23	9,11	13,20,21 15:21
25:4 27:22 38:2,3	22 30:4,13,16,18,	86:11	ongo 7,17.76,11	16:13,17 17:8,19
44:9 46:21 50:7	22 31:2,3,5,6,10,		once 7:17 76:11	18:16 22:14,20
53:6 60:18 83:1	12,15,18 32:2 33:3,	off 34:21 45:8	one 4:14 5:12 12:3,	23:16 25:21,22
85:15	11,16,19,21,24	offhand 8:2 77:11	7 15:24 16:8 25:21	27:5,24 28:7,8
	34:1,6,7,11,12,17,		40:17 43:7 45:5,7,8	29:19 32:4 34:5,6,
objectionable	25 35:3,4,6,12,18,	office 6:25 7:5	47:12 48:8 53:20	29.19 32.4 34.3,0,
26:19	21,24 36:15,18	64:17 65:1	60:21 70:17 74:9	43:4,5 44:18,20
obligated 60:4,14,	37:1,24 38:19 39:4,	offices 7:7 80:2	77:23 78:1 83:15	48:1 50:5,12 52:17
15	7,13,18,21,24 40:5,			53:8,18 54:7,10
	22,24 41:3,7,12,14,	oh 58:1	ones 4:15 18:17	1
obligation 55:19	23 42:24 43:25	okay 4:16 5:7 8:12	33:13 34:14 55:4	55:13,14 56:8,22 57:6,20 59:12,15,
58:13 61:6,7,13,24	44:15,21 45:11,18,	9:3,12 14:3 16:12	56:14 62:2 72:17	
85:17	19,23 46:3,4,13,14	17:12 18:19 19:6,	79:21	24 60:24 62:1 63:1
obligations 62:23	47:1,20 48:1,3,12,	16 21:14 27:2,4	ongoing 30:17	64:22 66:1,7,16
9	15,23 49:6,8,10,11,	28:11 29:10 30:12	33:16 69:12	67:19 68:3 69:12,
obtain 54:7 64:22	15,23 49.0,8,10,11, 16,17,22,25 50:1,2,	31:7 33:18 35:8		25 70:12,25 71:19
obtained 46:11	25 51:21 52:13	39:11 40:7 41:10	only 4:18 6:14	72:12,13 74:6 77:1
			13:25 35:10 56:5	78:2,7,8 79:4 80:5
49:24 50:10,15	53:10 54:1,2,3,4,9	43:10 47:7 49:4,21	78:14,18,19	6 81:24 82:8,10

83:2,24 84:6,11,15,	overlay 6:25 11:10	36:24 39:2,5 40:21	51:11,13,14 52:11,	21:24 22:8 30:16,
25 85:4,7,21 86:13	owed 43:23	41:2,14 43:11	12,15,16,19,20,22	18,23,24 31:9,16
order 13:9 14:1		54:16 58:11,18	53:2 55:19 58:14,	32:22 33:3,11,13
27:4 31:4 59:9	own 20:10 56:9	59:9,11,13 65:10	17 59:10 60:4,14,	36:18 37:7,12,13
	75:22	67:17,20,22 74:11	15 61:1,9,25 81:25	39:4 69:11,13
organized 46:11	owned 14:20 46:20	81:19 83:19	83:22 84:21	78:22,25 82:8,9
original 15:10	65:25	paragraph 9:17,	paying 22:15 24:17	83:11,12
		20 28:14,15,19,20	32:2,4 50:16 51:2	personally 24:8,17
other 4:15 5:4,7	owner 81:2,7	30:5 35:1 45:23	53:20,22	25:2 40:8
6:14 11:2 12:17	owners 28:21	46:2,6 49:13,14,15		
13:16 15:3 16:8		63:13,17 64:3,7,12,	payment 35:20	persons 33:22
17:4 18:16 19:3	ownership 39:7	14 65:21 68:14	42:7 46:13 49:25	perspective 24:14
21:23 32:24,25	46:14 47:1 50:1	74:23 75:5	60:3 61:22 64:17	
34:4,5,9 35:18	54:2 58:23,25		65:1 72:3,10,19	phone 79:14
38:18 40:6 46:14	owning 59:1	paragraphs 71:23	payments 22:9	picture 10:2,12,15
48:16 50:2,3 54:4	owns 44:7 61:12	parent 54:12 61:8	23:24 32:25 78:13	71:8
56:11 57:2 59:5	OWIIS 44:7 01:12	-	83:20	5 25 67 12
60:1 65:5,12,19		parent's 61:6,7		piece 5:25 67:13
70:9 77:14 78:14	P	parental 58:13	payor 33:12	pieces 82:22
79:8,11,20 83:8,17		-	pays 31:16,19	place 8:15 32:16
84:1,9 85:7	p.m. 77:17 86:17	parents 52:13,18,		36:20 62:9
others 34:12 79:13	Pachava 18:13	20 53:16 55:19,25	PDF 4:14	
otherwise 37:16	31:25 32:2 36:11	56:6,7,8,11 58:2	PDFS 86:13	places 4:13
otherwise 37.10	37:2 38:19 39:2	60:4,5,14,21,25 61:12,18,19,23	penalties 48:20	play 24:3
our 7:24 16:25	44:1,13 45:16,22	62:14 66:1 79:23,	-	
23:23 24:1 36:9	47:2,6 51:18,25	24 81:24	people 6:24 7:9	plays 24:1
39:6 41:25 46:9,10	55:9 63:13 72:1,8,		9:14 20:6	please 28:3
68:24 69:4 72:14,	25 73:18,24 78:15	parents' 53:1	perceived 55:14	mlma 20.24
23	79:8 81:22	Paris 55:16	-	plug 38:24
out 11:20,24 14:4			percent 53:12	point 10:9 30:22
19:22 20:21 32:5,	page 9:10,15,17	parsing 52:7	perform 14:8	37:24 59:23,25
25 36:3,23 40:22	10:7,10 17:25 18:3	part 9:18 37:1 41:7	24:20	74:9 77:23 78:1
41:14 45:2 52:7	28:11 30:2,9 34:25	57:20 64:24 80:13,	performed 18:8	pointed 18:17
63:20 66:7 72:24	35:3,6,7 43:25	25 81:3 82:14	25:12,25 35:25	1
74:4,6,11,14 79:4	45:21 49:17,18,22		41:25	pointing 53:23
81:1 84:21	53:24 54:6 59:21,	particular 12:2		points 59:25
	23 63:11,14 64:4,8	47:25 82:7	performing 27:2	1
outlined 15:25	66:6,13 68:10,14	particularly 83:7	31:12 65:13	portion 15:20
34:11,13	70:6,7 71:22 74:22,	partner 16:21	period 18:11	65:17,18 66:9
outlining 73:2	23	-	-	76:17
	pages 21:20 23:11	partners 16:8	periods 34:17,20	positive 67:18
outside 5:3 19:4	40:13 58:9	party 53:13	person 36:24 47:23	-
34:11 57:8			55:23	positives 66:17
overall 7:5,6 16:10	paid 23:25 24:7	pay 24:4 32:7		post 33:21
	25:2 30:23 35:13	34:10 39:12 47:11	personal 20:25	
	T	1	1	1

60:5,14 61:24

provided 15:12

provides 18:3

pull 33:21 45:1

purchase 56:9

purpose 20:11

purposes 16:16

pushing 57:21

put 41:13

18:5 20:25 55:2,22

56:12,20 57:9,10,

13.25 61:21 85:10

Q

question 11:4,12,

22 28:3 29:25

37:17 41:8,12

44:22 45:6 46:1

47:10 48:23 53:3

58:16 59:17 60:6

61:15 67:15 70:5,

questioning 8:20

questions 20:4

77:14,18,21

29:8,9,13,15,17,22

Quickbooks 10:24

11:8 12:1,6 13:6,18

14,15 71:7

48:23

84:15

quiet 34:24

22 20:5,17 27:11,

57:24 75:24

pulled 58:9 81:23

Public 5:5

33:22 58:9 79:14

	ı		
potential 25:8 80:6	procedures 7:		
potentially 19:25	proceed 7:17		
21:24 25:5 44:17 51:9	proceeds 42:1		
	25 63:19 70:10 71:25 80:12		
practice 5:19 7:1 8:5 16:18,20			
practitioner 6:20	process 8:4,13 11:14 15:2 16:		
preconceived	15 30:4 54:24		
16:12	processes 10:2		
preparation 29:7	product 20:18		
prepare 13:9 26:7	25:6 38:4		
29:9	profession 68 24 70:23		
prepared 4:9 16:5			
preparing 8:9,20	professional 68:20,21 69:2,		
26:6	70:24 71:13		
presented 68:18 71:17	professionally 10:17 41:5 57:		
preserve 45:4 83:1	proper 11:16		
presumes 27:23	properly 18:1		
presuppose 28:24	properties 22		
47:24	39:16,19 property 28:2		
presupposes 71:18,20			
•	29:4 41:1 44:4		
primarily 20:25 64:19 76:19	46:15,19,20 47 20 50:3,16 51:		
primary 56:15	54:4 58:12 59:		
printed 4:14	15 60:2,13 63:		
printouts 13:6	65:4,23,24 66: 67:19,23 75:8,		
_	14 76:10,18,19		
prior 18:11 38:22 47:1 70:15	24 77:10 78:12 79:23 81:11,16		
privilege 29:18	85:8		
probably 15:17	protect 84:22		
39:15 79:19	prove 10:9		
procedure 7:13	provide 38:44		
16:17	55:25 56:1 58:		
	I		

75:7 2:13,14, 10 13,20 6:4,7, 24 0:23 18 68:23, 2,9 llv 7:16 :10 22:11 3:23 1:4.5.8 47:1, 1:6 9:12. 3:22 6:22 8,11, 19,22, 12,14 ,16,23 22 4 45:18 8:3,15

72:17 75:1 **D** 43:8 41:8 74:24 77:4 86:8

reads 62:19 **quote** 9:18 35:5 ready 9:6,8 **quoted** 30:8 49:20 **real** 35:21 36:12 64:8,12 70:6 71:24 39:1,5,8 47:19 50:2 **quotes** 9:3 30:3 54:3 56:25 58:20 49:16 58:10,11 59:1,12,25 61:3 59:24 64:6 68:17 67:7,13,18,23,25 74:10 81:23 **quoting** 30:4 60:17 **really** 12:6 16:9 **reason** 27:16 R 38:11,16 41:16,17, 19 47:8 69:18 R-O-G-A-L-A-Nreasonable 68:20, 21 69:8 70:24 71:13 raise 36:25 37:3 rebuttal 6:10 60:17 **raised** 41:12 **recall** 8:5,16,18 **Randall** 9:24 18:2, 33:9 43:20,21,22, 7 30:2 44:2,21 23,24 51:23 62:22 49:13 59:24 63:19 68:4 72:21 77:8 64:5 77:13 receive 51:15 Randall's 4:12 54:21 9:2,22 17:14,20,23 18:1 28:12 34:25 received 42:15 43:25 44:15 58:9 79:12 59:22 60:17 63:10 receiving 56:14 66:4,10 68:10 62:3 recess 63:6 77:16 **rather** 9:1 11:4 20:6 30:5 45:25 recollection 14:10 82:24 85:2 29:5 reach 31:22 79:4 reconcile 14:8,9 reached 43:16 reconciliations 14:8 reaching 80:15 reconstruct 13:20 read 12:20 14:23, 20:9 25 30:6 64:11 75:4 reconstruction 15:11 **reading** 35:4 44:16

	1			
record 63:8 77:18	rent 46:13 49:25	83:25	58:19	Road 22:10,11
recorded 80:1	50:16,25 51:2,11,	representatives	returns 6:21	28:23 29:3 44:2,4
	13,14,16 52:11,12,	73:16	18:15,16 19:5	45:17,22 46:12,19
recording 80:1,4	15,17,19,20 53:2	reprint 35:3 59:22	21:11,12 23:7,9	Rogaland 43:7
records 13:7,17	54:2 58:23 59:8,16 61:25 63:20 64:17	_	37:8 75:10 83:24	roles 15:9
15:11 18:21 73:9	65:1 81:19,25 82:2	reproduced 66:10	84:3 85:17,20	
76:3,24,25 84:15		requested 17:18	reveal 20:12,17	roughly 8:6
red 36:25 37:3	rental 60:13 61:22	_	21:23	running 49:18,23
80:7,10 83:6,8	75:13	required 58:3,14,		runover 63:13
84:17	rented 53:12		revealed 20:23 22:2	
referencing 72:6	renter 59:5	requirements		runs 26:20 35:6
74:24		62:19	reveals 70:1	
	rents 65:10	residence 76:14	revenue 14:14	S
referral 7:19	repeat 28:2	81:23	77:9 85:5	
referring 85:5	repeating 63:18	residents 76:15	revenues 75:13	S-P-A-R-E-B-A-
reflect 47:1 51:20			76:1 84:21	N-K-E-N 43:7
	rephrase 45:7	respect 12:25 13:2,		said 43:17 51:8
reflected 22:16 67:1	report 4:8,11,12	4 18:13,15 20:19, 22 23:23 36:10	review 13:16 15:25	52:16 61:23 64:25
	8:9,25 9:2,22 13:5,	37:18 38:18 42:7	16:4,10,16 25:9	71:15 72:17 74:12
regard 21:2,3	9,11 15:20 16:22	46:1 49:10 62:25	30:8,10 40:5 46:25 49:19 68:8 72:14,	77:8 79:9 80:12
22:19 26:16 44:6	17:2,9,15,21,23,25	83:2	24,25 73:8,13,14	same 20:16 25:21
regardless 20:23	18:1 21:21 24:2,23		79:14 80:15,19	28:11,19 46:6
54:9 61:13 72:4,10,	27:8,9,13,20 28:5,	respectfully 30:22		49:13 55:18,20
20	12 29:2,7,10 30:5,	31:17	reviewed 8:24	62:14 64:4 70:5,11,
relate 76:18	10 31:22 34:12,14,	respond 17:15	9:22 13:9 16:21	13 71:22 78:11
	25 35:5 40:18 44:1,	responded 74:12	17:17 34:14 58:9 62:21 72:16 79:10	79:25 80:18
related 14:21	16 45:7,8,18,24 46:4,5,10 58:1,10	_	80:21 84:2	
22:10 34:1 64:15,	59:22 60:17 63:1,	response 18:12		Sandy 15:7,10
22 75:15 77:9	10,19 64:4,12 66:5,	55:18	revocation 28:25	sat 65:2,6,15
relating 61:2	6,9,10,14 68:11,19	responsibilities	reword 39:15	satisfaction 30:18
relative 11:9 15:9	75:1,11 81:25	53:2	Richard 4:25	69:14
23:25 41:13 42:21	83:10	responsibility		saw 11:12
65:11	reported 85:9	52:13 53:5	right 14:4 19:12	
relevant 9:10 69:4	_	responsible 60:12	20:9 22:23 32:20,	say 5:3 14:13 15:17
	REPORTER	_	21 33:23 41:12	16:12 26:8 27:4
relied 80:12	86:10	responsive 4:12	50:24 51:9 61:22 62:1 67:17 71:10	31:18,19 34:1
rely 49:7	reporting 55:22	44:1	76:10 79:10 82:5	35:15 37:20 38:11, 13 41:10 43:20
remember 80:13,	56:12,20 57:4 58:5	result 17:19,20	85:2	50:5,21 53:16,25
19	85:8	69:21		55:15,24 56:23,25
	reports 12:17	return 37:14 47:22	River 22:10 44:2,4	57:1 58:1 61:21
remote 7:7	13:11,12,13,18	48:4,6,11 54:24	45:22 46:12	62:12 63:19 67:2
	16:17 20:13 40:2	56:2,23 57:5,25		69:17 70:22 74:2
	I	I .	1	I .

76.4.92.6.10.92.25	46.5 16 62.17	a h o!a 40.2	10.22.22.14.22.2.7	governog 19.5 20.14
76:4 82:6,10 83:25 84:14	46:5,16 63:17 64:15,24 65:3	she's 49:2	19,22 22:14 23:3,7 25:11 27:13 29:18	sources 18:5 20:14 21:17,20 27:6 31:6
	71:24 72:6	shelter 55:21 58:15	31:4,15,19,20 32:3	35:24 66:7 67:24
saying 18:2 27:14,		60:5,14 61:1,24	33:15,16,23 34:24	77:2
18,20 28:6 34:8	separate 78:3	should 11:23 12:5	35:15,10,23 34.24 35:15 36:3,22	
37:21 38:12 43:23	84:22	17:8 23:9 39:15	37:15,19 38:22	sourcing 40:3
51:4 53:4 60:11	September 66:15	62:2 75:22 76:11	39:4 41:1 43:3,10	Soviet 71:8
62:15 68:17 76:9	sequence 38:21	84:19,20	46:7 49:1,13 50:20,	space 64:17,23
says 9:3 18:7 29:10		showed 31:7	25 51:1,11 52:6,14,	65:1,14,17 71:9
30:14 31:8 44:21	series 9:13,14 13:5		18 53:11,16,21,22	
45:25 46:10 54:18	48:1	shows 24:4	54:18,20,25 56:1,	spaced 7:7
62:22	services 17:1	side 6:1 77:19 84:9	16 57:12,14,21	spaceships 71:10
scenario 59:4,11	set 10:18 13:2,4	sign 86:9	58:15 59:11,19	Sparebanken 43:6
schedules 40:1	27:8		60:6 61:6,11,24	_
		signatory 35:10	62:12 63:2 64:24	speak 14:21 17:12
scope 41:23 57:8	settlement 42:22	72:1,8	65:6,9,14,17 67:8	speaking 51:24
73:5	several 25:18 81:6	significance 36:8	69:3,22,24 71:3 72:6 74:2,9,19	79:18,19
screen 4:15	Shant 14:24 20:25	significant 83:20	75:10,18 76:17	specific 8:3 26:9
second 40:17	21:24 22:14 26:21		80:8,9 81:14 84:10,	42:18 76:20,21
45:22,24 49:15	30:17,23 31:9 32:4,	silly 4:19	24	
64:14,16,23,25	7 33:4 34:4 35:10,	similar 36:9		specifically 4:8
65:2,14 71:23	17 36:18 38:14	similarly 60:15	some 4:21 8:17	28:9
•	39:4,6 40:8 41:19	61:7 71:22	14:6 27:25 33:24	speculate 12:10
seconds 82:19	43:23 44:17 46:11		38:16 43:16 49:6 65:17,18 82:3	42:2 73:23
section 63:18	49:24 50:10 51:13	simplify 59:19		speculation 32:18,
see 9:20 11:10	52:7 53:17,18,20,	since 5:16 9:2	somebody 4:18	20 36:21 41:18,23
19:10 28:16 32:24	21,25 54:6,8,12	single 10:24 12:1	11:18 13:22 22:15	42:1
39:5 51:17 56:22	58:11 63:12 64:15,		24:17 33:20 47:11,	speed-driven
63:10,15 66:9,24	20,22 69:13 70:8	situation 56:4,13	19 85:17	40:19
67:11 77:14 80:6,8	72:1,7 73:11,15	situations 60:25	somehow 44:19	
83:8 85:20,23	74:10,11 81:9,15	size 14:19	something 16:18	Speedus 20:22
seemed 4:19	83:16,21		21:6 80:5	26:21 30:3,15 31:2,
	Shant's 42:12 47:4	skill 10:18 13:2,4		5,16,19 32:2,4,6,17
seems 9:24 24:20	52:2 65:25 79:24	small 14:12,13	Sometimes 42:4	33:1 35:20 36:10,
43:11	share 86:14	80:8	sorry 8:7 10:6 11:4	18,23 38:22 39:23 40:24 42:11,12,19
send 86:13	shareholder 5:22	so 4:6,11,13,16,19,	38:2 67:14 70:19	40:24 42:11,12,19 43:11,12 65:8 68:2
senior 15:6		24 5:16,21,24 7:2,	71:6 81:10 83:11	69:10 84:11 85:3,
	she 10:21,24 11:6,	8,11 9:4,18 10:16	sort 49:6	20
sense 16:13 36:19	7,12 12:8,10,12	11:6,22 12:4,6		
41:3 78:3,8	14:1,6,7,9 15:6	13:7,22 14:2,3,20	sound 17:5	spent 15:15
sent 74:19	43:20,23 49:3 51:5	16:11,19 17:11	source 19:20	spring 8:9
sentence 10:6 17:5	65:8,9,10,12,14	18:19,22 19:8,11,	36:14,15 39:24	stab 15:24
28:18 45:15,22	83:19,22,23	18,24,25 20:2,8,16,		13.27
	l 	I	1	1

surrounding 4:10

Г
staff 13:15 15:24
standard 63:9 68:22 71:12
standards 69:6
standpoint 5:25 24:22 48:17,18
Stanley 72:2,8,25 73:1,16,19,25 74:9, 11,19 79:11,12,15 83:16
start 9:9 45:8 57:1 72:5
started 6:19
starting 6:1
starts 71:24
state 65:19
statement 46:3 49:8 63:23 68:6,7 69:20 70:12 82:12
statements 6:21 13:16 15:13 18:16 19:5 28:7,8 34:16, 20 40:4,5 42:23 68:1,2,3 72:15
states 4:7 28:20 29:21 66:5 68:15 77:25
stating 70:25
stay 40:13
Staying 28:11
step 7:15
steps 18:4,8
still 61:25 62:9
Stockton 4:25
straight 14:4

Ċ
strangers 14:20
stuck 74:2
stuff 22:23,24 23:3
style 37:21
sub-bullet 59:25
subject 11:2 42:15 55:9
subpoenaed 73:1
subsequent 38:25 68:6
subsequently 74:14
substantive 17:6
subsumed 71:4,5
such 33:17 43:19 44:18 82:12 83:11, 13
suffered 67:9
sufficient 69:4
suggest 9:24
suggesting 46:17
suggests 10:1 18:7
summary 30:4 44:16
support 5:21 37:25 38:1,18
supporting 25:1

supports 26:3

supposed 55:4

sure 11:11 14:22

19:13 37:19 39:1

59:18 65:25 79:9

82:4

39:11,12 40:7,9

54:18 64:1	
sworn 4:2	t
	t
synopsis 45:19	t
T	t
take 15:24 16:9 30:11 35:5 38:21 40:19 45:24 49:19 55:15 63:4 64:11 75:4 77:12	t
taken 18:4 67:25	
talk 9:7 52:6 58:11 77:13	t
talked 37:8 54:23 56:14 58:5	
talking 13:19 19:14 38:6 40:14 50:24 57:5 65:15 76:8 78:6 82:6 83:16 84:14	t
tax 6:12,14,21 18:14,16 19:5 21:11,12 23:7,9 30:19,20 35:21 37:8,14 40:14 41:2 47:17,22 48:4,6,11, 12,13,15,17 54:24 55:2,22 56:23 57:5, 9,10,13,25 58:4,18 67:13,25 69:14,15 75:10 83:24 84:2 85:7,10,20	t T t
taxes 36:12 39:1,5, 8 40:23 41:13 46:14 50:2 54:3 58:15,17,21 59:2, 12 60:1 61:3 67:7, 17,19,22,23 74:10	

1	
team 7:16,24	
tech 4:18	
technical 27:25	
tell 32:11 39:17 45:24 46:8 50:5	
tenure 9:25 10:1 74:17	
term 22:23 25:17 27:24,25 36:7 43:8 50:19 78:2,5,10	
terms 25:20 46:17 63:1	
test 7:2	
testified 4:2 13:25 43:19 59:13 65:8 80:14	
testifying 49:2	
testimony 4:6 10:9 12:18,20 14:23 33:15,19,21,22 49:8 77:5,6 80:15, 18	
than 9:1 12:17 14:19 17:4 18:17 20:15 21:23 30:6 32:25 45:25 47:25 56:17 78:14 82:24	
Thank 40:20	
Thanks 22:6	
that 4:8,9,15 5:2,9, 12,23 6:13,16,25 7:1,5 8:5,14,22 9:5, 16,18,19,20,24 10:4,14,24 11:1,3, 6,10,12,14,15,19 12:7,8,12,17,22 13:8,11,12,19,25 14:1,18,20 15:12 16:2,7,8,15,16,18,	

21 17:15,25 18:7,8, 12,14,17,19 19:6, 10,25 20:3,6,12,16, 17,20,23 21:1,4,6, 8,10,17,23 22:1,14, 17 23:4,6,13,24,25 24:1,2,4,7,9,19,24 25:1,3,8,12,18,23 26:2,14 27:9,10,15, 18,21,23 28:7,9,10, 16,19,20,24 29:10, 11,24 30:8,9,14,21, 22,23,25 31:6,7,8, 15,21 32:3,6,10,15, 18 33:18,20,24 34:1,8,14,17 35:6, 7,10,11,17,23,25 36:7,8,20,21,25 37:8,10,15,19,21, 22,24,25 38:13,17, 24 39:5,6,11,12,21, 25 40:3,7,9,15,23 41:1,3,10,11,16,20 42:11,15,18,19,23 43:3,4,10,17,18,23, 24 44:2,5,8,16,17, 19,20,22 45:13,19, 23 46:2,3,5,10,11, 17,20,25 47:1,8,14, 19,21 48:8,20,23 49:2,10,13,19,24 50:5,10,11,22 51:5, 8 53:8,11,17,20,25 54:6,7,8,10,11,15, 16,19 55:4,8,9 56:3,4,13,16 57:3, 17,18,19,24 58:5,8, 10,13 59:2,13,15, 17,19,21 60:11,16, 22,23 61:1,2,8,9, 11,13,19,21,23 62:5,12 63:14,23, 25 64:6,12,14 65:4, 12,14,15,17 66:5,6, 9,20,25 67:1,4,5,

10,17,18,24 68:12, 22 69:1,9,10,20,24 70:1,21,23 71:18, 19,20,22,24 72:6, 12,13,16,17,23 73:1,4,11,24 74:2, 8,17,23,25 75:5,19 76:5,6,7,13,25 78:18 80:1,5,6,9,15 81:3,22 82:8,9,14 83:5,15,19 84:20, 22 85:1,4,12

that's 5:24 7:8 8:10 9:4,15 12:4 21:12 23:4 24:21 25:11,15 26:4,12 32:20 37:17 41:13 45:3 47:22 48:18 49:11 55:5 57:21 59:20 60:9 62:3 63:5,13 64:12 65:1 69:17 70:11 71:16 72:14,21 73:13 82:14 84:17 86:6, 13,15

the 4:7,8,9,15,18,19 5:15,25 6:1,2,8,12, 23 7:3,13,15,19,21, 24 8:4,8,9,11,13, 14,17,20,25 9:10, 17,18,24 10:2,3,7, 8,10,12,13,25 11:1, 4,9,12,13,17,19 12:16,17,25 13:6, 10,18,19,23,24,25 14:23,25 15:10,11, 12,13,16,19,20,25 16:9,25 17:2,3,9, 14,23 18:1,5,8,9, 10,13,14,15,17,20 19:9,17,21,22 20:1, 5,8,14,16,17,21,23 21:11,16,20,25 22:2,4,7,10,15,16,

22 23:10,15,25 24:2,4,9,13,18,21, 23 25:2,7,10,12,21 26:6,12,13,17,18, 19,23,24,25 27:2,3, 6,8,9,13,20,22 28:2,5,11,12,14,18, 19,20,21,22 29:3,7, 8,9,14,21,22 30:2, 4,8,9,13,14,19,22 31:2,3,4,5,6,8,10, 11,12,14,21,22,25 32:2,4,6,12,15,16, 17,21 33:1,8,11,12, 15,17,19,21,24,25 34:6,7,11,13,14,17, 22 35:1,2,3,6,10, 12,15,16,17,20,22, 24 36:4,11,13,14, 15,18 37:1,4,11,16, 20,23,24 38:3,5,6, 11,13,14,15,17,19, 21,22,23 39:1,2,5, 6,7,9,13,15,16,18, 20,21,23,24 40:2,4, 5,7,14,15,22,24 41:1,2,12,14,16,19, 21,22,23 42:9,19, 23,24 43:6,8,11,12 44:1,12,13,17,19, 21 45:1,2,3,6,9,16, 17,18,21,23,24 46:4,5,11,13,14,15, 16,19,20,21 47:1,2, 3,6,8,12,20,23,24, 25 48:12,13,17,24, 25 49:8,14,15,17, 22,25 50:1,2,3,9, 12,14,15,24 51:2,4, 6,7,10,15,17,18,20, 21,25 52:3,7,9,10, 13,14,16,18,20,22, 23 53:1,3,7,9,10, 15,18,20,21,22,24 54:1,2,3,4,8,15,16,

18,19,20,25 55:1,3, 4,5,8,9,11,16,17, 18,19,20,24 56:4,5, 7,8,11,14,15,21,22 57:2,4,6,7,8,10,11, 17,18,20,25 58:4,6, 7,8,12,14,15,17,18, 23,24 59:1,4,5,6, 14,18,21,24 60:3,7, 8,11,12,13,18,21, 23,24,25 61:1,3,4, 7,9,12,13,18,19,20, 23,24,25 62:1,2,3, 8,10,12,13,15,18, 19,21 63:1,8,13,17, 18,19,25 64:3,5,7, 9,10,14,16,17,18, 19,22,24,25 65:2,3, 7,9,10,11,12,21,23 66:2,4,6,7,9,12,13, 14,21,25 67:7,8,9, 12,16,17,18,19,21, 23,24 68:1,2,3,5,6, 7,13,14,15,17,21 69:1,9,10,15,18,19, 21,22 70:5,6,7,8, 15,16 71:1,3,8,9, 10,11,12,16,17,23, 24 72:1,2,3,8,9,15, 18,24 73:2,5,12,14, 16,18,23 74:3,4,6, 11,19,22,23,24,25 75:2,3,8,11,13,14, 18,25 76:1,2,5,7,9, 17,18,21,24 77:2,8, 9,18,23,24 78:2,5, 11,12,13,14,15,17, 19,23 79:7,8,10,11, 13,14,17,20,22,23, 25 80:1,4,9,11,12, 20,21,25 81:2,7,9, 11,15,16,22,23,24 82:8,11,14,25 83:2, 9,10,13,15,22,23, 24,25 84:1,2,5,7,9,

10,21,22,24,25 85:1,2,3,5,6,8,9,11, 12,13,15 86:11,16 **their** 10:9,11 12:5 15:9 26:1 42:21 10 58:2 60:6,15 61:9 62:14 63:21 84:11 **them** 4:13 5:16 6:8, 19:15 27:14 28:9 40:11 45:4 46:10 55:13 61:25 74:20 83:9,22 86:1,13 themselves 23:10 37:5 79:13 **then** 4:9,12,14,16 5:1,14,18 6:24 7:11,15,23 9:13,15 10:9,23,25 14:4 15:13,19,25 18:7 21:1 23:9 26:9 28:18 30:13 34:21 35:2,3 36:3,23 37:15 38:22 40:3 43:16 45:21,24 46:8 47:16 48:3,19 49:16,17,22 51:11 54:23 55:3,7 57:1 58:12 62:12 63:11, 19 64:3,8 65:2

81:9 82:12 83:5 **theory** 26:3 44:17 58:14

68:6,7 71:4,25

74:15,25 79:22

there 7:23 9:16 11:22 14:7 17:7,10 18:15,21 21:3,7,19, 25 22:23,24 23:4,5, 7,11,12,20,24

52:19 53:4,16 56:9,

19 11:15,20 14:7,8

9,10 85:7 there's 5:4 21:13 23:16 24:24,25 26:14 27:23 29:1 35:2,9,10 37:8 47:22 48:3 52:17 54:17 56:3 58:4,22 63:11 66:5 67:5,16, 21 thereafter 51:12

24:16,20 25:8

27:15,19,21 28:6

33:6,9,15 36:8,11

44:3 47:7 48:14,16,

29:12,15,16,18

30:8 31:1 32:1

41:16,23 43:10

19,21 52:8,9,14

53:16,18 55:12

16,17 68:12,16

11,20 75:10,11

21 79:19 80:3

57:3 59:8,9 62:25

63:12 64:21 66:14,

69:23 70:10 72:4,

76:3,14,15 78:19,

81:14,19,21 82:1,3

83:10,12,18 84:7,8,

64:9 75:2

Therefore 10:8

these 4:20 7:9 23:8 24:1 25:1,9 30:7 34:2 39:12,13 59:6, 25 60:7,16 61:2 65:19 73:9

they 4:18 11:23 13:14,15,25 14:2, 18 15:5 16:11 21:14 22:9 23:9 24:7 27:21 31:4 33:3,5 34:3 37:23 43:11,12,17 51:23 52:5,11,15,22,24

54:20 55:25 56:8, 24,25 57:3 59:13 60:3,5,15,22 61:25 62:5,23 69:25 70:1 76:13,15 77:8 83:19 84:13 85:2 86:2,4,14 **they're** 6:7 13:12 20:14 22:16 27:15 30:23 40:1 56:10. 18 61:8 62:13 71:19 **thing** 6:13 8:5 26:8 57:19 **things** 4:21 6:11 8:22 33:17 34:1 37:20 39:22 59:2, 14 65:5 68:9 69:24 82:7 83:11,13 **think** 7:3 8:25 9:9, 16 10:16,17 11:14, 16 12:8,12 13:10 14:6 16:19 18:13 23:23 26:2,4,14,24 27:9 32:15,16 37:17 41:15,16,18 46:7 48:18 53:23 54:17 55:11,20

56:13,18 57:7 58:22 62:5,8,18 71:14 76:20 82:21 83:9

third 28:18 53:13 59:24

this 7:12,25 8:9 9:3,4,13 11:2 12:2 15:2 16:12,13,22 17:5 18:1,3 19:23 20:1,2,8,11 21:11 24:21 26:21,23 27:4 28:25 29:10, 11 30:5,24 31:16

33:19,20,22 35:4, 13 36:9,16 37:21 42:5,7 44:3,4 48:7, 23 49:5 51:7 55:7, 16 57:24 58:1 59:11 60:1 62:5,7, 9,21 64:23 65:23, 24 68:19 70:14,21 71:12,15 75:19 76:7,18,20 77:19, 24 78:6 79:10 82:5 83:7.8

those 6:5,11 13:8 15:14 19:11 20:23 22:8 26:24 29:22 32:7 33:18 34:1,17, 20 37:13 38:24 39:2,7 58:11 59:10 60:3,4 61:6 62:23 67:6 68:9 69:24 71:18 73:12 74:13 77:2 78:3 79:17,20 80:22 82:13 85:8

though 40:20 thought 10:21 58:5 thoughts 32:9,11, 13

thousand 40:15 **three** 7:7 11:25

52:2

through 4:21 5:2, 23 6:22 7:6 8:24,25 9:2 13:20 21:25 22:8 23:25 28:9 30:24 31:13,16,19 32:14 33:1,8 35:6, 13 36:13,17,23 39:2,13 41:2,11 50:3 54:4 56:21 57:24 62:7 63:9,10

66:15 83:22

throughout 40:2 **thumbing** 63:10

tie 18:20,25

time 7:4 15:15 20:1 32:12 40:19 61:17 63:9 80:21

title 35:1,2 47:3 64:10 75:3

titled 44:12 81:16

to 4:17,20 5:3 6:6 7:2,13,22,24 8:24, 25 9:2,6,15,24 10:9 11:9,16,20 12:4,6, 10,25 13:2,4,5,6,9, 11 14:1,10,20 15:16 16:9,20 17:2, 11,15,23 18:8,12, 13,15,17,20,25 19:11,14,22,24,25 20:4,6,7,12,17,19, 22 21:5,15,16 22:1, 3,4,10,11 23:23,25 24:20 25:9,10,25 26:7,9,11,20,21,22, 25 27:3,4,5,6,15,25 29:5 30:10,17,21 31:4,5,10 32:3,7,9, 13 33:5,8 34:8,21, 22,23 35:6,19 36:8, 9,10,14,19,24 37:7, 11,19,25 38:1,3,4, 5,9,17,18,25 39:6, 12,20 40:11,17 41:13,19,25 42:2,3, 7,15,20,21 43:3,4, 5,11,25 44:12,18, 24 45:5,6,7,9,10, 11,12,15,18 46:1, 11,21 47:1,5,8,22 48:22,23 49:2,5,9, 10 50:11,12 51:6,7, 10,15 52:9,11,12,

15,19,22 53:2,7,9, 13,24 54:14,15,24, 25 55:1,3,4,9,12, 13,14,17,19,25 56:1,9,23 57:3,16, 21,22,23 58:3,14, 17 59:7,9,15,20 60:4,5,10,11,14,15, 18,22,23,24 61:2, 14,15,16,19,24,25 62:9 63:1,3,10,11, 19 65:11,21 66:2 68:10,25 69:4,13 70:15,17 71:9,11, 25 72:2,9,18 73:9, 12 74:3,12,13,20 75:8,11,12,25 76:2, 18,21 77:1,9,13 78:13,22,23 79:1,3, 4,9,23 80:6,8,14,20 81:1,7,19 82:4,15, 19 83:1,2,5,6,15 84:20,22 85:5,15, 16,17 86:4,8

today 78:5 80:14, 19.22

today's 4:6 together 11:15 46:6

told 31:18 86:3,4

Tom 16:23

Tom's 16:24

too 56:7

took 8:15 32:16 36:20

toolkit 81:3

tools 80:25

top 10:10 70:7

topic 78:23

torture 30:6

total 10:11 15:16

totality 23:23 towards 74:23

Township 40:23

trace 42:9

tracing 6:9

track 81:1

traditionally 20:4

transaction 13:12 20:13 21:21 22:2 40:11,16 83:25 84:10

transactions 11:1, 9 22:7 23:10,11 25:1 31:1 32:1,25 33:6,11 37:4 66:21 68:8 81:3 83:12

transcript 14:25 33:20 86:11

transcripts 79:11, 12

transfer 47:2,8,12, 21 74:12 75:11 81:15 82:8

transferred 6:23 22:11 35:19 47:3 51:6.8 66:2 75:8 76:12

transferring 47:23

transfers 14:7 40:23 47:19 79:23 82:5,6

travel 33:17,25

tremendous 24:24,25

trending 67:11	59:20 60:10 61:15	unique 6:17		55:14 57:15 60:10
trial 45:2	81:6	United 4:7 28:20	V	61:14 63:3 79:9
trick 8:1 61:14	two 4:13,18 11:25	29:21 77:24		82:4 83:1
	12:3,7 15:3 24:1	units 71:10	vague 12:13,23 28:1	wants 7:22
riggering 48:3	38:24 39:13 40:23,	unless 29:11,14		was 4:2 5:3 8:11
roubling 75:19	24 51:17 69:23 71:9,10,22 78:3		Vahak 74:13	10:21 11:4,6,7,16
crue 69:25 70:1	82:22	unquote 72:18	valuation 5:13	12:12 14:4,24 15:
71:19		unreliable 13:23	17:1	15,21 17:7,10,12,
rust 6:21 11:13	type 6:13 49:11 57:19	up 4:14	value 47:24 51:16	17 18:21 19:20 21:1,3,7,16 22:3
18:14,15 22:12,15		_	53:12,13 57:10	23:5,7 24:19 25:9
24:4,18 25:2 26:22,	types 6:5,11,14	upkeep 61:9	59:8,16 60:13	11 27:6 29:12
23 31:4,11,25 32:3,	typical 7:17 22:20	upon 23:19 25:24	61:22 82:2	30:22 32:2,3,4,6,7
25 34:2 35:12 37:2	typically 6:5 11:18	27:1,17 28:24	verbiage 29:14	33:15,24 36:16,18
38:19 39:2 41:13,	-, premi	69:25	verify 19:11 83:19	37:1 40:21,23 41:
14 44:13,18,19 46:20 47:2,6,13,20	U	US 71:8	_	7,15,16 42:11,12,
51:6,7,10,15,17,18		usage 64:16	versus 33:10 39:16 78:12 82:4	19,20,23 43:2
52:9,17 53:9 54:10,	ultimate 36:14,15	use 19:21 35:24		45:15,18 46:1,10 47:3,7,11,12 48:6
18,25 55:1,3,5,10,	81:7	36:7 52:19,21 56:7	very 10:22 37:18	51:7,8,9,10 53:20
17 57:6,8 59:4		57:19 63:21 64:22,	80:8	54:10 55:9,12
60:8,11,24 61:19	under 18:1 28:12 30:2,9 44:1 45:16	25 77:2 78:2,5 83:2	via 52:23	56:24,25 59:8,17,
62:4,9,11,19,22	58:13 59:22 71:12	used 20:24 30:17	Village 30:19	20 60:23 64:18
63:1,21 64:4 65:7,		32:16 35:17 36:5	40:14 64:5,10,18	65:4,12,17,18,25
18 66:2 68:7 74:4,6	underlying 9:25 26:17 57:18 71:3,	37:11,23 38:13,14,	65:4,11,22 66:8,22	66:1,2 67:9 72:1,4
75:8,22 76:7,12 78:13,15,18 79:5,	10,16 72:15 82:11	17 39:12 55:18	68:3 69:14 75:3	7,11,20,23 73:2,4
24 81:16,22 83:22,		56:5 64:19 65:5,18	76:21,24 77:9	8,18,23 74:15,17
24,25 84:1,18,22,	understand 10:24	69:13 70:8 73:9	78:17 85:13,24	75:11 76:5,10,13 78:9,13,16,17,18,
25 85:2,8,11,13	22:18,20 24:13 27:11 32:3 36:22	80:20,25 82:9,11	VSHPHH 11:13	19,21 79:19,25
trustee 10:1 72:11,	41:19 50:12 54:14	83:12	18:15 36:11 37:2	80:1,3,10 81:9,11
20 73:23 74:15,17	57:22,23 60:10	uses 18:5 20:14	38:19 39:2 64:4	15,16,19 82:3
·	61:14,15 71:6 76:9	21:17,20 27:7 31:6	66:2 68:7 76:7	83:18 84:10 85:5,
trustees 81:17	83:6	66:7 67:24	78:17 84:1 85:5,11	86:4,16
trusts 11:1,9 23:25	understanding	using 12:3,7 56:10		wasn't 14:3 26:11
24:2 31:3 39:9,13,	25:7,14 26:12 48:8	78:8 79:24	W	25 27:14 73:20
20 51:21,24 65:9	49:1,9 57:23 65:23	utilities 59:14 61:4	• • • • • • •	81:21
81:22	69:22 70:18		wait 56:24	Water 28:21 29:1
truth 71:3	understands 49:3	utilize 42:1 46:18	waiver 49:6	
try 28:6 41:19		utilized 31:9,10	want 8:24,25 19:24	way 20:1 29:8,22 32:16 41:20 43:16
trying 19:22,25	understood 37:3	32:7 37:7 50:4 54:5	20:6 30:10 34:8,22,	77:24
39:20 40:17 45:15,	41:21 49:2		23 37:19 38:9 43:5	
18,25 54:14 57:23	Union 71:8		45:11 49:5,9 50:12	we 4:17 7:2,19,20,

22,23 9:15,19
10:14 16:6,19
18:14,17 19:11,12
20:1 22:22 23:24
24:19 27:4 28:8
29:10,11 31:3,25
32:3 34:18,19,25
35:3 36:10,13
38:13,23,24 39:3,5
43:18 46:17 47:24
51:7,8 54:11,18,23
56:22 57:1,3,23,25
58:1,5,10 59:22
61:21 69:3 70:22
73:11 74:25 77:18
79:16,22 82:11,12
84:14,25
We'd 86:8

We'll 40:13

we're 7:3,6 13:19 34:21 37:21 40:14 46:16 50:24 51:4 56:1 60:10 63:8 65:15 76:7 82:21 84:14

we've 37:7 41:22 60:16 62:7 69:1,3 72:16 81:5

weekly 77:1

well 4:6 6:15 11:3 20:13 21:9 23:3,6 24:16 26:6,17 27:12,14 29:10 35:13 36:17 37:18 44:7 45:6 50:20 55:24 56:3,23 57:4 58:1 60:9 61:21 67:7,22 68:9 78:13, 20 79:20 85:12

Wells 34:7

went 17:11 36:23 42:19 82:24

were 4:17 7:25 8:10,12 12:17 13:14,15,22,24,25 14:2 15:9,12 18:10, 16 19:17 20:24 21:14,18 22:8,11 23:24,25 24:7,19 25:2 29:15 30:15, 17 31:1,3,6,9,10 32:1,11 33:3,6,13, 17,18 34:1,2,3 35:12,25 36:12 37:9 39:2,3 42:10 43:8,10,11,12,17 51:21,23 52:3,5 62:20 67:8,17,19, 22,25 69:11,13 73:1,9 74:13 75:9, 10 76:3,13,15 77:3 78:6,8 79:7,17 80:9,21 81:14 82:7 83:10,13,20 84:7,8, 9,25 85:1,9,12 86:3

weren't 19:11 21:11 27:20

what 5:18,24 6:5 9:1,9 10:1,16,17,23 11:14,18,21 12:25 13:6,22 15:9 17:8, 20 18:22,23,24 19:8,13,17,23 20:11,12,15,17,19, 20 21:1,2,16 22:18, 19,20,21,22 24:14 25:14 26:12,16 27:4 28:6 29:3 30:22 31:5,7,8,18, 19,20 32:5,11,13 34:7,23 35:22 36:1 38:11,21 39:17 40:4,7,8 41:15,22 42:14,17 43:20 44:5,6,21 45:15,25 46:1,4,16 48:10,19, 24 49:1,2,10 50:12, 22 51:1 52:8,25 53:23 54:11,18 56:7,24 58:23,24 59:12,20 61:16 62:19,22 64:21,25 65:15 67:2,5,12 68:11,25 69:18,20 70:18 71:14,15 72:13 74:2 75:7,24 76:1,4 77:2,8 80:14 82:9 83:6,7,19

what's 4:22,23 5:12,14,18,25 6:4 7:13,15 16:7,24 25:14 36:22 37:16, 24 44:7 47:20 65:23

whatever 13:7 15:21 34:6 43:5 62:1 64:23 85:13

when 7:25 18:24 25:20 33:10 36:3, 10 37:10 38:12 39:5 50:22 52:6 53:16 67:2 69:17 70:22 73:24 74:2 76:4 78:2,4,6 79:7 80:11 81:6 82:6,10 83:25 84:14,18

where 5:24 8:6 9:3, 4 21:12 25:10 30:9 31:13 32:2 40:13 42:9 45:20 53:24 57:15,16,17 59:6, 18 60:9,22 63:15 65:2,6,14 67:17,25 68:22 72:21 83:18 84:25

whether 18:9 21:6 23:16,19 24:20 32:4 51:7 52:17 54:10 55:12 56:22

60:23 70:11 72:4, 10,20 85:16

which 10:6 19:1,14 22:11 30:6 31:3 33:13 34:9 36:12, 18 37:22 38:21 39:3 41:22 42:12, 20 44:3 49:14 51:24 57:8 59:23 61:1,3 65:21 66:12 68:11 72:17 75:16

whichever 4:16

while 9:19

white-collar 5:21

who 7:23 14:21,24 15:2,5,7 16:21 26:23 33:22 36:16 44:7 46:20 51:20, 23 52:14,18 61:12 73:2 81:2,7

whoever 73:15 84:11

whole 45:1,3 53:19 60:12

whom 26:20 36:16

whomever 7:15 33:23

why 12:8,10 19:19 23:4 25:2,3,15 27:21 30:24 31:15, 24 32:9,13,15,16 33:19 36:19 41:3, 10,12,19 42:8 46:8 51:11,13,14 53:4 57:8,21,23 73:7,9, 17,20 75:21 84:17

will 33:20 75:4 wire 43:14 wired 43:12

wise 54:24

with 5:15,16 6:1,19 7:21 9:9,10,16,17, 18 10:4,8,10,22 11:1 12:4,25 13:2,4 14:11,12 15:2,10, 12 16:9 17:11,12 18:1,13,15,17 20:2, 3,19,22 21:1,4,6,7, 13 23:23 24:17 25:21 26:8.15 28:19 29:19,20 35:1 36:10,25 38:18 41:22,24 42:7,12 43:8 44:22 45:8,17,23 46:1 47:17 48:20 49:10, 15 53:16 58:16 59:14 62:13,25 63:23 64:7,15 65:3, 4,11 66:21,25 67:5 68:12,14 69:8,14 70:7,21 71:24 72:25 73:14 74:24 75:2,8,13,14 78:4 82:19 83:1 84:24

withdraw 45:10, 11

within 6:24 7:24 9:13 11:7 15:4 18:6 19:21 21:17 27:7, 20 28:5 68:19,23, 24 69:2 70:23 71:4, 5 73:5

without 7:21 16:11 33:11,12 44:16 46:12 49:25 50:16 54:1 63:18 64:17 65:1 67:12

Withum 6:25 7:6 35:3 45:18,24 63:19 68:15

Withum's 28:13 59:22 68:13 WITNESS 12:16,

WITNESS 12:16, 25 22:7 23:15 24:13 25:7 28:2 38:17 44:12 50:14 53:9 60:21

witnesses 8:21

word 43:7 45:10 71:25 83:2

words 9:19 10:8,10 28:19 45:17,23 49:15 64:7,9 68:14 70:8 74:24,25 75:2

work 5:21 10:18 25:5 38:4 65:7,9

worked 15:2 65:8

world 34:6,7 75:18

would 7:24 10:1 11:18,19,21 15:17, 23,24 19:12 20:15 21:12 22:22 28:10 29:16 32:18 33:8, 18,21,23 34:19 36:21 37:10 40:10 41:10,18 47:14 48:21 50:20 51:1, 11,13,14 52:18,22, 24 53:3 54:9,12,23, 24 55:11,18 56:20, 22 58:12 59:6,9 61:8 62:8,18 67:12 68:5 76:18 77:1 79:3 80:6,8 83:9 84:24 86:10

wouldn't 79:6

write 15:19,20

written 8:21 29:12

wrong 21:1,3,6,7, 13 26:15 37:20

wrote 50:22

Wyckoff 28:22 29:3

 \mathbf{X}

XYZ 11:6,7

Y

yeah 16:19 23:20 28:5 38:8 40:1 60:9 72:23 76:21

year 8:10 11:19,20 67:12,18

years 66:14 67:17, 21

Yep 9:8

ves 5:3,10 6:3 9:21, 23 10:20 11:13 12:21 13:21 14:15, 17 15:1 16:3,6 20:21 26:19 28:17 29:17,23 31:23 33:2,5,24 34:20 36:7 39:16 40:2 41:24 42:4 43:1 47:3,15,18 48:21 50:20,25 51:10,19, 22 52:1,5,24 54:21 56:18 61:10 62:17 63:16 64:2 66:23 68:16,24 70:13 71:14,21 73:6,21 74:5,7 75:20 76:12, 16,22 77:7 78:16, 24 79:2,16 80:17, 24 81:5,8 82:1,11 83:23 86:2,12

York 35:20 69:10

you 4:4,5,9,11,15, 17 5:2,7,15 6:2,5, 16,17,20 7:13,16, 23,25 8:5,6,8,10, 12,13,19,24 9:3,5, 20,22 10:4,6,16,17 11:3,5,10,14,19 12:8,12,13,20,22, 24 13:8,10,19 14:12,18,23,24 15:2,15,19,20 16:4, 16,18 17:4,14,15, 18 18:8,12,19,22, 24 19:1,3,8,25 20:8,10,12,15,17 21:9,12 22:5 23:1, 4,19,22 24:12 25:6, 15 26:2,8,9,14,20 27:3,9,12,13,20 28:1,2,16,24 29:6, 8,20,24 30:7,10 31:21 32:5,9,11,15, 16,24 33:7,10,12 34:1,4,7,8,14,16, 17,22,23 35:5,15 36:1,7,19,25 37:4, 11,13,15,18 38:5,8, 25 39:17,24 40:20 41:2,5,10,15,16 42:3,5,14,17 43:3, 4,5,16,20,22 44:5, 7,11 45:4,7,9,11, 20,24 46:8,19,22, 25 47:14,16 48:6, 11 49:16,19,23 50:9,22 51:1,6,17, 23,24 52:6,7,9 53:7,16,18,25 54:11,23 55:7,23 56:4,13,20,22,23, 25 57:5,16,22 58:8, 9,11,24 59:6,15,19, 24 60:20 61:23

25 65:19 66:9,20, 24 67:2,3,4,6,11,24 68:4,12,17,22,25 69:17,18 70:17,22, 25 72:21 73:17,24 74:2,16 75:4 76:4 77:4,8,23 78:1,2,4, 6,8,12,13 79:7,9, 10,14,17 80:5,7,8, 12,13,14,18,19,20, 21,25 82:5,6,9,10, 18,24 83:6,8,16 84:4,18,19,20 85:9, 14,18,20,23 86:1,3, 11,12

You'd 40:11

you're 7:1,2 9:6 14:11 19:14 20:3, 20 22:18,21 24:14 26:7 27:14 31:13, 14 32:14 38:6 41:11 57:18 59:18 61:2,16 62:15 70:18 71:14 76:9 80:22 81:6 82:6,15

you've 7:17 30:9 48:11 54:19 66:20 69:20

your 4:10,11,21,22, 23 5:18,25 6:4,24 11:11,22 13:5,9 17:11,12 20:10 21:15 25:14 26:2 27:11 30:5,10,14, 15 32:13 34:13 35:5,22 37:21,22 38:25 40:19 41:8, 15 44:15 46:1,4 47:9 48:10 53:17, 25 55:18 56:16 57:15,20,21 58:10, 16 60:6,17 61:11, 15 63:1 64:8,12 65:23 66:6,13 67:14 68:11,23 69:8,17,21,24,25 70:18,22 71:4,5,6, 11,12 73:5 75:1 78:5 80:20 81:3 82:7,23

 \mathbf{Z}

Zargis 20:22 35:1, 2,11,13,17 36:13, 16,17,23 38:14,23 39:23 40:22 68:3 70:8 84:11 85:4,21

ZIMBERG 38:10

62:5,21,22 63:3,15,

23,25 64:6,11,21,